# ORIGINAL ARTICLE

# Response surface methodology study of the combined effects of temperature, pH, and $a_w$ on the growth rate of *Trichoderma asperellum*

B.A.D. Begoude<sup>1,2</sup>, R. Lahlali<sup>2</sup>, D. Friel<sup>2</sup>, P.R. Tondje<sup>1</sup> and M.H. Jijakli<sup>2</sup>

1 Laboratoire Régional de Lutte Biologique et de Microbiologie Appliquée, Institut de le Recherche Agricole pour le Développement (IRAD), Nkolbisson, BP 2067, Yaoundé, Cameroun

2 Unité de Phytopathologie, Faculté Universitaire des Sciences Agronomiques de Gembloux, Passage des Déportés 2, Gembloux, Belgique

#### Keywords

modelling, pH, radial growth rate, temperature, *Trichoderma asperellum*, water activity.

#### Correspondence

M.H. Jijakli, Plant Pathology Unit, Gembloux Agricultural University, Passage des Déportés 2, 5030 Gembloux, Belgium. E-mail: jijakli.h@fsagx.ac.be

2006/1346: received 25 September 2006, revised 19 December 2006 and accepted 21 December 2006

doi:10.1111/j.1365-2672.2007.03305.x

#### Abstract

Aims: To evaluate the influence of environmental parameters (water activity  $a_w$ , temperature, and pH) on the radial growth rate of *Trichoderma asperellum* (strains PR10, PR11, PR12, and 659-7), an antagonist of *Phytophthora megakarya*, the causal agent of cocoa black pod disease.

Methods and Results: The radial growth of four strains of *T. asperellum* was monitored for 30 days on modified PDA medium. Six levels of  $a_w$  (0.995, 0.980, 0.960, 0.930, 0.910, and 0.880) were combined with three values of pH (4.5, 6.5, and 8.5) and three incubation temperatures (20, 25, and 30°C). Whatever the strain, mycelial growth rate was optimal at  $a_w$  between 0.995 and 0.980, independently of the temperature and pH. Each strain appeared to be very sensitive to  $a_w$  reduction. In addition, all four strains were able to grow at all temperatures and pH values (4.5–8.5) tested, highest growth rate being observed at 30°C and at pH 4.5–6.5. The use of response surface methodology to model the combined effects of  $a_w$ , temperature, and pH on the radial growth rate of the *T. asperellum* strains confirmed the observed results. In our model, growth of the *T. asperellum* strains showed a greater dependence on  $a_w$  than on temperature or pH under *in vitro* conditions.

**Conclusions:**  $a_w$  is a crucial environmental factor. Low  $a_w$  can prevent growth of *T. asperellum* strains under some conditions. The observed and predicted radial growth rate of strain PR11 showed its greater capacity to support low  $a_w$  (0.93) as compared with other tested strains at 20°C. This is in agreement with its better protective level when applied in medium-scale trials on cocoa plantations.

Significance and Impact of the Study: This study should contribute towards improving the biocontrol efficacy of *T. asperellum* strains used against *P. megakarya*. Integrated into a broader study of the impact of environmental factors on the biocontrol agent–pathogen system, this work should help to build a more rational control strategy, possibly involving the use of a compatible adjuvant protecting *T. asperellum* against desiccation.

#### Introduction

The cocoa tree (*Theobroma cacao* L.) is cultivated for its economically important bean. It is among the most

developed cash crops in Western and Central Africa, and its production represents 70% of the 3 million tons produced worldwide (ICCO, International Cocoa Organisation 2003).

