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The 22076-M $\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}$-containing D-alanyl-D-alanine-cleaving carboxypeptidase of Streptomyces abuls G effectively catalyses the transfer of the $N^{\alpha}, N^{\epsilon}$-diacetyl-L-lysyl-D-alanyl electrophilic group of the standard tripeptide substrate $N^{\alpha}, N^{\varepsilon}$-diacetyl-L-lysyl-D-alanyl-D-alanine to water. It also performs a weak $\beta$-lactamase activity, hydrolysing penicillin into penicilloate at a very low rate. This protein consists of 212 amino acid residues in a single polypeptide chain. The N terminus is partially blocked as a result of the cyclization of the dipeptide Asn-Gly into anhydroaspartylglycine imide. The protein has been fragmented by cyanogen bromide into five fragments whose sequences have been determined via appropriate subcleavages with various proteases. The ordering of the cyanogen bromide peptide fragments has been carried out (a) by submitting the $S$-carboxymethylated protein to complete tryptic digestion and labelling the methionine-containing peptides thus obtained with iodo[ $\left.{ }^{14} \mathrm{C}\right]-$ acetamide, and (b) by submitting to limited tryptic digestion the $S$-[2-( $4^{\prime}$-pyridyl)ethyl $]$-cysteine protein whose amino groups have been blocked by reaction with exo-cis-3,6-endoxo- $\Delta^{4}$-tetrahydrophthalic anhydride prior to digestion. The protein contains six cysteine residues in the form of three disulfide bridges. No homology is found by comparing this peptidase with other $\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}$-containing enzymes (carboxypeptidase A , thermolysin, carbonic anhydrase B and alcohol dehydrogenase) and several completely or partially sequenced, serine-containing D-alanyl-D-alanine-cleaving peptidases and $\mathrm{Zn}^{2+} /$ serine-containing $\beta$-lactamases.

The D-alanyl-D-alanine-cleaving peptidases (in short DDpeptidases) are enzymes involved in the bacterial wall peptidoglycan metabolism. They catalyse transfer of LAla-DGlu-(LRaa-DAla) (where DGlu is a $\gamma$-glutamyl residue and Raa is a diamino acid or an amino acid grouping possessing a free $\omega$-amino group) from LAla-DGlu(LRaa-DAla-DAla) pentapeptide units either to water (carboxypeptidation) or to the $\omega$-amino group at the L-Raa position of another peptide (transpeptidation). On the basis that the sequence LRaa-dAlaDAla is that part of the carbonyl donor mainly involved in substrate activity, the tripeptide $N^{\alpha}, N^{\varepsilon}$-diacetyl-L-lysyl-D-alanyl-D-alanine ( $\mathrm{Ac}_{2}$ LLys-DAla-DAla) has been used as a substrate analogue to isolate several DD-peptidases exhibiting, with varying efficiencies, carboxypeptidase activity $\left(\mathrm{Ac}_{2}-\right.$ LLys-DAla-DAla $+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightarrow$ DAla $+\mathrm{Ac}_{2}$ LLys-DAla) and/or transpeptidase activity $\left(\mathrm{Ac}_{2}\right.$ LLys-DAla-DAla + a suitable amino compound $\mathrm{NH}_{2}-\mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{DAla}+\mathrm{Ac}_{2}$ LLys-dAla-CONHX) (for a recent review, see [1]). The R61 (from Streptomyces

[^0]R61) and R39 (from Actinomadura R39) DD-peptidases are highly penicillin-sensitive, bifunctional carboxypeptidases/ transpeptidases. They operate by covalent catalysis via an active serine residue. In contrast, the G (from Streptomyces albus G) DD-peptidase is a highly penicillin-resistant, monofunctional carboxypeptidase. It operates by liganding catalysis via a $\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}$ cofactor which is firmly bound to the apoprotein ( $K_{\mathrm{A}}: 2 \times 10^{14} \mathrm{M}^{-1}$ ) [2]. On the basis of X-ray crystallographic studies [3], this $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{Zn}}{ }^{2+}$ DD-carboxypeptidase is a two-domain protein. A cleft, with the $\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}$ cofactor located in it, extends throughout the large domain and serves as binding site for the two enzyme competitive inhibitors, the dipeptide AcDAla-DGlu and the $\beta$-lactam compound $p$-iodo7 - $\beta$-phenylacetylaminocephalosporanic acid. The interpretation of the $2.5-\AA(0.25-\mathrm{nm})$ electron density map of the $G$ $\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}$ DD-carboxypeptidase has been carried out simultaneously with the establishment of its primary structure. This paper is the first report of the complete amino acid sequence of a member of this special class of bacterial DD-peptidases that characterize themselves by their ability specifically to attack peptide bonds extending between two D centres.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

## Preparation of the DD-Peptidase (Native Protein)

The DD-peptidase was isolated and purified to protein homogeneity as described in [4].

## Reduced and Alkylated Protein

After unfolding the protein in 6 M guanidinium chloride and cleavage of the S-S bridges with dithiothreitol, alkylation
of the SH groups in the reduced protein was carried out by treatment with iodoacetic acid, giving rise to $S$-carboxymethyl cysteine (CmCys) residues (SCM-protein), [5] or 4-vinyl-pyridine, giving rise to $S$-[2-(4'-pyridyl)ethyl]cysteine (PeCys) residues (SPE-protein) [6]. The efficacy of each of the treatments was checked by amino acid analysis to detect cystic acid (Cya) after further performic acid oxidation [7] of the reduced and alkylated protein.

## Proteolytic Enzymes

Trypsin (treated with L-1-tosylamido-2-phenylethyl chloromethyl ketone) and chymotrypsin were from Worthington (Freehold, NJ, USA). Carboxypeptidase Y and pepsin were from Boehringer (Mannheim, FRG). Thermolysin was from Calbiochem (San Diego, CA, USA) and Staphylococcus aureus protease V8 from Miles (Slough, England). Armillaria mellea protease was a gift from Dr V. Barkholt Pedersen (Copenhagen, Denmark).

## Chemicals

Analytical grade reagents were used. Cyanogen bromide and 4 -vinyl-pyridine were from Aldrich-Europe (Beerse, Belgium). Mercaptoethanesulfonic acid and anhydrous hydrazine were from Pierce Chemicals Co. (Rockford, IL, USA). Fluorescamine was from Fluka (Buchs, Switzerland), 5,5'-dithio-bis(2-nitrobenzoic acid) $\left(\mathrm{Nbs}_{2}\right)$ from Sigma (St Louis, MO, USA), and iodo $\left[{ }^{14} \mathrm{C}\right]$ acetamide ( $50 \mathrm{Ci} / \mathrm{mol}$ ) from New England Nuclear (Dreieich, FRG). Exo-cis-3,6-endoxo- $\Delta^{4}$ tetrahydrophtalic anhydride (ETPA) was synthesized as described by Riley et al. [8]. All the other chemicals were from Merck (Darmstadt, FRG).

## Thin-Layer Sheets, Chromatography and Electrophoresis Papers

Polyamide-coated thin-layer sheets (F1700) were either from Pierce Chemicals Co. or from Schleicher \& Schüll (Dassel, FRG). Chromatography and electrophoresis papers were from Whatman (Maidstone, Kent, UK).

## Solvents and Reagents

for Manual Amino Acid Sequencing
1-Dimethylaminonaphtalene-5-sulphonyl (dansyl) chloride was from BDH (Poole, England). Phenylisothiocyanate (PITC), 4- $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylaminoazobenzene-4'-isothiocyanate (DABITC) and trifluoroacetic acid were from Pierce Chemicals Co. Pyridine (analytical grade from Merck) was purified by two successive distillations, first over ninhydrin ( $20 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{l}$ ) and then over KOH pellets under nitrogen. Butyl acetate was distilled over ninhydrin ( $10 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{l}$ ). Heptane and ethyl acetate were spectroscopic grade reagents from Merck.

## Solvents and Reagents

for Automatic Liquid Amino Acid Sequencing
and High-Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC)
The solvents and reagents used in the sequenator were 'sequenal grade' and were not purified further. The compounds 1 M quadrol, $n$-propanol (used to dilute the quadrol to a 0.3 M concentration), benzene, ethyl acetate and chlorobutane were from Merck. Hexafluorobutyric acid was from Pierce and trifluoroacetic acid was from Rathburn (Scotland). The
dithiothreitol added to the chlorobutane to a final concentration of $0.001 \%$ was from Calbiochem. The acetonitrile used in the HPLC analysis of the phenylhydantoins was Lichrosolv grade from Merck. The HPLC water was from Alltech Assoc. (IL, USA).

## Amino Acid Analysis

Protein samples ( 40 nmol ) were hydrolyzed under vacuum in $150 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of 6 M HCl at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $24 \mathrm{~h}, 48 \mathrm{~h}$ and 72 h and analysed with a Beckman 120B analyser. Peptide samples $(5-30 \mathrm{nmol})$ were hydrolyzed for 24 h under the same conditions and analysed with a Beckman Multichrom 4255 or a Dionex D300. Cysteine and methionine were determined as Cya and methionine sulfone $\left(\mathrm{MetO}_{2}\right)$ after performic oxidation of the protein [7]. Tryptophan was estimated after hydrolysis with 3 M mercaptoethane sulfonic acid for 96 h at $110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ [9].

## Determination of the $N$-Terminal Residues

Dansyl chloride was used according to the procedure recommended for the proteins by Gray [10]. For peptide fragments, the determination of the N -terminal residues was carried out by the method of Hartley [11].

## Determination of the $C$-Terminal Residues

Samples ( 1 mg ) of SCM-protein and of peptide $\mathrm{CB}_{3}$ (obtained by cyanogen bromide cleavage of the protein) were treated with 0.5 ml anhydrous hydrazine for 24 h at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Each hydrazinolysate was dried under vacuum over concentrated sulphuric acid, and the residue was dissolved in 0.5 ml $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The solution was adjusted to pH 3 with 6 M HCl and filtered in water on a column $(0.9 \times 11 \mathrm{~cm})$ of Amberlite IRC50 ( $\mathrm{H}^{+}$form). The first 15 ml of the eluent were collected and, after freeze-drying, the residue was submitted to an additional chromatography under the same conditions as above (in order to remove all the hydrazides). The free amino acid residue released by hydrazinolysis was then identified with the amino acid autoanalyser.

## Sequence Determination

The SCM-protein ( 100 nmol ) and large-size peptides ( 100 nmol ) were submitted to automatic liquid-phase Edman degradation [12] in a Socosi 110 sequenator (Saint-Maure, France), using 0.3 M quadrol as coupling buffer and 2 mg of polybrene [13] as carrier. The thiazolinones were converted manually using $20 \%$ trifluoroacetic acid [14] for 25 min at $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The phenylthiohydantoins were analysed by 'reversedphase' HPLC on a column $(0.46 \times 30 \mathrm{~cm})$ of C18 HL RSil (RSL, Eke, Belgium) using either an isochratic [15] or a gradient [16] elution method with acetonitrile as the organic solvent.

Small-size peptides ( $5-50 \mathrm{nmol}$ ) were sequenced manually, following the micro dansyl-Edman [17] or the DABITC PITC [18] method. In some cases where the presence of amides or tryptophan residues was expected and when the initial degradation with the DABITC/PITC method resulted in a severe wash-out of the residual peptides, a combination of the two methods was used. In those cases, the first N-terminal residues were cleaved by the dansyl-Edman method without removing samples for dansylation and the following degradation steps were carried out by the DABITC/PITC
method. All the peptides were sequenced in $1-\mathrm{ml}$ conic vials closed with a teflon septum.

## Estimation of the SH Groups

Quantitative estimation was carried out spectrophotometrically as described in [19], using $\mathrm{NBs}_{2}$ as reagent. Either sodium dodecylsulfate (SDS) $(4 \% ; w / v)$ or a mixture of 6 M guanidinium chloride and 2 mM EDTA were used as denaturing agents. Glutathione served as control.

## Estimation of Sugars and S-Glyceryl-cysteine Thioether in the Native Protein

The possible occurrence of a carbohydrate moiety in the protein was tested by using the method of Dubois [20] and that of $S$-glyceryl-cysteine was tested as described in [21], except that the quantities and volumes were divided by 10 .

## Cyanogen Bromide Cleavage of the SCM-Protein

A solution of the SCM-protein $(10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml})$ made in $70 \%$ formic acid was supplemented with cyanogen bromide $(40 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$, final concentration), left in the dark for 24 h at room temperature under nitrogen and finally freeze-dried.

