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ABSTRACT

Interactivity is one of the key challenges for immersive applications like gaming. Manufacturers have been working towards interfaces that are driven by a device (e.g. a Wiimote) or interfaces that are controlled by a camera with a subsequent computer vision module. Both approaches have unique advantages, but they do not permit to localize users in the scene with an appropriate accuracy. Therefore, we propose to use both a range camera and accurate range sensors to enable the interpretation of movements.

This paper describes a platform that uses a range camera to acquire the silhouettes of users, regardless of illumination, and to improve the pose recovery with range information after some image processing steps. In addition, to circumvent the difficult process of calibration required to map range values to physical distances, we complete the system with several range laser sensors. These sensors are located in a horizontal plane, and measure distances up to a few centimeters. We combine all these measurements to obtain a localization map, used to locate users in the scene at a negligible computational cost. Our method fills a gap in 3D applications that requires absolute positions.

Index Terms — Detectors, distance measurement, image analysis, image shape analysis, interactive computing.

1. INTRODUCTION

The gaming industry is working towards immersive systems that offer both impressive performances and an intuitive interface. Microsoft, with its announcement of a new interface (built around a range camera) for its Xbox, has raised the next generation interfaces to an even higher standard.

 Whereas motion capture is intended to achieve a perfect reconstruction of a user’s movements, often at the price of a loss of responsiveness and discomfort (a user has to carry tags), gaming does not require such a degree of motion fidelity. In this paper, we consider the scope of an interactive application driven by a range camera (also called 3D, distance, or depth camera) and other range devices, where unobtrusiveness is mandatory. Consequently, solutions that require one to hold a controller (such as the Wiimote of Nintendo), to wear (passive or active) tags for motion capture or for localization are discarded.

Depth maps, as provided by range cameras, ease the interpretation of movements made by users. In theory, it is possible to localize users from depth maps, but at the cost of an additional computer vision module. But practice shows that mapping range data to precise and accurate distances remains a difficult problem. Note that the absolute positioning of users is also an issue in motion capture, where one often sees users drift (or slide) while walking.

We have designed a platform that combines a range camera and range laser sensors (also called rotating laser sensors). While the range camera helps to interpret the user’s movements, the range laser devices are useful to localize users in the scene since they offer both a better precision and a better accuracy.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 describes the two kinds of range sensors used in our platform (see Figure 1). Sections 3 and 4 respectively detail how it is possible to interpret the behavior of users and to localize them in the scene. For the localization, we develop the notion of a localization map that tracks the position of users in an horizontal plane. Section 5 concludes this paper.

2. RANGE SENSORS

The usual setup for a camera based interface is that of a camera located in front of the users. The constraint of placing the camera in front of the scene is not the only one. Color cameras require a controlled, if not constant, illumination, and have severe limitations (objects in the foreground and background sharing the same colors are indistinguishable, distances are derived from colors instead of measuring the distance, etc). Therefore, applications often rely on an additional 3D model to interpret the scene and movements. But the reasonable question to ask is whether or not 3D models are better matched to the information provided by a range camera. Among the advantages of range sensors, let us emphasize three of them:

1. Range is a valuable information for interactive 3D applications (like gaming), which limits the computational cost. By contrast with 2D cameras that have to estimate 3D coordinates, the behavior analysis is derived from a simpler computer vision module, which makes the range information suitable for real-time and low cost applications. Note
that stereoscopic vision is an alternative way to retrieve range information but, in an uncontrolled scene, the potential lack of texture or contour information could cause the stereoscopic vision to fail;

2. Range sensors operate with their own light sources and are thus independent of lightning conditions. These devices are usable in dark rooms, like projection rooms, or in a room where illumination changes are common, such as changes caused by light through a window;

3. Finally, range sensors are unobtrusive. They do not require the users to wear active or passive tags.

Next, we describe the technology of the two components of our platform: range cameras and rotating laser scanners.

2.1. PMD cameras

PMD (Photonic Mixer Device) cameras are a widespread technology for range cameras. The principle is as follows. A light source emits an infrared signal modulated in amplitude. The camera receives a time-delayed and attenuated return signal, plus some ambient light. The emitted and received signals are compared to estimate distances (which are proportional to the phase shift). The derivation of a simple theoretical model and a discussion of the numerous imperfections can be found in [1, 2, 3, 4]. For example, it is shown that precision is obtained at the price of a longer integration time. Radmer et al. [5] have reported errors on the distance measurements of up to 0.3 m. The difficulties to calibrate the camera have also been raised in several papers.

Despite their inaccuracy, range cameras are usable to understand the behavior of users (see Section 3). We use a PMD camera PMD[vision] 19k (see Figure 1). It produces images at low resolution (160 × 120 pixels) and at low frame-rate (about 5.7 fps).