The global rise in cocoa production is limited by many constraints, such as cocoa black pod disease. Different species of *Phytophthora* are known to cause this disease, and these species vary according to both their aggressiveness and the level of crop loss caused (Appiah et al. 2004). Phytophthora megakarya, which prevails in Cameroon, is the most aggressive of the four main species of Phytophthora causing black pod disease of cocoa, with crop losses ranging from 60 to 100% (Ndoumbè-Nkeng et al. 2004). Phytophthora palmivora, regarded as the most widespread cocoa pathogen, can cause crop losses of 20-30% (Iwaro et al. 1997). It is more aggressive than Phytophthora capsici, the major Phytophthora species in Brazil (Keane 1992). Phytophthora citrophthora is the least widespread Phytophthora species, present only in the cocoa-growing region of Brazil. It was reported to be more aggressive than P. palmivora and P. capsici (Lawrence 1998).

Classically, cocoa growers use farming strategies, genetic strategies, and most often chemical strategies to minimize the impact of black pod disease (Akrofi *et al.* 2003; Efombagn *et al.* 2004; Ndoumbè-Nkeng *et al.* 2004). The main chemicals used are copper- and metalaxyl-based fungicides (Matthews *et al.* 2003). Beside the high cost of chemical treatments, a heavy reliance on them can be associated with nontarget effects, loss of biodiversity, spoilage of land and water, and the development of pathogen resistance (He *et al.* 2005).

Biological control by means of antagonistic microorganisms is an emerging strategy in many countries affected by this disease (Krauss and Soberanis 2001). In Cameroon, research focusing on biological control of *P. megakarya* began in 1999. It has led to the isolation and identification of four mycoparasitic strains of *Trichoderma asperellum* (PR10, PR11, PR12, and 659-7) (Tondje *et al.* 2003). Large-scale trials carried out with those strains, following an aqueous conidial preparation revealed inconsistent results.

The variable performance of *T. asperellum* as a biocontrol agent could be because of the influence of environmental factors that vary in time and from farmto-farm. According to Magan and Lacey (1988) and Plaza *et al.* (2003), water availability  $(a_w)$  and temperature are the principal abiotic parameters determining the germination and growth potential of micro-organism propagules. No literature is available concerning the effect of these environmental parameters on the development of *T. asperellum*.

To optimize the practical use of a biological control agent, it is essential to understand how the physical environment affects the agent's survival, growth, and reproduction (Fravel 1999; Sanogo *et al.* 2002). Response surface methodology (RSM) is the approach most often used to describe relationships between a combination of factors and an organism's growth curve parameters (Devlieghere *et al.* 1998). As no mathematical model for *T. asperellum* is described in the current scientific literature, the aim of the present work was to elaborate models predicting the combined effects of temperature, pH, and  $a_w$  on the growth of *T. asperellum* strains (PR10, PR11, PR12, 659-7) under controlled conditions.

# Materials and methods

#### Micro-organisms

Four strains of T. asperellum (Table 1) were obtained from mixed farmer's fields near Yaounde, Cameroon. Three (PR10, PR11, PR12) were isolated from roots and soil surrounding Xanthosoma sagittifolium and one (659-7) was isolated from soil under banana. They are conserved in collections at the Regional Biological Control and Applied Microbiology Laboratory of IRAD (Cameroon) and the Centraalbureau voor Schimmelcultures (CBS). The cultures were first identified microscopically as T. asperellum; microscopic identification was confirmed through sequences of translation-elongation factor 1-alpha (tef1) and sequences were deposited in GenBank (Table 1). A portion of tef1 was amplified using the primers EF1-728F (Carbone and Kohn 1999) and TEF1 rev (Samuels et al. 2000). The PCR product of approximately 600 bp covered the large fourth and the short fifth introns of the gene. For the long-term storage, the strains were placed in tubes containing 25% (v/v) of glycerol and incubated at -70°C. In the experiments, the initial inoculum was taken from culture on potato dextrose agar (PDA) medium in petri dishes preserved at 4°C for not more than 6 months.