Purification of the Cyanogen Bromide Peptide Fragments CB2, CB3, CB4, CB5 and CB6

Two procedures were used (see flow sheet in Fig. 1). In a first experiment and as shown in Fig. 1 M (M refers to the miniprint section), the soluble peptides originating from $5 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ of SCM-protein (and separated from an insoluble
core) were fractionated by filtration on a column $(2.5 \times 150 \mathrm{~cm})$ of Sephadex G-50, fine, in $0.2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{HCO}_{3}$ buffer pH 8.6 (flow rate $35 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{h}$; volume of the fractions 5.3 ml ; manual detection at 280 and 214 nm ). Fraction CB1 was the uncleaved protein. Peptide CB2 (115 residues) and peptide CB3 ( 58 residues) were obtained in pure form. Peptides CB5 and CB6 (5 and 4 residues, respectively) were recovered in the salt volume and further isolated from each other by paper electrophoresis at pH 3.5 . In a second experiment and as shown in Fig. 2 M , all the peptide fragments originating from $0.8 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ of SCM-protein were solubilized in 50 mM ammonium formate buffer pH 3.5 supplemented with 2 M guanidinium chloride. Filtration of the solution on a column $(1.25 \times 150 \mathrm{~cm})$ of Sephadex G-50 fine in 50 mM ammonium formate buffer pH 3.5 (flow rate $15 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{h}$; volume of the fractions 1.2 ml ; detection at 230 nm ) resulted in the isolation of an additional peptide CB4 (29 residues) which coeluted with peptide CB3. Complete separation of CB3 and CB4 from each other was achieved by paper electrophoresis at pH 6.5 (pyridine/glacial acetic acid/water; 100/4/900; v/v/v).

## Enzymatic Cleavage of the Cyanogen Bromide Peptide Fragments CB2, CB3 and CB4, and Isolation of the Digested Peptides

CB2, CB3 and CB4 were digested as indicated in the flow sheet (Fig. 1) and under the conditions given in Table 1. The digested samples were freeze-dried. The large peptides ( $\geq 30$ residues) were first filtered on Sephadex using, depending on the samples, columns of varying sizes $(1 \times 100 \mathrm{~cm}$, or $1 \times 150 \mathrm{~cm}$ ), Sephadex G-25 fine or Sephadex G-50 fine, and $5 \%$ formic acid or 50 mM ammonium formate buffer, pH 3.5 ,


Fig. 1. Fragmentation of the $G \mathrm{Zn}^{2+}$ DD-peptidase. Flow sheet. (a) $\mathrm{T}=$ trypsin; $\mathrm{C}=$ chymotrypsin; AM $=$ Armillaria mellea protease; $\mathrm{TH}=$ thermolysin $; \mathrm{SA}=$ Staphylococcus aureus protease

Table 1. Enzymatic digestion of the peptides obtained after CNBr cleavage of the SCM-protein
Buffers: (a) 0.2 M ammonium acetate pH 8.5 ; (b) 0.1 M N -ethylmorpholine acetate pH 8.0 ; (c) 0.1 M ammonium bicarbonate pH 7.8 containing 2 mM EDTA

| Protease | Peptide <br> concen- <br> tration | Protease <br> peptide <br> $(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w})$ | Buffer | Duration of the <br> incubation at <br> 37 C (unless <br> otherwise <br> stated) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ | $1 / 40$ | a | h |
| Trypsin <br> Chymotrypsin <br> Thermolysin | 10 | 10 | $1 / 40$ | a |

as eluants (flow rate $20 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{h}$; size of the fractions 1.5 ml ). Detection was made with a Uvicord II at 280 nm (in the presence of formic acid) or manually at 230 nm (in the presence of ammonium formate). In all cases, samples of the collected fractions were spotted along the same base line ( 0.5 cm for each fraction) on a Whatman 3 MM paper and submitted to electrophoresis at pH 6.5 . Detection of the peptides was made by successive staining with fluorescamine ( $0.001 \%$ in dry acetone) [22], ninhydrin/acetic acid/acetone (0.25 $1 / 99 ; \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ [23] and Pauly's reagent as described in [24]. On the basis of the maps thus obtained, the relevant fractions were pooled and then purified by preparative paper electrophoresis at the same pH 6.5 . Checking the purity of the peptides thus obtained and, when necessary, further purification of the peptides were carried out by paper electrophoresis at pH 3.5 (pyridine/glacial acetic acid/water; 1/10/89; $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) and finally, by descending paper chromatography in butane-1-ol/acetic acid/pyridine/water ( $15 / 3 / 10 / 12 ; \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ). Purity tests also involved N -terminal group and amino acid composition determinations.

For small peptides ( $\leq 30$ residues), the same techniques as above were used except that the gel filtration was omitted.

Trypsin Cleavage of the SCM-protein, Subsequent Radioactive Labelling of the Methionine Residues and Identification of the Methionine-Containing Peptide Fragments

The SCM-protein $(0.8 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ was treated with trypsin and, in a second step, the methionine residues were labelled with iodo $\left[{ }^{14} \mathrm{C}\right]$ acetamide as described in [25]. Two techniques were then used (see flow sheet in Fig. 1).

Procedure $A$. The mixture of radioactive and non-radioactive peptides was chromatographed on a column $(0.8 \times 24.5 \mathrm{~cm})$ of Technicon chromatobeads P (a cationic exchanger) using a pH gradient from $\mathrm{pH} 2.4(50 \mathrm{mM}$ pyridine/ acetic acid) to pH 5 ( 2 M pyridine/acetic acid) (flow rate $16.3 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{h}$; volume of the fractions 3.6 ml ). Samples $(0.1 \mathrm{ml})$ of each of the fractions were analysed with respect to their radioactivity and ability to react with fluorescamine after alkaline hydrolysis [26]. The radioactive fractions were freeze-dried, treated with 2-mercaptoethanol (EtSH) as described in [25] to regenerate the methionine residues, and rechromatographed under the same conditions as above.

Procedure B. Filtration of the mixture of radioactive and nonradioactive peptides on a column $(1.15 \times 140 \mathrm{~cm})$ of BioGel P6 ( 200 mesh ) in 50 mM ammonium formate buffer, pH 3.5 (flow rate $9.8 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{h}$; volume of the fractions 1.1 ml ) yielded two radioactive fractions. Each of these fractions was freezedried, treated with EtSH and, depending on the size of the peptides to be purified, filtered in 50 mM ammonium bicarbonate buffer pH 8 either on Sephadex G-25 fine ( $1 \times 140 \mathrm{~cm} ; 10 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{h}$; volume of the fractions 0.8 ml ) or on Bio-Gel P2 ( $100-200$ mesh; $1 \times 110 \mathrm{~cm} ; 10 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{h}$; volume of the fractions 0.2 ml ). In all cases, the peptides were purified by paper electrophoresis and/or paper chromatography as described above.

## Limited Tryptic Digestion of the SPE-Protein after Blocking the Lysine Residues

The following procedure was used (see flow sheet in Fig. 1). The SPE-protein ( 36 mg in 3.6 ml 0.15 M sodium tetraborate buffer pH 8.5 ) was treated with three successive additions of 6.5 mg of ETPA as described in [8]. The solution was dialysed at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ against $50 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{HCO}_{3}$ buffer pH 8.0 and after freeze-drying, the residue was submitted to tryptic digestion as described in [4]. Subsequently, the amino groups were generated by dissolving the digested material in 2 ml $10 \%$ acetic acid and gently stirring the solution at room temperature for 16 h . The solution was then filtered on Bio-Gel P6 in 50 mM ammonium formate buffer pH 3.5 and the peptides were purified by paper electrophoresis and paper chromatography as described above.

## Pepsin Cleavage of the Native Protein

The native protein $(5.7 \mathrm{mg}$ in 0.3 ml 10 mM Tris $/ \mathrm{HCl}$ pH 8.3 containing 2 mM MgCl 2 ) was dialysed against $5 \%$ formic acid $(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ and then supplemented with $1 \%(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w})$ pepsin). The solution ( 0.5 ml ) was incubated for 8 h at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then freeze-dried.

## Carboxypeptidase Y Cleavage of Peptides

The selected peptide ( $5-50 \mathrm{nmol}$ ), an equimolar amount of norleucine, and carboxypeptidase Y (protease/peptide : $1 / 30 ; \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}$ ) were incubated together at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in $15-50 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of 50 mM pyridine/acetic acid buffer pH 5.5 . Samples were removed after increasing times and the reaction was stopped by addition of 0.2 M sodium citrate buffer pH 2.2 .

## Peptide Nomenclature

Capital letters refer to the methods used for the cleavage of the protein into peptide fragments. $\mathrm{CB}=$ cyanogen bromide; $T=$ trypsin; $T L=$ trypsin digestion limited at the Arg sites (after blocking the amino groups of lysine); TMI and TMS $=$ trypsin digestion followed by radioactive labelling of the methionine residues and purification by either ion exchange (TMI) or molecular seiving (TMS); $\mathrm{C}=$ chymotryp$\sin ; \mathrm{TH}=$ thermolysin $; \mathrm{SA}=S$. aureus protease; $\mathrm{AM}=A$. mellea protease; $\mathrm{P}=$ pepsin. Arabic numerals refer to the fractions (in the order of increasing elution volumes) collected by column chromatography and containing the relevant peptides. Small letters refer to further purification steps carried out on paper: letters in normal print $=$ paper electrophoresis at pH 6.5 ; letters underlined $=$ paper electrophoresis at pH 3.5 ; letters followed by an asterisk $=$ descend-
ing paper chromatography. In each case, letters a, b, c, etc. indicate the relative position (from anode to cathode during electrophoresis or on the basis of increasing $R_{\mathrm{F}}$ values during chromatography) of the relevant peptide when compared to the other peptides present in the same preparation. Thus for example, peptide CB2T1eeb* was a peptide generated by cyanogen bromide cleavage of the SCM-protein and recovered in fraction 2 after column chromatography. Following further cleavage with trypsin, the peptide was recovered in fraction 1 after column chromatography. Finally, it was purified by paper electrophoresis at pH 6.5 , followed by paper electrophoresis at pH 3.5 , and paper chromatography. The peptide was in position e during the first and the second preparation methods, and in position $b$ during the third one.

## Allocation of the Disulfide Bridges

The diagonal technique of Brown and Hartley [27] was applied to the pepsin digest of the native protein. After the first electrophoresis at pH 6.5 and subsequent treatment with performic acid, the second electrophoresis, carried out in a direction perpendicular to the first one, gave rise to three groups of peptides located outside the diagonal.

## Amide Assignment

The amide groups were assigned both by identifying the phenylthiohydantoin and $4-\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylaminoazobenzenethiohydantoin derivatives and on the basis of the electrophoretic mobilities of the peptides at pH 6.5 [28].

## Search for Sequence Homology and Repetitive Fragments

The search for homology between different sequences or repetitive fragments in the same sequence was carried out by comparing all possible spans of $n$ ( 3 to 25 ) contiguous amino acids [29]. The amino acids were compared on the basis of a structural test which made use of the relative frequencies of substitution found in different families of homologous proteins [30].

## RESULTS

The amino acid sequence of the DD-peptidase is shown in Fig. 2. It was established as described in the ensuing and miniprint sections.

## Intact Protein and Cyanogen Bromide Fragments

Table 2 presents the amino acid composition of the protein as found after acid hydrolysis and as deduced from the primary structure. The value of 22076 thus obtained for the $M_{\mathrm{r}}$ was $20 \%$ larger than that determined by polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis in the presence of SDS. Asp (or Asn) was at the N terminus and Ile at the C terminus of the protein, as shown by dansylation and hydrazinolysis experiments, respectively. $\mathrm{Nbs}_{2}$ (Ellman's reagent) failed to detect any free SH group (even after denaturation of the protein). Neither S-glyceryl-cysteine thioether (as found in the Braun's lipoprotein of Escherichia coli [21]), nor sugar residues were detected. Fragmentation of the SCM-protein with cyanogen bromide yielded five fragments designated, in the order of decreasing sizes, CB2, CB3, CB4, CB5 and CB6 (see Materials and Methods, and Table 3).