2.2. Rotating laser sensors

Laser range sensors are also a known technology. Simple devices measure distances for a few 3D directions, but more sophisticated sensors exist. For example, there are devices that are used in conjunction with rotating mirrors to scan a 360° field of view.

Our platform uses rotating laser sensors BEA LZR P-200 (see Figure 1) for the localization. Each sensor scans four planes and spans an angle of 96°; in our work, a single plane suffices. In a plane, 274 distance measures are taken at a frequency of 15 times per second. We observe that measurement errors are at most of 0.03 m. Figure 2 shows the positioning of two laser sensors and their respective raw data. One strength of using these sensors is that their raw data are expressed in meters directly, and that no calibration is needed due to their good accuracy. However, the interpretation of the raw data is not straightforward. This motivates us to build a localization map instead of using the raw data (see Section 4). We have designed a real-time algorithm that transforms the angular measurements in an euclidean plane, and that intersects the results provided by an arbitrary number of sensors.

3. A RANGE CAMERA TO UNDERSTAND THE BEHAVIOR

For interactivity, analyzing the behavior of users is essential. Texture, contours, or distances are all valid pieces of information for understanding the scene. But, as stated in [6], silhouettes «clearly encode a great deal of useful information about 3D pose». Hereafter, we explain how range cameras can be used to acquire the silhouettes of the users, and how to improve pose recovery with depth. In the next Section, we explain how to localize users.

3.1. First step: silhouette extraction

Background subtraction algorithms separate pixels where no motion is detected (background) from pixels of moving objects (foreground). Those objects correspond either to the users or to the physical objects users interact with. The result of background subtraction algorithms is a binary map. A connected component analysis of this map separates the silhouettes of the different users. As shown in [1], background subtraction techniques are useful not only for color cameras, but also for range cameras. The presence of several reliable background subtraction algorithms, such as ViBe [7], makes it tractable to detect silhouettes in real time. To some extent, it makes more sense to use a background subtraction terminology for range sensors than for color cameras, since color cameras do not measure distances.

Although it is both fast and efficient to extract silhouettes from range data with a background subtraction algorithm, some ambiguities are proper to devices like range cameras. For example, since feet are very close to the ground, the correct segmentation of the feet remains problematic. If such problems are to be solved, one could combine range data to color data (see [1] for a technical description). However, color cameras introduce some requirements on the lightning conditions.

3.2. Second step: improving the pose recovery with a depth annotation

As stated in [6], the information about poses provided by silhouettes is essential. The only problem is that distinct poses can lead to identical silhouettes. Here, we can see the advantage of using a range camera: range cameras are not only efficient in extracting silhouettes, but they can also be used to improve the pose recovery by providing valuable range information to overcome the under-determination of poses. For this purpose, we annotate silhouettes with information derived from the range map. Figure 3 illustrates the principle of our method, which consists in a normalization of the values of the depth map for each connected component independently.

Since the annotation should only reflect the pose, we need neither a precise distance measure, nor a precise localization; we only have to take care of noise on the data and filter it out if necessary. Consequently, we normalize the range data inside each connected component to fit the range data into an interval form 0 to 1. Figure 4 shows some results in a real 3D interactive application.
different, which helps to differentiate poses. (b) The silhouettes of (a) and (b) are similar. (e, f) But, the range annotations of the two silhouettes are different, which helps to differentiate poses.

Figure 3. Illustration of our mechanism to overcome the pose underdetermination. (a, b) A virtual human with two different poses (the right arm in front of, and behind, the torso). (b, c) The silhouettes of (a) and (b) are similar. (e, f) But, the range annotations of the two silhouettes are different, which helps to differentiate poses.

Figure 4. Results of the normalized depth annotation procedure. (a1, a2) Two depth maps acquired with a range camera. Poses are hardly distinguishable on the range images. (b1, b2) are the silhouettes resulting from a background subtraction algorithm applied to the stream of the range camera. (c1, c2) The silhouettes annotated with a normalized depth map (darker values stand for closer distances).

4. USING LASER SENSORS TO LOCALIZE USERS

It is easy to detect the location of users in the image plane. But it is much harder to locate them in the real 3D world. Therefore, most solutions, including motion capture solutions, only derive a relative position between the camera and users. The applications then know if users are moving forward or backward, but ignore the precise location of users.

3D localization can be achieved in multiple ways; details about indoor localization systems can be found in [8]. In our application we did not consider systems like the Active Badge [9] or RFIDs, since we wanted to design an unobtrusive interface.

As our interface comprises a range camera, we did first try to derive 3D locations from the raw data. Unfortunately, using a PMD camera to locate people is difficult for three reasons: (1) the device should be calibrated, but this calibration is difficult [1]. (2) Depth maps are neither an accurate nor a precise distance estimation; increasing the integration time does not solve all the issues, (3) last but not least, the bias on the measurements is related to the physical properties of observed objects [3, 5], which are unknown in most applications. Consequently, in arbitrary scenes, localization is not straightforward with PMD cameras. We had to look for an alternative.