#### Medium

The basic medium used was PDA ( $a_w = 0.995$ ). The  $a_w$  was modified by adding increasing amounts of glycerol to obtain  $a_w$  levels of 0.980, 0.960, 0.930, 0.910, and 0.880 at three different temperatures (Lahlali *et al.* 2005). The medium was buffered with 0.1 mmol l<sup>-1</sup> NaH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, the

Table 1 Strains of Trichc	derma asperellum used
---------------------------	-----------------------

	GenBank number
Strain	tef
PR 10	EF185998
PR 12	EF186002 EF185999
659-7	EF186001

final pH of the medium being adjusted to 4.5 with 80%  $H_3PO_4$  or to 6.5 or 8.5 with 1 mol l<sup>-1</sup> NaOH before autoclaving. The  $a_w$  of all the media was measured with an AquaLab series 3 instrument (Decagon, 950 NE Nelson Court Pullman, Washington 99163).

#### Preparation of the inoculum

A 10-day-old colony culture of T. asperellum grown on PDA was used to obtain spore suspensions. Ten to twenty millilitres of sterile distilled water containing 0.05% Tween-20 was added to the petri dish and conidia were carefully scraped from the surface of the colonies before filtration through sterile cheese cloth. Spore suspensions were adjusted to  $10^6$  spores ml<sup>-1</sup> with a Bürker cell, then 10-µl aliquots of suspension were inoculated at the centre of the petri dishes containing the test medium. After inoculation, the petri plates were sealed in polyethylene bags to prevent water loss and then incubated for 30 days at 20, 25, or 30°C. Preservation of the water content of the media was checked by measuring the  $a_w$  in inoculated petri dishes after 25 days at each temperature. No change in  $a_w$  was detected in any medium (Lahlali et al. 2006).

### Data recording

The radius of each growing mycelial colony was measured daily in two perpendicular directions (Marín *et al.* 1996), without opening the petri dishes, until the plates were completely colonized. Four replicates were used for each combination of experimental conditions. The radial growth rate (mm day<sup>-1</sup>) for each  $a_w$ , temperature, and pH combination was obtained from linear regression slopes of the temporal growth curves (Lahlali *et al.* 2005).

#### Statistical analysis

Growth rates were subjected to the general linear model procedure of the Statistical Analysis System (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA). Statistical significance was done at the P < 0.05 level. Where analysis revealed significant differences, Duncan's multiple-range test for separation of means was performed.

### Experimental design

RSM with a  $3^k$  factorial design was applied with the STATGRAPHICS Plus version 3 statistical software. Temperature (20, 25, and 30°C),  $a_w$  (0.980, 0.930, and 0.880) and pH (4.5, 6.5, and 8.5) were investigated (Table 2). Data modelling was performed by multiple regression analysis. The design contained 27 experiments with four

replicates. A second-order polynomial model was defined to fit the response:

$$\mathbf{Y} = \beta_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{3} \beta_i X_i + \sum_{i=1}^{3} \beta_{ii} X_i^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{j=i+1} \beta_{ij} X_i X_j$$

where Y is the response (growth rate),  $\beta_0$  is a constant coefficient,  $X_i$  are coded variables ranging from -1 to +1,  $\beta_i$  represent linear coefficients,  $\beta_{ij}$  are the second-order interaction coefficients, and  $\beta_{ii}$  are the quadratic coefficients. All values of model coefficients were calculated by multiple regression analysis. Interpretation of the data was based on the signs (positive or negative effect on the response) and statistical significance of coefficients (P < 0.05). Interactions between two factors could appear as an antagonistic effect (negative coefficient) or a synergistic effect (positive coefficient). The  $R^2$  value (coefficient of determination) expressed the variation of Y explained by the model of regression (three variables studied,  $X_i$ ).

# Results

# Effect of $a_w$ , temperature, and pH on the growth rate of *Trichoderma asperellum* strains

The growth lag times and radial growth rates (mm day<sup>-1</sup>) of *T. asperellum* strains PR10, PR11, PR12, and 659-7 were determined for each combination of temperature,  $a_{w}$ , and pH. The results are shown in Figs 1 and 2 and in the 'observed values' columns of Table 2.