Table 2. Amino acid composition of the performic-acid-oxidized protein The first three columns give the amounts of amino acid residues as obtained after increasing periods of hydrolysis with 6 M HCl at $110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Trp was determined on a separate sample of the native protein after hydrolysis for 96 h at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with 3 M mercaptoethane sulfonic acid

| Amino acid | Amount after |  |  |  | Number of residues as calculated from |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 24 h | 48 h | 72 h | mean | hydrolysis | sequence |
|  | $\mathrm{nmol} / \mathrm{mg}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lys | 0.233 | 0.242 | 0.256 | 0.244 | 5.07 | 5 |
| His | 0.328 | 0.362 | 0.341 | 0.344 | 7.14 | 7 |
| Arg | 0.459 | 0.462 | 0.471 | 0.464 | 9.64 | 10 |
| Cya | 0.261 | 0.265 | 0.233 | 0.253 | 5.25 | 6 |
| Asp | 1.074 | 1.100 | 1.063 | 1.079 | 22.41 | 22 |
| Thr | 0.618 | 0.619 | 0.619 | 0.619 | 12.85 | 13 |
| Ser | 0.682 | 0.683 | 0.677 | 0.681 | 14.14 | 15 |
| Glu | 0.728 | 0.743 | 0.713 | 0.728 | 15.12 | 15 |
| Pro | 0.360 | 0.392 | 0.417 | 0.389 | 8.08 | 8 |
| Gly | 1.487 | 1.485 | 1.520 | 1.497 | 31.09 | 31 |
| Ala | 1.427 | 1.462 | 1.417 | 1.435 | 29.80 | 29 |
| Val | 0.459 | 0.466 | 0.462 | 0.462 | 9.59 | 10 |
| $\mathrm{MetO}_{2}$ | 0.166 | 0.175 | 0.152 | 0.164 | 3.41 | 4 |
| Ile | 0.336 | 0.336 | 0.336 | 0.336 | 6.99 | 7 |
| Leu | 0.519 | 0.530 | 0.543 | 0.531 | 11.03 | 11 |
| Tyr | 0.237 | 0.239 | 0.278 | 0.251 | 5.21 | 7 |
| Phe | 0.385 | 0.392 | 0.408 | 0.395 | 8.20 | 8 |
| Trp | 4.82 |  |  |  | 4.82 | 4 |

Table 3. Amino acid composition of the peptides produced by CNBr fragmentation of the SCM-protein
Number of residues as obtained after acid hydrolysis with 6 M HCl for 24 h at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The figures in parentheses give the relevant numbers as obtained by sequencing

| Residue | Amount in fragment |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CB2 | CB3 | CB4 | CB5 | CB6 |
| Lys | 3.25 (3) |  | 0.94 (1) | 0.87 (1) |  |
| His |  | 4.49 (5) | 0.68 (1) |  | 1.10 (1) |
| Arg | 5.31 (5) | 1.95 (2) | 1.69 (2) |  | 0.91 (1) |
| CmCys | 2.29 (3) | 2.31 (2) | 0.19 (1) |  |  |
| Asp | 12.98 (12) | 5.90 (5) | 5.10 (5) |  |  |
| Thr | 8.41 (9) | 2.11 (2) | 1.74 (2) |  |  |
| Ser | 6.86 (8) | 2.93 (3) | 3.60 (4) |  |  |
| Glu | 10.92 (11) | 2.69 (3) |  | 0.97 (1) |  |
| Pro | 3.98 (4) | 3.20 (3) | 0.93 (1) |  |  |
| Gly | 14.16 (14) | 10.98 (12) | 4.90 (5) |  |  |
| Ala | 16.53 (17) | 7.56 (9) | 1.23 (1) | 0.99 (1) | 1.32 (1) |
| Val | 5.31 (6) | 1.30 (1) | 2.83 (3) |  |  |
| Ile | 3.39 (4) | 1.68 (2) | 0.84 (1) |  |  |
| Leu | 6.42 (7) | 2.93 (3) |  | 1.17 (1) |  |
| Tyr | 4.28 (5) | 1.71 (2) |  |  |  |
| Phe | 3.91 (4) | 3.05 (3) | 0.90 (1) |  |  |
| Trp | ? (2) | ? (1) | ? | ? (1) |  |
| Hse | 0.99 (1) |  | 0.54 (1) | 0.56 (1) | 0.95 (1) |
| Total | 115 | 58 | 29 | 6 | 4 |

## The CB2 Peptide Fragment ( 115 Residues)

Automatic sequencing revealed that the first six residues were Asn-Gly-Xaa-Tyr-Thr-Trp. The yield of phenylthiohydantoins was very low $(2.5 \%)$ although, as controlled with whale sperm myoglobin, the sequenator was in perfect ope-
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Fig. 2. Amino acid sequence of the $G \mathrm{Zn}^{2+}$ DD-carboxypeptidase. The CNBr peptide fragments of the SCM -protein are represented by heavy lines between hydrolysis and sequencing gave rise to identical or at least consistent data. The methods used for sequencing are represented by the following symbols: $\longleftarrow$ : carboxypeptidase Y
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Fig. 2 i
ration conditions. This suggested a partial cyclization of the dipeptide Asn-Gly in the form of the anhydro-aspartylglycine imide [31].

CB2 was exhaustively digested by trypsin, chymotrypsin and Armillaria mellea protease, using 2,2 and \(1.2 \mu \mathrm{~mol}\) of CB2, respectively. The tryptic and chymotryptic digests on the one hand and the A. mellea digest on the other were fractionated by filtration on Sephadex G-25 and Sephadex G-50, respectively. The tryptic digest resulted in three fractions (CB2T1-3), the chymotryptic digest in five fractions (CB2C1-5), and the A. mellea digest in three fractions (CB2AM1-3). Further purification of each of these fractions by paper electrophoresis and paper chromatography permitted isolation, final purification and sequencing of the peptide fragments listed in Tables \(1-3 \mathrm{M}\). On the basis of their amino acid composition, these peptides covered the whole sequence of the CB2 peptide fragment.

Two overlaps at positions \(85-86\) and \(90-91\) were elucidated thanks to the peptide CB2AM2a (71-100). The Staphylococcus aureus protease cleaved this peptide ( 75 nmol ) into two fragments (Table 4 M ). The N -terminal fragment was digested with carboxypeptidase Y (Table 5 M ) permitting the ordering of the chymotryptic peptides CB2C2c \((88-90)\) and CB2C4e \((86-87)\). In turn, the C-terminal portion was sequenced, yielding a good overlap between the chymotryptic peptides CB2C2c \((88-90)\) and CB2C3fa \((91-97)\). At this stage, two peptide regions were weakly established. One involved the residues \(69-71\) and the other the residues \(37-53\). This latter region included the overlap between CB2C2f \((37-42)\) and CB2C1qc* \((43-51)\). These ambiguities were elucidated by sequencing TMI3g \((58-71)\) and TL1 \((26-52)\), respectively.

Surprisingly, the \(\mathrm{Tyr}^{57}\)-Gly \({ }^{58}\) bond was cleaved during trypsin treatment with a yield of \(7.4 \%\), indicating some chymotryptic activity of the trypsin (despite treatment to remove it). Note that the same bond was also cleaved during tryptic digestion of the SCM-protein (yield \(11.4 \%\) ) and during limited tryptic digestion of the SEP-protein (yield up to \(24 \%\) ).

\section*{The CB3 Peptide Fragment (58 Residues)}

Automatic sequencing of CB3 gave the first 22 residues with a good yield. CB3 was exhaustively digested by trypsin ( \(2 \mu \mathrm{~mol}\) peptide), thermolysin \((1 \mu \mathrm{~mol})\) and the \(S\). aureus protease \((1 \mu \mathrm{~mol})\), respectively, and the peptides listed in Tables \(6-8 \mathrm{M}\) were isolated and sequenced. Peptide CB3T1j (177-203) was digested by chymotrypsin (Table 9M) permitting elucidation of the complete sequence of CB2.

The following aspecific cleavage sites in CB3 were observed. Trypsin cleaved the His \({ }^{157}\) - Ala \({ }^{158}\) bond with a yield of \(2.4 \%\) [generating peptide CB3T2e \((155-157)\) ] and the bond Ala \({ }^{170}\)-Leu \({ }^{171}\) with a yield of \(6.2 \%\) [generating peptide CB3T1d \((155-170)\) ]. The \(S\). aureus protease used seemed to be contaminated by a thermolysin-like protease and gave rise to several parasite peptides (Table 8 M ). Finally, dansylation of peptide CB3SA1be*, followed by 6 M HCl hydrolysis for 8 h , yielded both Dns-Ile and a parasite compound probably Dns-Ile-Leu, migrating close to Dns-Phe. Dns-Ile alone was obtained after prolonged (72-h) hydrolysis.

\section*{The CB4 Peptide Fragment (29 Residues)}

Manual sequencing of CB4 gave the first 14 residues. The complete sequence was elucidated after subdigestion of CB4 with chymotrypsin (Table 10 M ) and by purifying TMS1,2c (138-152), a tryptic peptide of the SCM-protein (see further).

\section*{The CB5 and CB6 Fragments (6 and 4 Residues, Respectively)}

Sequencing of both these peptides was carried out manually.

Assembly of the CB2, CB3, CB4, CB5
and CB6 Peptide Fragments
CB 2 was the only CNBr fragment which possessed an N -terminal Asn. It thus represented the N -terminal portion of the protein. In turn, CB3 was the only fragment from which Ile was released hy hydrazinolysis. It thus represented the C-terminal portion of the protein. The correct alignment of the other cyanogen bromide fragments rested upon the following three series of experiments.

The limited tryptic digestion of the SEP-protein yielded several interesting peptide fragments (Table 11 M ). In particular, peptide TL2 \(\mathrm{fb}^{*}(123-137)\) provided the necessary overlap between CB6 and CB4 and peptide TL3gd (153-157) which resulted from a side cleavage of trypsin after His \({ }^{157}\), provided the necessary overlap between CB4 and CB3. The presence of the bond Lys \(^{47}-\) Ala \(^{48}\) (which is normally sensitive to trypsin) in peptide TL1 \((26-52)\) demonstrated the efficiency of the procedures used initially to block the amino groups of the lysine residues. Peptide TL1 was subsequently digested by trypsin and the two expected fragments were isolated (Table 12 M ).

The exhaustive tryptic digestion of the SCM-protein followed by radioactive labelling of the methionine residues yielded, after ion-exchange chromatography (procedure A),
three radioactive fractions (TM19, TM110 and TM112) (Fig. \(3 \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{a}\) ) and nine non-radioactive fractions (TMI1 TMI8 and TMI11) (Fig. 3M, b and Table 13M). After regeneration of the methionine residues and an additional ionexchange chromatography, peptide TMI10 yielded peptide TMI10, \(1(118-122)\) (Fig. 4 M and Table 13 M ) which provided the necessary overlap between CB5 and CB6.

Finally, fractionation of the same radioactively labelled digest as above on Bio-Gel P6 (procedure B) followed by regeneration of the methionine residues and filtration on suitable Sephadex columns yielded several peptides. Those possessing a His (on the basis of Pauly's test) or a Ser, Ala or Leu at the N terminus were further investigated. No Hiscontaining peptide could be purified but three peptides TMS1,2c (138-152), TMS2,2c (109-117) and TMS2,2d \((118-122)\) were obtained in pure form (Table 14 M ). Peptide TMS2,2d (118-122) contained one methionine residue. Peptide TMS1,2c \((138-152)\) was a tryptic peptide of CB4 and was helpful in completing the sequence of CB4. Peptide TMS2,2c provided the necessary overlap between CB2 and CB5.

\section*{Allocation of the Disulfide Bridges}

The technique of diagonal paper electrophoresis at pH 6.5 allowed several peptides to be detected (Fig. 5 M ). After performic oxidation, two acidic peptides became more acidic (peptides Pa and Pb ), two neutral peptides became acidic (peptides Pc and Pd) and two basic peptides became neutral. These latter peptides, Pea and Peb, were further separated by paper electrophoresis at pH 3.5 . The analyses of all the peptides are shown in Table 15 M . The couple Pea and Peb represented the sequences \(134-150\) and \(91-106\), respectively, thus demonstrating the occurrence of a \(S^{93}-S^{141}\) disulfide bridge. The couple \(\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{Pc}\) represented the sequences \(168-174\) and \(204-211\), respectively, thus demonstrating the occurrence of a \(\mathrm{S}^{169}-\mathrm{S}^{210}\) disulfide bridge. The peptides Pa and Pb , however, differed from each other only by the presence of an additional residue in Pb ; they represented the sequences \(78-84\) and \(78-85\), respectively. The procedure thus provided only one of the two peptide partner possibly involved in a third disulfide bridge. However, the fact that the protein (either native or denatured by guanidinium chloride or SDS) had no detectable SH group, carbohydrate moiety nor \(S\)-glycerol-cysteine thioether left little doubt on the occurrence of a third disulfide bridge extending between \(\mathrm{Cys}^{3}\) and \(\mathrm{Cys}^{80}\). This conclusion was confirmed by crystallographic data [3].