4.1. Using rotating lasers to localize users

In contrast to PMD cameras, laser rotating sensors are accurate and precise, and do not require any calibration. Thus, to localize users, we use rotating lasers placed in such a way that all the measurements are taken in a common horizontal plane. Measuring distances in a common zone with several sensors is possible as, for pulse based laser scanners, the risk of interference between sensors is negligible. On the contrary, several PMD cameras send the same modulated signals over the scene and, therefore, cannot be used simultaneously.

As shown in Figure 2, the interpretation of the angular raw data is not straightforward. To ease the localization of users, we have developed a new algorithm (not described in this paper) that serves two purposes: project the angular data in a 2D euclidean plane, and merge data from an arbitrary number of sensors. The result, called localization map, is a 2D binary map that indicates where objects are believed to be located horizontally at the height of the sensors. Figure 5 illustrates the procedure.

The mapping from angular data to the euclidean space produces shadows. Shadows appear in zones that are not in line-of-sight of a laser. It is possible to reduce shadowed zones by combining measures from several sensors. Indeed, a point that is visible from a sensor frees the path for all points located on the straight line between them. Our algorithm is fast enough to accept many laser sensors in real time, so that one could place more sensors to overcome shadowing effects if needed.

As explained above, shape deformations (caused by shadows) can be observed on the localization map. A solution is to use several sensors. Fortunately, our application does not require a perfect localization map, and good results are obtained with only two sensors. It is up to the user to optimize the positions of lasers to reduce shadows to a minimum.

In addition to deforming shapes, shadowing effects introduce

Figure 4. Results of the normalized depth annotation procedure. (a1, a2) Two depth maps acquired with a range camera. Poses are hardly distinguishable on the range images. (b1, b2) are the silhouettes resulting from a background subtraction algorithm applied to the stream of the range camera. (c1, c2) The silhouettes annotated with a normalized depth map (darker values stand for closer distances).

Figure 5. Illustration of the localization procedure with two rotating laser sensors. (a) A virtual scene with a person and two sensors. Only a small number of laser beams are shown per sensor. (b) The same scene seen from the ceiling. (c, d) Areas in line-of-sight of each sensor. (e) The reconstructed slice of the scene (called localization map), obtained as the union of areas visible to at least one sensor. Note the presence of shadows in the final localization map.
ghosts (as seen on Figure 6). Ghosts are connected components that could be objects with unrealistic shapes but are more probably only an artifact introduced by shadows. They appear when there are several users or objects in the scene. If adding sensors is impossible, a connected component analysis could help to handle the ghosts: ghosts are always invisible to all sensors due to the presence of other connected components.

The localization map is also influenced by the presence of uninteresting static objects (chairs, tables, . . .) in the scene. In a dynamic interpretation of the scene, one could filter out static objects from the localization map by using a background subtraction algorithm.

Finally, it should be noted that the height of the plane covered by the laser beams has to be chosen with appropriate care. If the sensors are located at a height of one meter from the floor, arms are visible on the map. If the plane is moved downwards, then small objects (chairs, pets, tables, . . .) could appear in the map.

4.3. Applications of the localization maps

Localization maps are useful for many applications (localization of users, robotic navigation, obstacle avoidance, etc) and they fill a real gap in the design of interfaces. One advantage of our localization procedure is that of flexibility. Sensors can be placed anywhere (at the corners, in the front, at the back, etc), and a localization map can be obtained with any number of sensors.

The major problem that the map solves is the localization of users. In some applications, there is even no need for advanced processing such as the suppression of static elements and ghosts. For example, we were asked to implement a robust localization of the user closest to the screen in a projection room. Given the localization map and the knowledge of the screen location, we only had to look for the closest blob in the localization map, and could just ignore all the problems introduced by shadows. All the processing can be done at negligible computational cost.

5. CONCLUSIONS

The use of range sensors has recently received a significant increase of interest due to the existence of products available at a reasonable price. Some manufacturers believe that range sensors will play an important role in advanced 3D applications (in the field of immersive gaming, the movie industry, etc). A major advantage is that applications can be totally independent of lightning conditions, and even work in total darkness, such as encountered in projection rooms.

In this paper, we describe a platform to process 3D information in real-time. Two innovations are proposed: (1) an improved data processing to be used for pose recovery and behavior understanding, and (2) a new localization technique. The localization technique transforms and summarizes angular data from an arbitrary number of sensors to provide a map that shows all zones visible to a least one sensor. This map is the key to localize users, but it could be useful for any application that needs accurate and absolute locations.
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