At 25–30°C and at any pH, the growth lag was about the same (1 day) for all strains at  $a_w$  down to 0.960. At 20°C, strains PR10, PR11, and PR12 showed an increased growth lag (2 days or more) from  $a_w = 0.960$  downward.

At all temperatures and at any pH, all strains showed a growth rate decreasing with decreasing  $a_w$ . The fastest growth observed at  $a_w = 0.980$  was  $14.80 \pm 0.09$  mm day<sup>-1</sup> (strain PR12, 30°C, pH 4.5). In contrast, the highest growth rate observed at  $a_w = 0.930$  was only  $1.75 \pm 0.01$  mm day<sup>-1</sup> (strain 659-7, 30°C, pH 6.5). The behaviour of strain PR11 at 0.930 was also worth noting: at lower temperature, this strain out-performed strain 659-7 at this  $a_w$ . Only three strains (PR10, PR11, PR12) displayed residual growth at  $a_w = 0.910$  (under some conditions) and no strain grew at  $a_w = 0.880$ .

At  $a_w = 0.980$  for each strain and each tested pH, the growth rate appeared to decrease with decreasing temperature. At low  $a_w$  (0.930 and below), no clear conclusion emerges from the raw data regarding the effect of temperature. It is noteworthy that *Trichoderma* strains PR10, PR11, and PR12 proved more tolerant to low  $a_w$  at 20°C than at 30°C.

	Enviro	onmenta	al facto	ors			Extension growth rate (mm day <sup>-1</sup> )							
	Experimental values			Coded values			Observed values				Predicted values			
	T°C	a <sub>w</sub>	рΗ	T°C	a <sub>w</sub>	рН	PR10	PR11	PR12	659-7	PR10	PR11	PR12	659-7
T <sub>1</sub>	30	0.98	4.5	1	1	-1	11·49 ± 0·11	11·95 ± 0·03	14·80 ± 0·09	11.88 ± 0.02	10.75	11.99	14·17	12·1
$T_2$	30	0.98	6.5	1	1	0	9·44 ± 0·01	11·95 ± 0·00	14·70 ± 0·03	11·86 ± 0·06	9.98	11.78	13·52	11.46
T <sub>3</sub>	30	0.98	8.5	1	1	1	9·23 ± 0·03	11·58 ± 0·03	11·58 ± 0·06	9·46 ± 0·04	8.90	11.43	12.12	9.86
$T_4$	30	0.93	4.5	1	0	-1	0·23 ± 0·02	0·91 ± 0·01	0·65 ± 0·01	1·25 ± 0·01	1.16	1.08	1.44	1.55
$T_5$	30	0.93	6.5	1	0	0	0·27 ± 0·02	0·29 ± 0·01	0·75 ± 0·01	1·75 ± 0·01	0.98	1.01	1.33	1.45
T <sub>6</sub>	30	0.93	8.5	1	0	1	0·15 ± 0·03	0·29 ± 0·01	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	0.49	0.78	0.47	0.38
T <sub>7</sub>	30	0.88	4.5	1	-1	-1	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
T <sub>8</sub>	30	0.88	6.5	1	-1	0	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.12
T9	30	0.88	8.5	1	-1	1	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
T <sub>10</sub>	25	0.98	4.5	0	1	-1	9·50 ± 0·05	11·48 ± 0·13	11·67 ± 0·07	11·47 ± 0·01	9.45	11.22	12·37	11.07
T <sub>11</sub>	25	0.98	6.2	0	1	0	9·43 ± 0·01	11·55 ± 0·2	11·67 ± 0·03	11·45 ± 0·06	8.59	10.99	11.84	10.56
T <sub>12</sub>	25	0.98	8·5	0	1	1	7·77 ± 0·04	11·13 ± 0·01	11·07 ± 0·06	9·36 ± 0·02	7.43	10.63	10.55	9.07
T <sub>13</sub>	25	0.93	4·5	0	0	-1	0·39 ± 0·01	0·60 ± 0·07	0·12 ± 0·06	0·42 ± 0·18	0.89	0.92	0.53	1.09
T <sub>14</sub>	25	0.93	6.2	0	0	0	0·33 ± 0·07	0·52 ± 0·03	0·23 ± 0·01	0·40 ± 0·08	0.62	0.83	0.54	1.11
T <sub>15</sub>	25	0.93	8·5	0	0	1	0·19 ± 0·01	0·19 ± 0·07	0·14 ± 0·02	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	0.05	0.60	0.00	0.15
T <sub>16</sub>	25	0.88	4·5	0	-1	-1	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	0.00	0.10	0.00	0.00
T <sub>17</sub>	25	0.88	6.5	0	-1	0	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	0.29	0.14	0.10	0.34
T <sub>18</sub>	25	0.88	8.5	0	-1	1	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	0.31	0.04	0.00	0.00
T <sub>19</sub>	20	0.98	4.5	-1	1	-1	7·02 ± 0·06	9·69 ± 0·08	10·96 ± 0·03	9·51 ± 0·06	7.56	10.17	11.13	9.99
T <sub>20</sub>	20	0.98	6.5	-1	1	0	6·78 ± 0·03	9·6 ± 0·01	10·91 ± 0·02	9·30 ± 0·03	6.62	9.93	10.7	9.60
T <sub>21</sub>	20	0.98	8·5	-1	1	1	3·98 ± 0·02	8·74 ± 0·02	8·57 ± 0·01	7·64 ± 0·09	5.38	9.55	9.52	8·23
T <sub>22</sub>	20	0.93	4.5	-1	0	-1	0·59 ± 0·03	1·26 ± 0·29	0·83 ± 0·03	1·15 ± 0·06	0.04	0.50	0.17	0.57
T <sub>23</sub>	20	0.93	6.5	-1	0	0	0·51 ± 0·01	1·15 ± 0·01	0·80 ± 0·06	1·11 ± 0·17	0.00	0.39	0.29	0.71
T <sub>24</sub>	20	0.93	8.5	-1	0	1	0·29 ± 0·04	1·04 ± 0·08	0·69 ± 0·06	0·81 ± 0·08	0.00	0.14	0.00	0.00
T <sub>25</sub>	20	0.88	4.5	-1	-1	-1	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	0.16	0.29	0.08	0.00
T <sub>26</sub>	20	0.88	6.5	-1	-1	0	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	0.39	0.32	0.73	0.51
T <sub>27</sub>	20	0.88	8.5	-1	-1	1	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	0.32	0.20	0.63	0.21