\section*{Search for Sequence Homology}

No homology was found by comparing the DD-peptidase with (a) the \(\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}\)-containing enzymes carboxypeptidase A [31], thermolysin [32], carbonic anhydrase B [33] and alcohol dehydrogenase [34]; (b) the partially sequenced serine DD-carboxypeptidase of Bacillus subtilis and Bacillus stearothermophilus [35]; (c) the \(\mathrm{Zn}^{2+} \beta\)-lactamase II of Bacillus cereus (Ambler; personal communication); and (d) other serine \(\beta\)-lactamases \([36,37]\). Using the same computer program, internal homology in the DD-peptidase was found only in a few peptide regions containing at the most 10 amino acid residues (Table 4).

\section*{DISCUSSION}

There is an excellent agreement between the amino acid composition of the DD-peptidase shown in Table 2 and the

Table 4. Internal sequence identities in the DD-peptidase
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline Peptide region & Sequence \\
\hline \(57-66,155-164\) & \[
\text { Tyr-Gly- }{ }^{\text {Leus }}{ }^{-} \text {-Ala-Ala-Asp- }- \text { Gly }{ }_{\text {Leu }}{ }^{-} \text {Gly }{ }^{\text {Ile }} \text {-Ala-Gly }
\] \\
\hline \(28-31,187-190\) & Gly-Tyr-Pro-Gly \\
\hline \(6-8,96-98\) & Trp-Ser-Gly \\
\hline \(52-56,203-207\) & \[
\text { Arg-Phe- } \frac{\text { Gln }}{\text { Trp }} \text {-Ser-Ala }
\] \\
\hline 93-95, 141-143 & Cys-Asn-Ser \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
amino acid sequence proposed in Fig. 2. However, a few peptide bonds were only proved weakly. The Arg \({ }^{203}\)-Phe \({ }^{204}\) bond was established on the basis of peptide CB3SA1be* ( \(182-212\) ) only and the Met \({ }^{154}-\mathrm{Tyr}^{155}\) bond on the basis of peptide TL3gd (153-157) resulting from a tryptic side reaction of poor yield \((3.5 \%)\). Deamidation and cyclization of Asn in the Asn \({ }^{1}\)-Gly \({ }^{2}\) sequence probably explains the difficulties encountered in attempts to sequence both the SCMprotein (C. Duez, personal communication) and the CNBr peptide fragment CB2. Reopening of the cyclic imide with generation of a mixture of \(\alpha\) and \(\beta\)-aspartyl peptides [38] probably explains why Asp, instead of Asn, has been located at the N terminus of the peptides CB2C3a, CB2T1bca* and TMI3c. Usually, \(\alpha\) and \(\beta\)-aspartyl peptides can be separated by electrophoresis at pH 3.5 [39] and peptide CB2C3a was indeed found to be heterogenous under these conditions.

Among the 212 residues which constitute the DD-peptidase, 14 are acidic (4 Glu and 10 Asp ) and 15 are basic ( 5 Lys and 10 Arg ), providing a ratio of uncharged residues to charged residues of 7.31 . The protein possesses 11 segments, each containing from 8 up to 15 uncharged residues in sequence. These segments which altogether comprise 113 residues, are as follows (the hydrophobicity index calculated according to Segrest [40] is given into parenthesis): \(1-11\) (1.2), 26-38 (1.5), 53-61 (1.6), \(63-70(1.4), 80-88(1.6), 109-116(2.1)\), \(129-136(1.7), 138-151(0.1), 161-175(1.0), 183-192(1.6)\) and 204-212 (2.0). Only two fragments have a high hydrophobic character and one of them occurs at the \(C\) terminus of the protein. The His and Met residues fall exclusively in the C-terminal half of the sequence. The cyanogen peptide fragment CB3 (155-212) contains five His residues out of the seven found in the complete polypeptide chain. Out of the 15 Glx present, 11 occur as Gln.

The DD-peptidase shows very few internal repetitive sequences and no homology is found by comparing the sequence of Fig. 2 with those of other mechanistically and/or functionally related enzymes. In agreement with this latter conclusion, the interpretation of the \(2.5-\AA(0.25-\mathrm{nm})\) electron density map of the DD-peptidase has revealed a secondary and tertiary structure not found in any other protein [3]. Considering that a full characterization must await final fitting of the protein molecule in the Richard's box and crystallographic refinements, the structure proposed on the basis of these X-ray studies [3] is in very good agreement with the amino acid sequence shown in Fig. 2. The protein consists of an N -terminal domain \((1-76)\) and a C -terminal domain ( \(81-212\) ) which are connected via a single peptide sequence Leu \({ }^{75}\)-Gln-Asp-Asp-Asp-Cys \({ }^{80}\) (itself involved in a disulfide bridge with \(\mathrm{Cys}^{3}\) ). The catalytic cavity is located in the large domain. Using the present numbering, important residues are \(\mathrm{His}^{153}\), His \({ }^{194}\) and \(\mathrm{His}^{196}\left(\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}\right.\) ligands \()\) and, probably,

His \(^{191}\) (proton donor?) and \(\mathrm{Arg}^{137}\) (charge pairing with the carboxylate substrate?).
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Fig. IN. Separation of the peptide fragmento produced by CNBr cleavage The peptides soluble in \(0.2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{HCO}_{3}\) buffer pH 8.6 were filtered on a \(2.5 \times 150 \mathrm{~cm}\) column of Sephadex G-50 fine in the same bicarbonate buffer. Flow rate : \(35 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{h}\). Volume of the fractions : 5.3 ml .


Fig. 24 . Separation of the peptide fragments produced by CNBr oleavage of the SCM-protein \((0.8\) umoz) All the peptides were solubilized in 50 mM ammonium formate buffer pH 3.5 supplemented with \(2 M\) guanidinium chloride and the resulting solution was filtered on a \(1.25 \times 150 \mathrm{~cm}\) column of Sephadex G-50 fine in the same ammonium formate buffer (without guanidinium chloride). Flow rate: \(15 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{h}\). Volume
of the fractions : 1.2 ml .



Pig. 3M. Fractionation of the tryptic digest of the SCM-protein by chromatography on onromatobeade \(P\).
After tryptic digestion, the methionine residues were labelled with iodo[ \(\left.{ }^{14} \mathrm{C}\right]\) acetamide. The sample, dissolved in \(30 \%\) acetic acid, was applied to a \(0.8 \times 24.5 \mathrm{~cm}\) column preequilibrated against buffer A ( 50 mM pyridinium acetate \(\mathrm{pH} 2,4\) ). Elution was carried out successively with : 75 ml of buffer \(A\); 300 m 150 ml of buffer \(\mathrm{B}(50 \mathrm{mM}\) pyridinium acetate pH 3.75 ); 300 m ) of a linear gradient obtained with 150 ml of buffer \(B\) and 150 ml of buffer C ( 2 M pyridinium acetate PH 5.0 ) ; and, finally, buffer \(C\) alone. The fractions were analysed by liquid scintillation (graph a) and by alkaline fluorescamine (graph b). Flow rate : \(16.3 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{h}\); volume of the fractions: 3.4 ml


Fig. 4M. Eractionation of peptidec TMI1O (Fig. SM) after regeneration of the methionine residues by treatment with EtSH. For conditions, see Fig. 3M.


Fig. SM. Allooation of the disulfide bridges in the native protein. The prote was digested by pepsis at 65 gest submitted After performic oxidation, the paper strip was submitted to a second paper electrophoresis at the same PH in direction \(B\) The peptides were detected by fluorescamine.