**Table 2** Experimental and predicted values of radial growth rate of strains PR10, PR11, PR12, and 659-7 of *Trichoderma asperellum* obtained by applying factorial design  $(3^k)$  with temperature, water activity  $(a_w)$ , and pH

All strains were able to grow at all pH levels tested (4.5, 6.5, and 8.5), provided the  $a_w$  was high enough. The growth rate was almost always higher at pH 4.5 and 6.5 than at 8.5. This effect of pH was clear at  $a_w = 0.980$ , diminishing with decreasing  $a_w$ . At low  $a_w$  values, it was practically absent.

Statistical analysis showed a significant effect (P < 0.0001) of  $a_w$ , incubation temperature, pH, and their interactions on the mycelial growth rates of *T. asperellum* strains PR10, PR11, PR12, and 659-7 (Table 3). Duncan's multiple-range test was performed to identify various homogeneous groups relating to each factor studied here and for each strain. For both strains PR10 and PR11, the test relating to the  $a_w$  factor revealed six homogeneous groups, one for each  $a_w$  value (data not shown). For strains PR12 and 659-7, this test distinguished respectively four and five homogeneous groups. For PR12, the first group consisted of  $a_w = 0.995$  (control) and 0.980. The two following groups comprised respectively  $a_w$  values 0.960 and

0.930. The fourth group comprised the two lowest values of  $a_w$ . For 659-7, the four highest values of  $a_w$  formed four significantly different homogeneous groups while  $a_w$  values of 0.910 and 0.880 formed a common group (data not shown). Broadly, Duncan's multiple-range analysis confirmed that the optimal growth of *T. asperellum* strains ranged from 0.980 to 0.995  $a_w$ . With regard to temperature, a similar test distinguished three statistically homogenous groups, one for each incubation temperature. Finally for pH, the test revealed three homogeneous groups for each strain corresponding to the three pH values.

# Modelling the growth rate of *Trichoderma asperellum* strains

The modelling was performed to predict the behaviour of T. *asperlleum* strains under various environmental conditions. The obtained model will allow to understand the impact of each studied environmental factor on the survi-



**Figure 1** Effect of  $a_w$  on the radial growth rate of *Trichoderma asperellum* strain PR10 (a, b and c) and strain PR11 (d, e and f) at pH 4.5 ( $\blacklozenge$ ), 6.5 ( $\blacksquare$ ) and 8.5 ( $\blacktriangle$ ) and temperatures 30°C (a and d), 25°C (b and e) and 20°C (c and f). The number of days (d) for initiation of growth is shown.

val of *T. asperellum* strains and to identify the most influent one.

are listed in Table 2, along with the corresponding average experimental values.

The average growth rates of the different strains obtained with the model for the various test conditions

The model fits the experimental data most closely under conditions where rapid growth is observed. In



**Figure 2** Effect of  $a_w$  on the radial growth rate of *Trichoderma asperellum* strain PR12 (a, b and c) and strain 659-7 (d, e and f) at pH 4-5 ( $\blacklozenge$ ), 6-5 ( $\blacksquare$ ) and 8-5 ( $\blacktriangle$ ) and temperatures 30°C (a and d), 25°C (b and e) and 20°C (c and f). The number of days (d) for initiation of growth is shown.

**Table 3** Variance analysis of the effects of the water activity  $(a_w)$ , temperature (T), pH, and two- and three-way interactions on the radial growth rate (mm day<sup>-1</sup>) of four strains of *Trichoderma asperellum* 

Strains	Source	df	MS	F	Pr > <i>F</i>
PR10	a <sub>w</sub>	5	827·13	314597	<0.0001*
	Т	2	28·02	10658.6	<0.0001*
	рН	2	14.60	5551·98	<0.0001*
	$a_{\rm w}  imes T$	10	9.24	3513·92	<0.0001*
	$a_{\rm w}  imes pH$	10	2.87	1090.68	<0.0001*
	Т×рН	4	0.64	244.38	<0.0001*
	$a_{\rm w}  imes T  imes pH$	20	0.66	252·43	<0.0001*
PR11	a <sub>w</sub>	5	997.76	307235	<0.0001*
	Т	2	14.69	4522·56	<0.0001*
	рН	2	8.90	2740.03	<0.0001*
	$a_{\rm w} \times T$	10	6.55	2017·11	<0.0001*
	$a_{\rm w}  imes pH$	10	0.98	302.14	<0.0001*
	Т×рН	4	0.22	67.83	<0.0001*
	$a_{\rm w}  imes T  imes pH$	20	0.41	127·22	<0.0001*
PR12	a <sub>w</sub>	5	44·91	96.89	<0.0001*
	Т	2	1154·68	2491·20	<0.0001*
	рН	2	16.97	36.61	<0.0001*
	$a_{\rm w}  imes T$	10	11.20	24·17	<0.0001*
	$a_{\rm w}  imes pH$	10	2.03	4.39	·0022
	Т×рН	4	3.5	7.56	<0.0001*
	$a_{\rm w} \times T \times pH$	20	0.94	2.03	·0086
659-7	a <sub>w</sub>	5	926·96	282244	<0.0001*
	Т	2	11.14	3391·11	<0.0001*
	рН	2	19.07	5807·54	<0.0001*
	$a_{\rm w}  imes T$	10	5.09	1550.65	<0.0001*
	$a_{\rm w}  imes pH$	10	2.91	887·03	<0.0001*
	Т×рН	4	0.84	256·22	<0.0001*
	$a_{\rm w} \times {\rm T} \times {\rm pH}$	20	0.30	91.87	<0.0001*