Table \(1 M\). Oata on tryptic peptides of CB2. Figures in parentheses were graphy: G50 sequentration on Sephadex G-50; G25: filtration on Sephadex \(\mathrm{G}-25 ; \mathrm{P} 6\) : filtration on Bio-Gel P 6 ; \(\mathrm{P4}\) : filtration on Bio-Gel P4; P2 : filtration on Bio-Gel P2; 6.5 : electrophoresis at PH 6.5 ; 3.5 electrophoresis at pH 3.5 ; \(C\); descending paper chromatography;
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Amino } \\
& \text { acidd }
\end{aligned}
\] & CB2T1bca: & CB2T1bcb: & C B2T1bdb: & CB2T1eea: & CB2Tleeb: \\
\hline Lys & & & & 1.0 (1) & 1.0 (1) \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{His} \\
\hline Arg & 1.0 (1) & 1.0 (1) & 1.0 (1) & & \\
\hline CmCys & 0.3 (1) & 0.4 (1) & 0.5 (1) & & \\
\hline Asp & 1.5 (1) & 4.6 (5) & 4.7 (5) & 1.2 (1) & 1.6 (2) \\
\hline Thr & 2.1 (2) & 2.1 (2) & 2.0 (2) & 1.8 (2) & 1.0 (1) \\
\hline Ser
Glu & 3.9
2.0 & 2.6 (3) & 3.0 (3) & & 0.7
1.2
0.2
(1) \\
\hline Pro & 2.0 & 0.3 (1) & 0.5 (1) & 2.4 (2) & 1.2
0.5 \\
\hline G1y & 4.1 (4) & & & 4.6 (5) & 2.9 (3) \\
\hline Ala & 1.2 (1) & 1.2 (1) & 1.2 (1) & 4.4 (4) & 4.2 (4) \\
\hline Val & 1.0 (1) & 1.0 (1) & 1.1 (1) & 0.9 (1) & \\
\hline Ile & & 0.7 (1) & 0.8 (1) & 1.0 (1) & 0.8 (1) \\
\hline Leu & 1.3 (1) & 2.0 (2) & 2.3 (2) & 1.1 (1) & 0.9 (1) \\
\hline Tyr & 0.7 (1) & 1.4 (2) & 1.3 (2) & 0.5 (1) & (1) \\
\hline Phe & & 1.0 (1) & 1.1 (1) & 1.1 (1) & 1.3 (2) \\
\hline Trp & ND (1) & ND & NO & ND & ND \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Hse} \\
\hline Mobility ( pH 6.5) & - 0.37 & - 0.37 & - 0.37 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline N -terminal & Asp & 11 e & Ile & Val & Phe \\
\hline Yield & \(9.3 \%\) & 10.2 \% & \(6.3 \%\) & \(11.9 \%\) & 17.1 \% \\
\hline Position & \(1 \rightarrow 20\) & \(72 \rightarrow 92\) & \(72 \rightarrow 92\) & \(26 \rightarrow 47\) & \(53 \rightarrow 71\) \\
\hline Number of residues & 20 & 21 & 21 & 22 & 19 \\
\hline Procedure of isolation & \[
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{G} 25 ; 6.5 \\
3.5 ; \mathrm{C}
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
\mathrm{G} 25 ; 6.5 \\
3.5 ; \mathrm{C}
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{rl}
\mathrm{G} 25 ; 6.5 \\
3.5 & \mathrm{C}
\end{array}
\] & \(625 ; 6.5\)
\(3.5 ;\) & \[
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{G} 25 ; 6.5 \\
3.5 ; \mathrm{C}
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 1 M (continued a)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Anino } \\
& \text { acid } \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] & CB2T1hb \({ }^{\text {a }}\) & CB2Thes & CB2T1i & CB2T2cc & CB2T2fb* \\
\hline Lys
His & & & & 0.9 (1) & \\
\hline His
Arg & & & & 0.9 (1) & \\
\hline Cacys & 0.9 (1) & & 0.8 (1) & & 0.8 (1) \\
\hline Asp & & 1.0 (1) & & 0.3
1.9 \(\binom{1}{2}\) & \\
\hline Ser & 1.0 (1) & 1.0 (1) & & & 1.1 (1) \\
\hline Glu & 1.0 (1) & 0.4 & & 1.7 (2) & 1.1 (1) \\
\hline Pro & & & 1.3 (1) & & \\
\hline \(61 y\) & & & & 2.5 (2) & \\
\hline Ala
Val & \(3.2(3)\) & 2.3 (2) & 1.9 (2) & & \\
\hline Val
11 e & 0.9 (1) & 0.8 (1) & 1.1 (1) & & 1.1 (1) \\
\hline Leu & & 1.0 (1) & & & \\
\hline Tyr & & & & & \\
\hline Phe & & & & & \\
\hline Trp & NO & NO & NO & ND (1) & ND \\
\hline Hse & & 0.9 (1) & & (1) & \\
\hline Mobility ( pH 6.5 ) & \(+0.43\) & \(+0.43\) & + 0.48 & - 0.24 & + 0.46 \\
\hline N -terminal & Val & Ala & Ala & CmCys & Val \\
\hline Yield & 10.7 \% & 2.1 \% & 5.1 \% & 6.1 \% & 3.4 \% \\
\hline Position & 102 \(\rightarrow 108\) & \(109 \rightarrow 115\) & \(48 \rightarrow 52\) & \(93 \rightarrow 101\) & \(102 \rightarrow 108\) \\
\hline Number of residues & 7 & 7 & 5 & 9 & 7 \\
\hline Procedure of isolation & \[
{ }_{C}^{G 25 ; 6.5 ;}
\] & \[
{ }_{C}^{G} 25 ; 6.5 ;
\] & G25;6.5 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 625 ; 6.5 ; \\
& 3.5
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
{ }_{C}^{G 25 ; 6.5 ;}
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table IM (continued b)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Amino } \\
& \text { acid } \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] & CB2T2fc: & CB2T2g & CB2T3b \\
\hline Lys & & & \\
\hline His & & & \\
\hline Arg & 0.8 (1) & 0.9 (1) & \\
\hline CmCys & & & \\
\hline Asp & & & \\
\hline Thr & & & \\
\hline Ser & & & \[
1.0 \text { (1) }
\] \\
\hline Pro & 2.2 (2) & 1.0 (1) & \\
\hline G1y & & & 0.4 \\
\hline Ala & & 2.2 (2) & 1.2 (1) \\
\hline Val & & 1.0 (1) & \\
\hline Ile & 0.7 (1) & & \\
\hline Leu & 0.7 (1) & & \\
\hline Tyr & & & 1.0 (1) \\
\hline Phe & & & 0.8 ND (1) \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Trp } \\
& \text { Hse }
\end{aligned}
\] & ND & ND & \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Mobility \\
(pH 6.5)
\end{tabular} & + 0.46 & + 0.54 & 0.00 \\
\hline N -terminal & G1n & Ala & Phe \\
\hline Yield & \(3.3 \%\) & 31.6 \% & 7.4 \% \\
\hline Position & \(21+25\) & \(48 \rightarrow 52\) & \(53 \rightarrow 57\) \\
\hline Number of residues & 5 & 5 & 5 \\
\hline Procedure of isolation & \[
{ }_{C}^{G} 25 ; 6.5 ;
\] & 625;6.5 & G25;6.5 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table \(2 M\). Data on chymotryptic peptides of CB2 . For all details, see
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Amino acid & CB2C1b & CB2C1fb & CB2C1fe & CB2Clh & CB2C1ja* & CB2C1n \\
\hline Lys & & & & & 0.7 (1) & \\
\hline His & & & & & & \\
\hline Arg
CmCys & 0.3 (1) & & 0.9 (1) & 1.0 (1) & & 1.0 (1) \\
\hline Asp & 3.7 (4) & 0.9 (1) & & 0.3 & & 0.3 \\
\hline Thr & 1.0 (1) & 0.7 (1) & & 0.7 (1) & 0.5 (1) & 1.0 (1) \\
\hline Ser & & & 2.8 (3) & 2.4 (4) & 1.3 (2) & 0.3 \\
\hline Glu & 1.9 (2) & & 2.9 (3) & 3.0 (3) & & 1.8 (2) \\
\hline Pro & 1.1 (1) & 0.9 (1) & & & & 1.2 (1) \\
\hline Gly & & 3.0 (3) & 2.1 (2) & \(2.2(3)\) & 2.3 (2) & 3.1 (3) \\
\hline Ala & & 3.0 (3) & 1.1 (1) & 1.1 (1) & 2.1 (2) & 1.6 (2) \\
\hline Val & 1.2 (1) & & 1.0 (1) & 0.7 (1) & 0.7 (1) & 1.1 (1) \\
\hline 11 e & & 0.9 (1) & & & & 1.0 (1) \\
\hline Leu & 1.2 (1) & 0.8 (1) & 1.0 (1) & 1.3 (2) & & 1.0 (1) \\
\hline Tyr & 1.0 (1) & 0.8 (1) & & & & 1.0 (1) \\
\hline Trp & ND & No & ND & ND & ND & ND \\
\hline Hse & & & & & & \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Mobility \\
( pH 6.5 )
\end{tabular} & - 0.74 & - 0.28 & - 0.28 & - 0.17 & 0.00 & + 0.24 \\
\hline N -terminal & 61 n & GIy & Ser & Ser & Ser & G17 \\
\hline Yield & 30.8 \% & \(14.6 \%\) & 7.6 \% & \(25.5 \%\) & 2.1 \% & \(16.9 \%\) \\
\hline Position & \(74 \rightarrow 85\) & \(58 \rightarrow 69\) & \(11 \rightarrow 22\) & \(7 \rightarrow 22\) & \(98 \rightarrow 106\) & \(23 \rightarrow 36\) \\
\hline Number of residues & 12 & 12 & 12 & 16 & 9 & 14 \\
\hline Procedure of iso1ation & G25;6.5 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{G} 25 ; 6.5 \text {; } \\
& 3.5
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{G} 25 ; 6.5 \text {; } \\
& 3.5
\end{aligned}
\] & G25;6.5 & \[
{ }_{C}^{G 25 ; 6.5 ;}
\] & G25;6.5 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table \(2 M\) (continued a)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Amino } \\
& \text { acid }
\end{aligned}
\] & CB2C1qb \({ }^{3}\) & CB2C1qc \({ }^{\text {a }}\) & CB2C1rg* & CB2C1s & CB2C1ta \({ }^{\text {a }}\) & CB2C2c \\
\hline Lys & & 1.0 (1) & & 1.0 (1) & & \\
\hline His & & & & & & \\
\hline Arg
CmCys & 0.9 (1) & & & 0.4 & 1.1 (1) & \\
\hline CmCys & 0.9 (1) & 0.1 & & 0.3 & 1.3 (1) & \\
\hline Thr & 0.9 (1) & 0.7 (1) & 0.4 (1) & 1.2 (1) & & \\
\hline Ser & & 0.4 & & & & \\
\hline Glu
Pro & & 0.9
1.0 (1) 13 & & 1.0 (1) & & 1.0 (1) \\
\hline Gly & 0.3 & 1.311 & & 1.1 1) & 0.3 & \\
\hline Ala & 2.3 (2) & 2.8 (3) & 1.1 (1) & 2.9 (3) & 1.4 (2) & 1.0 (1) \\
\hline Val & & 1.0 (1) & 1.0 (1) & 0.2 & & \\
\hline lle & & & 1.0 (1) & & & 1.0 (1) \\
\hline Tyr & & & & & & \\
\hline Phe & & & & & & \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Trp } \\
& \text { Hse }
\end{aligned}
\] & ND & N0 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { NO } \\
& 0.4 \text { (1) }
\end{aligned}
\] & NO & NO & NO \\
\hline Mobility ( pH 6.5 ) & + 0.45 & + 0.45 & + 0.52 & + 0.57 & + 0.60 & - 0.55 \\
\hline N -terminal & Thr & Gly & Ala & G1y & Ala & Ala \\
\hline Yield & 7.7 \% & 10.0 \% & \(2.9 \%\) & 10.2 \% & \(2.3 \%\) & 14.2 \% \\
\hline Position & \(106+110\) & \(43 \rightarrow 51\) & \(111+115\) & \(43-49\) & \(107 \rightarrow 110\) & \(88 \rightarrow 90\) \\
\hline Number of residues & 5 & 9 & 5 & 7 & 4 & 3 \\
\hline Procedure of isolation & \[
{ }_{C}^{G 25 ; 6.5 ;}
\] & \[
{ }_{c}^{625 ; 6.5 ;}
\] & \[
{ }_{C}^{G 25 ; 6.5 ;}
\] & G25;6.5 & \[
{ }_{C}^{G 25 ; 6.5 ;}
\] & G25;6.5 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table \(2 M\) (continued b)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Amino } \\
& \text { acid }
\end{aligned}
\] & CB2C2f & CB2C21 & CB2C3a & CB2C3b & CB2C3d & CB2C3e \\
\hline Lys & 0.4 & 1.2 (1) & & & & \\
\hline His & & & & & & \\
\hline Arg & & & & 0.6 (1) & & \\
\hline CmCys & & & 0.5 (1) & 0.4 (1) & & \\
\hline Asp & 1.0 (1) & 1.1 (1) & 0.9 (1) & 2.8 (3) & 0.3 & 1.0 (1) \\
\hline Thr
Ser & 0.1
0.2 & & & 0.6 (1) & 0.9 (1) & 0.3 \\
\hline Glu & 1.0 (1) & & & 0.9 (1) & 1.2 (1) & 1.3 (1) \\
\hline Pro & & & & & & \\
\hline Gly & 1.0 (1) & & 1.2 (1) & 0.5 & & 0.9 (1) \\
\hline Ala & 1.0 (1) & & & 1.1 (2) & 1.2 (1) & 1.0 (1) \\
\hline Ile & 1.1 (1) & 0.9 (1) & & & & 0.9 (1) \\
\hline Leu & & & & 1.3 (1) & & 0.4 \\
\hline Tyr & & 0.8 (1) & 1.0 (1) & & 0.7 (1) & 0.3 \\
\hline Phe & 0.9 (1) & & & & & 0.9 (1) \\
\hline Trp
Hse & ND & ND & ND & ND (1) & ND & ND \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Mobility } \\
& (\mathrm{pH} 6.5)
\end{aligned}
\] & - 0.38 & + 0.37 & - 0.90 & - 0.64 & - 0.53 & - 0.37 \\
\hline N -terminal & Ala & Asn & Asp & Ala & G1n & Ala \\
\hline Yield & 16.0 \% & 9.6 \% & 29.5 \% & \(2.8 \%\) & 6.8 \% & 20.8 \% \\
\hline Position & \(37 \rightarrow 42\) & \(70 \rightarrow 73\) & \(1 \rightarrow 4\) & \(88 \rightarrow 97\) & \(54 \rightarrow 57\) & \(37 \rightarrow 42\) \\
\hline Number of residues & 6 & 4 & 4 & 10 & 4 & 6 \\
\hline Procedure of isolation & G25;6.5 & G25;6.5 & G25;6.5 & 625;6.5 & G25;6.5 & G25;6.5 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table \(2 M\) (continued \(c\) )
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Amino acid & CB 2 C 3 fa & CB2C3ic: & CB2C3n & CB2C4e & CB2C5f \\
\hline Lys & & & & & \\
\hline His & & & & & \\
\hline Arg & 0.9 (1) & & 1.0 (1) & & \\
\hline CmCys & 0.4 & & & & \\
\hline Asp
Thr & 2.9 (3) & & & & \\
\hline Ser & 1.2 (1) & 1.0 (1) & & 1.1 (1) & 1.0 \\
\hline Glu & & 1.3 (1) & & & \\
\hline Pro & & & & & \\
\hline Gly & 0.5 & & & & \\
\hline Ala
Val
a & & 1.1 (1) & & & \\
\hline Val
Ile & & & & & \\
\hline Tyr & & 0.6 (1) & & 1.0 (1) & \\
\hline Phe & & & 1.0 (1) & 1.0 (1) & \\
\hline Trp & ND (1) & ND & NO & ND & ND (1) \\
\hline Mobility (pH 6.5) & - 0.29 & 0.00 & \(+0.72\) & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline N -terminal & Asn & G1n & Arg & Thr & Thr \\
\hline Yield & 4.4 \% & 7.4 \% & \(23.5 \%\) & 8.0\% & 9.4 \% \\
\hline Pasition & \(91+97\) & \(54 \rightarrow 57\) & \(52 \rightarrow 53\) & \(86 \rightarrow 87\) & \(5 \rightarrow 6\) \\
\hline Number of residues & 7 & 4 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\
\hline Procedure of isolation & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{G} 25 ; 6.5 \text {; } \\
& 3.5
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
{ }_{C}^{G 25 ; 6.5 ;}
\] & G25; 6.5 & G25;6.5 & G25;6.5 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 3 M. \(\frac{\text { Data on Armillaria mellea digest of CB2 }}{\text { see Table } 1 M \text {. For all details, }}\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Amino } \\
& \text { acid }
\end{aligned}
\] & CB2AM2a & C B2AM 2b & CB2AM2d & C B2AM 2 e & CB2AM2f & C B2AM3 \\
\hline Lys & 0.8 (1) & 0.6 & 0.7 (1) & 1.3 (1) & 1.3 (1) & 0.8 (1) \\
\hline Arg & 0.9 (1) & 1.8 (2) & 0.7 (1) & 0.9 (1) & 1.3 (1) & 1.0 (1) \\
\hline Cucys & 1.4 2 2 & 0.5 (1) & 0.7 (1) & 0.9 (1) & 1.3 (1) & 1.0 (1) \\
\hline Asp
Thr & \(\left.\begin{array}{ll}7.2 \\ 2.2 & 7 \\ 1\end{array}\right\}\) & \(4.2\left(\begin{array}{l}2 \\ 5.2 \\ 4\end{array}\right.\) & 1.9
1.0 \(\left\{^{2}\right\}\) & \(\left.\begin{array}{l}1.1 \\ 2.1\end{array}\right\}\) & 1.00 (1) \({ }^{1} 5\) & 1.8
0.9 \(2^{2}\) \\
\hline Ser & 2.2
1.8
1.9 & \(\left.\begin{array}{l}5.2 \\ 4.8 \\ 4.0\end{array}\right\}\) & 1.0
0.9 \(\left\{\begin{array}{l}1 \\ 1\end{array}\right\}\) & 2.1
1.0 \(\left(\begin{array}{l}2 \\ 1\end{array}\right\}\) & 1.5
0.9 \(\left\{\begin{array}{l}2 \\ 1\end{array}\right\}\) & 0.9
0.9 \(\left\{\begin{array}{l}1 \\ 1\end{array}\right\}\) \\
\hline Glu & 2.93 & 6.0 6 & 1.8 2 & & & 1.8 (2) \\
\hline Pro & 0.71 & 1.4 2) & 0.7 1 1 & & & 0.7 (1) \\
\hline Gly & 1.8 (2) & 8.1 9 & 2.5 (3) & 0.2 & 0.3 & 2.6 (3) \\
\hline Ala & 1.0 (1) & 5.6 5 & 6.3 ( 6 & 4.6 (5) & 5.0 (5) & 6.3 (6) \\
\hline Val & 1.0 11 & 2.2 (2) & 1.0 1 & 2.1 (2) & 1.9 (2) & 1.2 (1) \\
\hline 11 e & 0.7 1) & 1.7 (2) & 0.8 (1) & & & 0.9 (1) \\
\hline Leu & 1.72 & 3.0 (3) & 0.9 (1) & 0.9 (1) & 1.0 (1) & 1.0 (1) \\
\hline Tyr & 1.58 & 1.6 (2) & 0.7 (1) & & & 0.8 (1) \\
\hline Phe & 0.9 (1) & 1.2 (1) & 1.5 (2) & & & 1.8 (2) \\
\hline Trp & ND (1) & ND (1) & NO & ND & ND & ND \\
\hline Hse & & & & 0.3 (1) & 0.7 (1) & \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Mobility \\
(pH 6.5)
\end{tabular} & - 0.55 & - 0.22 & + 0.17 & \(+0.45\) & + 0.65 & + 0.17 \\
\hline N -terminal & Lys & Asn & Lys & Lys & Lys & Lys \\
\hline Yield & 12.3 \% & 0.5 \% & 43.6 \% & 1.12 & \(0.4 \%\) & 16.6 b \\
\hline Position & \(71+100\) & \(1 \rightarrow 46\) & \(47 \rightarrow 70\) & \(101+115\) & \(101+115\) & \(47 \rightarrow 70\) \\
\hline Number of residues & 30 & 46 & 24 & 15 & 15 & 24 \\
\hline Procedure of isolation & 650;6.5 & G50:6.5 & G50; 6.5 & 650;6.5 & G50;6.5 & G50 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 4M. Data on Staphyzococcus aureue protease digest of CBZAMZa.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Amino } \\
& \text { acid }
\end{aligned}
\] & CB2AM2aSAa & CB2AM2aSAb \\
\hline Lys & 1.0 (1) & \\
\hline His & & \\
\hline Arg & & 1.0 (1) \\
\hline CmCys & 0.5 (1) & 0.6 (1) \\
\hline Asp & 3.9 (4) & 2.3 (3) \\
\hline Thr & 1.9 (2) & \\
\hline Ser & & 1.3 (2) \\
\hline G1u & 3.1 (3) & \\
\hline Pro & 1.0 (1) & \\
\hline Ala & 1.0
1.0
1 (1) & 1.9 (2) \\
\hline Val & 0.8 (1) & \\
\hline Ile & 1.0 (1) & \\
\hline Leu & 1.4 (1) & 0.9 (1) \\
\hline Tyr & 2.0 (2) & \\
\hline Phe & 0.8 (1) & \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Trp } \\
& \text { Hse }
\end{aligned}
\] & ND & ND (1) \\
\hline Mobility (pH 6.5) & - 0.35 & - 0.18 \\
\hline N -terminal & Lys & Leu \\
\hline Yield & \(31.9 \%\) & \(40.8 \%\) \\
\hline Position & \(71 \rightarrow 89\) & \(90 \rightarrow 100\) \\
\hline Number of residues & 19 & 11 \\
\hline Procedure of isolation & 6.5 & 6.5 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 5 M. Time course of degradation of 10 nmol of CB2AM2aSAa (71-89) \(\frac{\text { Time course of degrada }}{\text { by carboxypeptidase Y }}\)
\begin{tabular}{ccccccc}
\hline \multirow{2}{*}{ Time } & \multicolumn{8}{c}{ Amount of amino acid restdue released } \\
& Asn & Phe & Thr & Tyr & Ala & Glu \\
\hline min & nmol & nmol & nmol & nmol & nmol & nmol \\
\hline 0 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
10 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.49 & 0.53 & 0.66 & 0.95 \\
20 & 0.00 & 0.25 & 0.81 & 0.84 & 0.74 & 1.00 \\
40 & 0.00 & 0.81 & 1.03 & 0.95 & 1.03 & 1.07 \\
60 & 0.00 & 0.94 & 1.02 & 0.94 & 1.00 & 1.09 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table \(6 M\). Data on tryptic peptides of CB3. For all detafls, see
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Amino } \\
& \text { acid } \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] & CB3Tld & CB3T1h & CB3T14 & CB3T1j & CB3T2a & CB3T2b & CB3T2e \\
\hline Lys & & & & & & & \\
\hline His & 1.1 (1) & 0.9 (1) & 3.4 (4) & 4.0 (4) & & & 0.6 (1) \\
\hline Arg & 0.2 & 1.1 (1) & 1.4 (1) & 1.4 (1) & & & \\
\hline CmCys & 0.5 (1) & 0.6 (1) & & & 0.7 (1) & 0.6 (1) & \\
\hline Asp & 1.1 (1) & 1.0 (1) & 3.9 (4) & 3.9 (4) & & & \\
\hline Thr & 0.2 & & 1.9 (2) & 1.8 (2) & & & \\
\hline Ser & 0.9 (1) & 0.6 (1) & & & 2.1 (2) & 1.9 (2) & \\
\hline Glu & 1.2 \{1\} & 1.4 \{2\} & 1.3 \{ 1 , & 0.9 \{1) & & & \\
\hline Pro
G1y & & & 1.7 (2) & 1.9 (2) & 0.9 (1) & 1.1 (1) & \\
\hline Gly & 2.3 (4) & 2.8 (4) & 6.3 (7) & 6.5 (7) & 1.3 (1) & 1.2 (1) & 1.0 (1) \\
\hline Ala
Val & 3.6 (4) & 4.9 (7) & 1.0 (1) & 1.0 (1) & 1.1 (1) & 1.2 (1) & \\
\hline Val & 0.3 & & 1.2 (1) & 1.0 (1) & & & \\
\hline Leu & 1.1 (1) & 2.3 (2) & 1.9
0.9
0.9 & 1.0
0.9 & 0.8 (1) & 1.0 (1) & \\
\hline Tyr & 0.3 (1) & 1.0 (1) & 0.6 (1) & 0.5 1 & & & 0.6 (1) \\
\hline Phe & 0.6 (1) & 1.3 (1) & 0.9 (1) & 0.8 (1) & 0.8 (1) & 1.0 (1) & \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Trp } \\
& \text { Hse }
\end{aligned}
\] & NO & ND & ND & ND & ND (1) & ND (1) & ND \\
\hline Mobility ( pH 6.5 ) & - 0.25 & - 0.06 & 0.00 & + 0.29 & - 0.51 & - 0.19 & + 0.53 \\
\hline N -terminal & Tyr & Tyr & Ast & Ast & Phe & Phe & Tyr \\
\hline Yield & \(6.2 \%\) & 24.2\% & 11.4 \% & \(21.8 \%\) & 1.6 \% & 12.9 \% & \(2.4 \%\) \\
\hline Position & \(155+170\) & \(155+176\) & \(177 \rightarrow 203\) & \(177+203\) & \(204 \rightarrow 212\) & \(204+212\) & \(155+157\) \\
\hline Number of residues & 16 & 22 & 27 & 27 & 9 & 9 & 3 \\
\hline Procedure of isolation & G25;6.5 & G25;6.5 & G25;6.5 & G25;6.5 & G25;6.5 & G25;6.5 & G25;6.5 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 7M. \(\frac{\text { Data on thermolytic peptides of CB3 }}{\text { Table } 1 M .}\). For all details, see
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Amino } \\
& \text { acid }
\end{aligned}
\] & CB3TH1C & CB3TH1e & CB3TH2e & C B3 TH21 & CB3TH3ad: \\
\hline Lys & & & & & \\
\hline His & 2.8 (3) & 3.3 (3) & 0.9 (1) & & \\
\hline Arg & & & 0.9 (1) & \[
0.9 \text { (1) }
\] & \\
\hline CmCys & & & &  & 0.5 (1) \\
\hline Asp
Thr & 2.2
1.7 & 2.0
1.2
1 \(\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { (2) }\end{array}\right.\) & 1.0 (1) & 1.0 (1) & \\
\hline Ser & & & 0.9 (1) & & 1.4 (2) \\
\hline Glu & 0.9 (1) & & 1.2 (1) & & 1.4 \\
\hline Pro & 1.9 (2) & 2.1 (2) & & & 0.9 (1) \\
\hline G1y & 3.3 (3) & 3.3 (3) & 4.1 (4) & \(\left.1.0{ }^{1} 1\right)\) & 1.5 (1) \\
\hline Ala & & & 3.0 (3) & 1.6 (2) & 1.2 (1) \\
\hline Ile & 0.8 (1) & 0.9 (1) & & & 0.9 (1) \\
\hline Leu & 0.8 (1) & 0.8 (1) & 1.3 (1) & & 0.9 (1) \\
\hline Tyr & 0.9 (1) & 1.1 (1) & 1.0 (1) & & \\
\hline Phe & 0.6 (1) & & & & \\
\hline Trp & ND & ND & ND & ND & ND \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Mobility \\
(pH 6.5)
\end{tabular} & + 0.13 & + 0.38 & 0.00 & + 0.81 & - 0.37 \\
\hline N -terminal & Phe & Ile & Tyr & Ala & Ser \\
\hline Yield & \(1.6 \%\) & 15.7 \% & 1.9\% & 7.7 \% & 2.2\% \\
\hline Position & \(180 \rightarrow 196\) & \(183+196\) & \(155 \rightarrow 167\) & \(174 \rightarrow 179\) & 206-212 \\
\hline Number of residues & 17 & 14 & 13 & 6 & 7 \\
\hline Procedure of isolation & G25;6.5 & G25;6.5 & G25;6.5 & 625;6.5 & \[
{ }_{C}^{G 25 ; 6.5 ;}
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 7M (continued a)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Amino } \\
& \text { acid } \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] & CB3TH3 ba: & CB3TH3Cf & CB3TH4ed: & C B3TH4ef\% & C B3 TH5b \\
\hline Lys & & & & & \\
\hline His & & & 0.7 (1) & & \\
\hline Arg & & 0.9 (1) & & & \\
\hline CmCys & 0.3 (1) & & & & \\
\hline Asp & & 1.0 (1) & 1.0 (1) & & \\
\hline Thr & 0.8 (1) & & & & 1.0 (1) \\
\hline Glu & 0.9 (1) & & & 1.1 (1) & 1.1 (1) \\
\hline Pro & & & & & \\
\hline G1y & 3.0 (3) & 3.0 (3) & 1.3 (1) & & \\
\hline Ala & \(2.0\langle 2\rangle\) & 1.2 \{1\} & 1.7 (2) & 1.0 (1) & \\
\hline Val & & 0.9 (1) & & & \\
\hline Leu & 0.7 (1) & & & 1.0 (1) & \\
\hline Tyr & & & 0.7 (1) & 1.0 (1) & \\
\hline Phe & 0.8 (1) & & & & 0.9 (1) \\
\hline Trp & ND & ND & ND & ND & \\
\hline Mobility (pH 6.5) & - 0.29 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & - 0.52 \\
\hline N -terminal & Leu & Val & Tyr & Leu & Phe \\
\hline Yield & \(2.1 \%\) & \(9.0 \%\) & \(4.2 \%\) & 10.7 \% & 8.1 \% \\
\hline Position & \(161+170\) & \(197 \rightarrow 203\) & \(155+160\) & \(171+173\) & \(180 \rightarrow 182\) \\
\hline Number of residues & 10 & 7 & 6 & 3 & 3 \\
\hline Procedure of isolation & \[
{ }_{C}^{G 25 ; 6.5 ;}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{G} 25 ; 6.5 ; \\
& 3.5
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
{ }_{C}^{G 25 ; 6.5 ;}
\] & \[
{ }_{\mathrm{C}}^{\mathrm{G}} 25 ; 6.5 ;
\] & 625;6.5 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 8M. Data on Staphytocoooua aurema protease digest of CB3. For
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Anino } \\
& \text { acid }
\end{aligned}
\] & CB3SAla & CB3SAlbe* & CB3SA2ab* & CB3SA2c & CB3 SA2d \\
\hline Lys & & & & & \\
\hline His & 2.0 (2) & 3.1 (3) & & & 0.9 (1) \\
\hline Arg & 1.2 1 1 & 1.0 1) & & & - (1) \\
\hline CmCys & 0.5
2.0 & 0.5
2.8 & 0.6 (1) & 0.4 (1) & 0.7 (1) \\
\hline Asp
Thr
Ser & 2.0
1.2
1.3 (2) 13 & 2.8
0.9 & & & 1.2 (1) \\
\hline Ser & 1.3 1.2 \(\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { 1 } \\ 1 \\ 1\end{array}\right\}\) & 0.9
1.6 \(\left\{\begin{array}{l}1 \\ 2\end{array}\right\}\) & 0.8 (1) & 0.9 (1) & 0.9 (1) \\
\hline Glu & 3.2 (3) & 0.2 & \(0 \cdot 8\) (1) & 1.3 (1) & 1.2 (1) \\
\hline Pro & & 3.0 (3) & 0.5 (1) & & \\
\hline Gly & 4.9
6.2 \(\left\{\begin{array}{l}5 \\ 7\end{array}\right\}\) & 7.1
2.3 & \(1.2(1)\) & \(\left.2.99 \begin{array}{l}2 \\ 3.1\end{array}\right\}\) & 3.9
4.0 \(\left\{\begin{array}{l}4 \\ 4\end{array}\right\}\) \\
\hline Val & 6.2 (7) & 2.3
0.7 \(\left\{\begin{array}{l}2 \\ 1\end{array}\right\}\) & 0.8 (1) & 3.1 (3) & 4.0 (4) \\
\hline Ile & & 1.7 (2) & 0.8 (1) & & \\
\hline Leu & 1.8 (2) & 0.8 (1) & & 1.0 (1) & 1.6 (2) \\
\hline Tyr & 0.8 (1) & 0.3 1) & & & 0.6 (1) \\
\hline Phe & 2.3 (2) & 0.5 1 13 & & 0.9 (1) & 1.1 \{1) \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Trp } \\
& \text { Hse }
\end{aligned}
\] & ND & ND (1) & ND & ND & ND \\
\hline Mobility (pH 6.5) & 0.00 & + 0.08 & - 0.48 & - 0.28 & - 0.25 \\
\hline N -terminal & Tyr & 11e & Ala & Ala & Tyr \\
\hline Yield & 21.9 \% & 48 & \(3.9 \%\) & 2.5 \% & 2.2 \% \\
\hline Position & \(155 \rightarrow 182\) & \(183 \rightarrow 212\) & \(207 * 212\) & \(163-172\) & \(155+171\) \\
\hline Number of residues & 28 & 30 & 6 & 10 & 17 \\
\hline procedure of isolation & P4; 6,5 & \[
{ }_{C}^{P} 4 ; 6.5 \text {; }
\] & \[
{ }_{c}^{p 4 ; 6.5 ;}
\] & P4; 6.5 & P4; 6.5 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 8M (continued a)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Amino } \\
& \text { acid } \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] & CB3SA2ea* & CB3 SA 2 eb : & CB3SA3a \\
\hline Lys & & & \\
\hline His & 0.8 (1) & 0.6 (1) & 1.1 (1) \\
\hline Arg & 0.9 (1) & & \\
\hline CmCys & 0.5 (1) & & \\
\hline Asp & 1.3 (1) & 1.1 (1) & 1.2 (1) \\
\hline Thr & \(0.9 \quad 1\) & & \\
\hline Ser & 0.8 (1) & & \\
\hline Glu & 2.6 (3) & & \\
\hline Pro & 0.1 & & \\
\hline Gly & 2.3 (2) & 2.8 (3) & 2.6 (3) \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Ala } \\
& \text { Val }
\end{aligned}
\] & 3.7 (4) & 2.8 (3) & 2.7 (3) \\
\hline le & & & \\
\hline & 0.9 (1) & & \\
\hline Tyr
Phe
Tr & 1.7 (2) & 0.5 (1) & 0.9 (1) \\
\hline Trp & ND & ND & ND \\
\hline Hse & & & \\
\hline Mobility (pH 6.5) & - 0.48 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline N -terminal & Ser & Tyr & Tyr \\
\hline Yield & \(6.5 \%\) & 22.4 \% & 14.0 \% \\
\hline Position & \(165 \rightarrow 182\) & \(155-164\) & \(155+164\) \\
\hline Number of residues & 18 & 10 & 10 \\
\hline Procedure of isolation & \[
{ }_{C}^{P 4 ; 6.5 ;}
\] & \[
{ }_{C}^{\mathrm{P}} 4 ; 6.5 \text {; }
\] & P4;6.5 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table \(9 M\). Data on chymotryptic peptides of CB3Tlj. For all details,
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Amino } \\
& \text { acid } \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] & CB3T1jCd & CB3T1jCf & CB3T1jCh \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Lys } \\
& \text { His } \\
& \text { Arg }
\end{aligned}
\] & 0.8 (1) & 3.0 (3) & 1.1 (1) \\
\hline CmCys & & & \\
\hline Asp & 0.9 (1) & 1.9 \({ }^{1}\) \{ 2\(\}\) & 0.8 (1) \\
\hline Thr & & 1.5 (2) & \\
\hline Ser & & & \\
\hline Glu & & 0.8 (1) & \\
\hline Pro & & 1.9 (2) & \\
\hline 61 y & 3.2 (3) & 2.8 (3) & 1.2 (1) \\
\hline Ala & 0.9 (1) & & \\
\hline Val & 0.6 (1) & & \\
\hline [1e & & 0.7
0.8
0.8 & \\
\hline Leu & & \begin{tabular}{l}
0.8 \\
0.7 \\
\hline 1
\end{tabular} & \\
\hline Phe & & & 0.9 (1) \\
\hline Trp & N0 & ND & NO \\
\hline Hse & & & \\
\hline Mobility (pH 6.5) & 0.00 & + 0.13 & + 0.35 \\
\hline N -terminal & Val & Thr & Asn \\
\hline Yield & \(6.9 \%\) & 26.9 \% & \(13.4 \%\) \\
\hline Position & \(197 \rightarrow 203\) & \(181+196\) & \(177+180\) \\
\hline Number of residues & 7 & 16 & 4 \\
\hline Procedure of isolation & 6.5 & 6.5 & 6.5 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table \(10 M\). Data on chymotryptic peptides of CB4 . For all details, see
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Amino } \\
& \text { acid }
\end{aligned}
\] & CB4Cb & CB4Cd \\
\hline Lys & 0.9 (1) & \\
\hline His & & 0.9 (1) \\
\hline Arg & & 1.6 (2) \\
\hline CmCys & & 0.3 (1) \\
\hline Asp & \(2.2(2)\) & 3.03 \\
\hline Thr & 1.0 (1) & 0.8 1 \\
\hline Ser & 0.5 & 3.3 (4) \\
\hline Glu & & \\
\hline Pro & 0.9 (1) & \\
\hline Gly & 2.9 (3) & 2.8 (2) \\
\hline Ala & & 1.1 (1) \\
\hline Val & 1.1 (1) & 2.0 (2) \\
\hline 11 e & 1.1 (1) & \\
\hline Leu & & \\
\hline Tyr & & \\
\hline phe & 0.7 (1) & \\
\hline Trp & & \\
\hline Hse & & 0.7 (1) \\
\hline Mobility (pH 6.5) & 0.00 & + 0.24 \\
\hline N -terminal & Gly & Arg \\
\hline Yield & 40.5 \% & 36.0\% \\
\hline Position & \(126+136\) & \(137 \rightarrow 154\) \\
\hline Number of residues & 11 & 18 \\
\hline Procedure of isolation & 6.5 & 6.5 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table IIM. Data on tryptic limited digest of the SPE-protein. For all
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Amino } \\
& \text { acid }
\end{aligned}
\] & TL1 & TL2fb* & TL2g & TL2h & TL3a & TL3gc \\
\hline Lys & 0.8 (1) & 0.8 (1) & & & & \\
\hline His & & 1.0 (1) & 2.6 (4) & 3.8 (4) & & \\
\hline Arg & 0.9 (1) & 0.8 (1) & 1.2 (1) & 1.0 (1) & 1.0 (1) & 1.0 (1) \\
\hline PeCys & NO & ND & ND & ND & ND (1) & ND \\
\hline \({ }_{\text {Asp }}\) & 0.9 (1) & 2.1 (2) & 3.9 (4) & 3.6 (4) & 1.3 (1) & \\
\hline Thr & 1.8 (2) & 0.9 (1) & 1.9 (2) & 1.8 (2) & 1.8 (2) & \\
\hline Ser
Glu & 2.9 (3) & 0.7 & \(\begin{array}{ll}1.0 \\ 1.1 & (1)\end{array}\) & 1.2 (1) & 3.4
2.2 & 2.0 (2) \\
\hline Pro & 1.7 (2) & 0.5 (1) & 1.9 (2) & 1.9 (2) & & 2.0 (2) \\
\hline Gly & 5.1 (5) & 2.7 (3) & 6.2 (7) & 6.3 (7) & 3.4 (4) & \\
\hline Ala & 5.5 (6) & 1.1 (1) & 1.3 (1) & 1.0 (1) & 1.2 (1) & \\
\hline Val & 1.9 (2) & 1.0 (1) & 1.1 (1) & 0.8 (1) & 0.9 (1) & \\
\hline Ile & 0.9 (1) & 0.7 (1) & 0.9 (1) & 0.8 (1) & & 0.8 (1) \\
\hline Leu & 1.1 (1) & & 1.1 (1) & 1.1 (1) & 1.0 (1) & 1.2 (1) \\
\hline Tyr & 1.0 (1) & & 0.9 (1) & 0.9 (1) & 0.8 (1) & \\
\hline Phe & 1.0 (1) & 0.6 (1) & 1.0 (1) & 0.9 (1) & & \\
\hline Trp & ND & ND & ND & ND & ND (1) & ND \\
\hline Met & & 0.3 (1) & & & & \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Mobility \\
(pH 6.5)
\end{tabular} & & + 0.10 & + 0.19 & + 0.24 & - 0.32 & + 0.59 \\
\hline N -terminal & Val & His & Asn & Asn & Asn & 61 n \\
\hline Yield & \(76.5 \%\) & \(9.9 \%\) & \(25.5 \%\) & 30.6 \% & \(8.6 \%\) & 25.6 \% \\
\hline Position & \(26 \rightarrow 52\) & \(123 \rightarrow 137\) & \(177 \rightarrow 203\) & \(177+203\) & \(1+20\) & \(21+25\) \\
\hline Number of residues & 27 & 15 & 27 & 27 & 20 & 5 \\
\hline Procedure of isolation & P6 & \[
{ }_{C}^{P 6 ; 6.5 ;}
\] & P6;6.5 & P6;6.5 & P6;6.5 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& P 6 ; 6.5 ; \\
& 3.5
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 11M (continued a)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Amino acid & TL3gd & TL4ac & TL4b & TL5 \\
\hline Lys & & & & \\
\hline His & 1.5 (2) & & & \\
\hline Arg & & & & \\
\hline Pecys & ND & ND & ND (1) & N0 \\
\hline Asp & & & & 0.3 \\
\hline Ser & & 1.1 (1) & 1.5 (2) & 1.0 (1) \\
\hline GIu & & 1.2 (1) & 1.5 (2) & 0.9 (1) \\
\hline Pro & & & 1.0 (1) & 0.3 \\
\hline Gly & 1.3 (1) & & 0.9 1 & 0.4 \\
\hline Val & & 1.0 (1) & & 1.2 (1) \\
\hline 11 e & & & 0.9 (1) & \\
\hline Leu & & & & \\
\hline Tyr & 0.8 (1) & 0.8 (1) & & 0.9 (1) \\
\hline Phe & & 0.9 (1) & & 1.1 (1) \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Trp } \\
& \text { Met }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ND } \\
& 0.5 \text { (1) }
\end{aligned}
\] & ND & ND (1) & ND \\
\hline Mobility (pH 6.5) & + 0.59 & 0.00 & + 0.13 & 0.00 \\
\hline N -terminal & His & Phe & Phe & Phe \\
\hline Yield & \(3.5 \%\) & \(2.9 \%\) & 39.0 \% & 24.3\% \\
\hline Position & \(153+157\) & \(53+57\) & \(204 \rightarrow 212\) & \(53 * 57\) \\
\hline Number of residues & 5 & 5 & 9 & 5 \\
\hline Procedure of isolation & \[
\begin{aligned}
& P 6 ; 6.5 ; \\
& 3.5
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { P6;6.5; } \\
& 3.5
\end{aligned}
\] & P6;6.5 & P6;6.5 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 12M. Data on tryptic peptides of TLI. For all details, see Table
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Amino } \\
& \text { acid } \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] & TLITa & TLITC \\
\hline Lys & 1.0 (1) & 0.3 \\
\hline His & & \\
\hline Arg & & 0.7 (1) \\
\hline PeCys & ND & \\
\hline Asp & 1.3 (1) & \\
\hline Thr & 1.9 (2) & \\
\hline Ser & 1.2 & \\
\hline G1u & 1.7 (2) & 1.0 (1) \\
\hline Pro & 2.0 2 & 1.0 (1) \\
\hline G1y & 4.2 ( 5 & 0.6 \\
\hline Ala
Val & 4.1 134 & 1.7 \(\left\{^{2}\right\}\) \\
\hline Val
11 e & 1.3
1.2
0.7
1 & 0.9 (1) \\
\hline Leu & 1.2
0.7
0.6 & \\
\hline Tyr & 0.6 (1) & \\
\hline Phe & \(0.9\{1\}\) & \\
\hline Trp & ND & ND \\
\hline Mobility (pH 6.5) & 0.00 & + 0.63 \\
\hline N -terminal & Val & Ala \\
\hline Yield & 40.0 \% & \(35.0 \%\) \\
\hline Position & \(26 \rightarrow 47\) & \(48 \rightarrow 52\) \\
\hline Number of residues & 22 & 5 \\
\hline Procedure of isolation & 6.5 & 6.5 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 13M. \(\frac{\text { Data on tryptic peptides of } S C M \text {-protein followed by radio- }}{\frac{\text { active labelling of methionine (TMI experiment) }}{\text { details, see Table } 1 M} \text {. For all }}\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Amino } \\
& \text { acid } \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] & TMI3 \({ }^{\text {c }}\) & TMI3q & TMI4 \({ }^{\text {b }}\) & TMI4 \({ }^{\text {c }}\) & TMI5 \({ }^{\text {c }}\) & TM 18 b & TM I 10,1 \\
\hline Lys & & 1.0 (1) & & 1.0 (1) & & & \\
\hline His & & & & & & 2.5 (4) & \\
\hline Arg & 1.0 (1) & & & & & 1.0 (1) & 1.0 (1) \\
\hline CmCys & 0.2 (1) & & 0.3 (1) & & & & \\
\hline Asp & 1.6 (1) & 2.2 (2) & & 1.9 (2) & & 3.7 (4) & \\
\hline Thr & 1.9 (2) & 0.7 (1) & & 0.9 (1) & & 1.7 (2) & \\
\hline Ser & 3.6 (4) & & 1.8 (2) & 1.2 (1) & 1.0 (1) & & \\
\hline Gro & 2.3 (2) & 0.4
0.8
2.8 & & \begin{tabular}{l}
1.1 \\
0.9 \\
\hline 1
\end{tabular} & 1.1 (1) & 1.1
1.7
5.7
(2) & 1.1 (1) \\
\hline Cro & 3.5 (4) & 0.8
2.5
2.5 & 1.1
0.6
0.6
(1) & \begin{tabular}{l}
1.9 \\
2.8 \\
\hline .8
\end{tabular} & 0.3 & \begin{tabular}{l}
1.7 \\
5.9 \\
\hline .9
\end{tabular} & \\
\hline Ala & 1.2 (1) & 2.9 (3) & 0.6 (1) & 4.1 (4) & 1.1 (1) & 1.2 (1) & 1.1 (1) \\
\hline Val
Ile & 1.0 (1) & & & & & 1.1 (1) & \\
\hline Leu & 1.1 (1) & 0.9 (1) & 0.6 (1) & \begin{tabular}{l}
0.9 \\
0.9 \\
\hline 1
\end{tabular} & & 1.9
0.9
0.9 & 0.8 (1) \\
\hline Tyr & 0.8 (1) & & & 0.6 (1) & 0.8 (1) & 0.6 (1) & \\
\hline Phe & & 0.8 (1) & 0.5 (1) & 1.8 (2) & 1.0 (1) & 1.0 (1) & \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Trp } \\
& \text { Met }
\end{aligned}
\] & ND (1) & ND & ND (1) & ND & ND & ND & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ND } \\
& 0.9 \text { (1) }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline Mobility (pH 6.5) & ND & ND & ND & ND & ND & NO & ND \\
\hline N -terminal & Asp & Gly & Phe & Phe & Phe & Asn & Leu \\
\hline Yield & 19.6 \% & 32.0 \% & 58.6 \% & 6.3 \% & \(11.4 \%\) & 42.4\% & 6.4 \% \\
\hline Position & \(1+20\) & \(58 \rightarrow 71\) & \(204+212\) & \(53 \rightarrow 71\) & \(53 \rightarrow 57\) & \(177 \rightarrow 203\) & \(118+122\) \\
\hline Number of residues & 20 & 14 & 9 & 19 & 5 & 27 & 5 \\
\hline Procedure of isolation & i; 3.5 & i; 3.5 & 1; 3.5 & i; 3.5 & i; 3.5 & i; 3.5 & i; i \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 14 M . Data on tryptic peptides of SCM-protein followed by radio active labelling of methianine (MMs experiment). For all
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Amino acid & TMS1, 2c & TMS2,2c & TMS2,2d \\
\hline Lys & & 0.8 (1) & \\
\hline His & & & \\
\hline Arg & 0.9 (1) & & 0.9 (1) \\
\hline CmCys & 0.2 1 & & \\
\hline Asp & 3.0 3 & 1.1 (1) & \\
\hline Thr & 1.1 (1) & 1.1 (1) & \\
\hline Ser & 3.1 (4) & 0.3 & \\
\hline Glu & & & 1.1 (1) \\
\hline Pro & & & \\
\hline Gly & 2.7 (2) & 0.3 & \\
\hline Ala & 1.0 (1) & \(\left.1.922^{2}\right\}\) & 1.0 (1) \\
\hline Val
11 e & & 1.2 (1) & \\
\hline Leu & & 0.9 (1) & 0.7 (1) \\
\hline Tyr & & & \\
\hline Phe & & & \\
\hline Trp & No & & \\
\hline & & 0.6 (1) & 0.6 (1) \\
\hline Mobility (pH 6.5) & 0.00 & + 0.29 & \(+0.40\) \\
\hline N-terminal & Ser & Ala & Leu \\
\hline Yield & 7.2 \% & 4.0\% & 10.6 \% \\
\hline Position & \(138 \rightarrow 152\) & \(109+117\) & \(118 \rightarrow 122\) \\
\hline Number of residues & 15 & 9 & 5 \\
\hline Procedure of isolation & \[
\begin{aligned}
& P 6 ; G 25 ; \\
& 6.5
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& P 6 ; P 2 ; \\
& 6.5
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& P 6 ; P 2 ; \\
& 6.5
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 15 M . Data on peptic peptides containing cysteic acid. For all
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Amino
acid & Pa & Pb & Pc & Pd & Pea & Peb \\
\hline Lys & & & & & & 0.5 (1) \\
\hline His & & & & & & \\
\hline Arg & & & & & 1.1 (1) & 0.6 (1) \\
\hline Cy a & 0.8 (1) & 1.0 (1) & 0.9 (1) & 0.9 (1) & 1.0 (1) & 0.5 (1) \\
\hline Asp & 3.0 (3) & 3.2 (3) & & & 3.2 (3) & 2.7 (3) \\
\hline Thr & 1.2 (1) & 1.1 (1) & & & 1.4 (1) & 0.6 (1) \\
\hline Ser & & & 0.7 (2) & 0.5 & 2.6 (3) & 2.8 (3) \\
\hline Glu & 0.5 (1) & 0.5 (1) & & 0.9 (1) & 0.6 & \\
\hline Gly & & 0.5 (1) & 0.7 (1) & 0.6 & 3.9 (4) & 2.3 (2) \\
\hline Ala & & & 1.6 (1) & 2.1 (2) & 0.8 (1) & 1.5 (2) \\
\hline Val & 0.4 (1) & 1.0 (1) & & & 2.5 (2) & 0.7 (1) \\
\hline Leu & & & & 1.1 (1) & 0.4 & \\
\hline Tyr & & & & 1.1 (1) & 0.4 & \\
\hline Phe & & 0.5 (1) & 0.5 (1) & 0.4 (1) & 0.2 (1) & \\
\hline Trp & ND & ND & ND (1) & ND & ND & ND (1) \\
\hline MetO & & & & & & \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Mobility \\
(pH 6.5) \({ }^{\text {a }}\)
\end{tabular} & - 0.90 & - 0.80 & - 0.60 & - 0.40 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline N -terminal & Asp & Asp & Phe & Phe & Gly & Asn \\
\hline Yield & \(2.9 \%\) & 6.2 \% & 3.3 \% & 2.1 \% & 2.2 \% & \(1.7 \%\) \\
\hline Position & \(78 \rightarrow 84\) & \(78+85\) & \(204 \rightarrow 211\) & \(168 \rightarrow 173\) & \(134+150\) & \(91+106\) \\
\hline Number of residues & 7 & 8 & 8 & 6 & 17 & 16 \\
\hline Procedure of isolation & 6.50 & 6.5 D & 6.5 D & 6.5 D & 6.5 D
3.5 & 6.5
3.5 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
a) After performic oxidation.
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[^0]:    Abbreviations. Cya, cysteic acid; $\mathrm{CmCys}, S$-carboxymethyl-cysteine; PeCys, S-[2-(4'-pyridyl)ethyl]cysteine; SCM-protein, protein treated with iodoacetic acid giving rise to CmCys residues; SPE-protein, protein treated with 4 -vinyl-pyridine giving rise to PeCys residues; DABITC, 4 - $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylaminoazobenzene- 4 -isothiocyanate; PITC, phenylisothiocyanate; dansyl and Dns, 5-dimethylaminonaphthalene-1-sulfonyl; $\mathrm{Nbs}_{2}, 5,5$-dithio-bis(2-nitrobenzoic acid); ETPA, exo-cis-3,6-endoxo-$\Delta^{4}$-tetrahydrophthalic anhydride; EtSH, 2-mercaptoethanol; Hse, homoserine; $\mathrm{MetO}_{2}$, methionine sulfone; HPLC, high-performance liquid chromatography; SDS, sodium dodecyl sulfate.

    Enzymes. D-Alanyl-D-alanine-cleaving carboxypeptidase or DD-peptidase (EC 3.4.17.8) ; Armillaria mellea protease (EC 3.4.99.32); carboxypeptidase Y (EC 3.4.16.1); chymotrypsin (EC 3.4.21.1); pepsin (EC 3.4.23.1) ; Staphylococcus aureus V8 protease (EC 3.4.21.19); thermolysin (EC 3.4.24.4); trypsin (EC 3.4.21.4).