\*Highly significant at P < 0.0001.

df, degrees of freedom; MS, mean square.

particular, the highest predicted growth rate values occur at  $a_w = 0.980$ . This is in accordance with the experimental data: experiments 1, 2, 3, 10, 11, 12, 19, 20, and 21,

showing the highest growth rates, are all characterized by  $a_w = 0.980$ , with various values of temperature and pH.

The model predicts adequately that the growth rate will decrease drastically, at all temperatures and pH levels, when the  $a_w$  is decreased to 0.960 and below. For the conditions corresponding to experiments 7, 8, 9, 16, 17, 18, 25, 26, and 27 ( $a_w = 0.880$ ), where no growth was recorded experimentally, the model correctly predicts zero (or near-zero) values at 30°C, but its predictions tend to be too high at lower temperatures.

The model also predicts adequately, for each strain and each pH at  $a_w = 0.980$ , that the growth rate will decrease with decreasing temperature. It fails to show the growth rate increase observed at  $a_w = 0.930$  when the temperature drops to 20°C, but it does predict such an effect at  $a_w = 0.880$ .

The results of the multiple regression analysis, which provided the estimated regression coefficients of the model for each T. asperellum strain, are shown in Table 4. The values of the coefficient of determination  $R^2$  for each strain were 97.75, 99.18, 98.93, and 99.07 for PR10, PR11, PR12, and 659-7 respectively. For each strain, all regression coefficients were highly significant (P < 0.0001), except coefficients  $\beta_{11}$  (quadratic effect of temperature) and  $\beta_{13}$  (interaction effect between the temperature and the pH) for all strains and coefficient  $\beta_{33}$  (quadratic effect of the pH) for strains PR10 and PR11. Except for strain PR11, a significant interaction between pH and  $a_w$ was also demonstrated ( $\beta_{23}$ ). The negative value of this coefficient suggests an antagonistic effect of the pH-a<sub>w</sub> interaction on mycelial growth for strains PR10, PR12, and 659-7.

It appears that the higher the absolute value of the linear coefficient ( $\beta_2$ ), the greater the influence of the corresponding factor ( $a_w$ ) on the growth rate. The  $a_w$  has more influence than temperature or pH on the growth of *Trichoderma* strains. The linear effects of  $a_w$  and tempera-

 Table 4 Model coefficients and their

 significant effects on the radial growth

 rate (mm day<sup>-1</sup>) of strains PR10, PR11, PR12,

 and 659-7 of *Trichoderma asperellum*

I-7 99·07	93 659-7 99	PR12 98·93	PR11 99·18	PR10 97.75	Coefficients	R <sup>2</sup>
1***	1.11***	0.54***	0.83***	0.62***	$\beta_0$	Response means
37***	0.37***	0.52***	0.05***	0.65***	$\beta_1$	Т
1***	5.11***	5.87***	5.43***	4.15***	$\beta_2$	a <sub>w</sub>
17***	* -0.47***	-0·37 ***	-0.16***	-0.42***	$\beta_3$	рН
)3 <sup>ns</sup>	-0.03 <sup>ns</sup>	0·27 <sup>ns</sup>	-0·14 <sup>ns</sup>	-0·29 <sup>ns</sup>	$\beta_{11}$	T <sup>2</sup>
34***	4.34***	5.43***	4.73***	3.82***	$\beta_{22}$	a <sub>w</sub> <sup>2</sup>
19***	-0.49***	-0·37***	-0.07 <sup>ns</sup>	-0·15 <sup>ns</sup>	$\beta_{33}$	рН <sup>2</sup>
56***	0.56***	0.89***	0.62***	1.03***	$\beta_{12}$	$T \times a_w$
12 <sup>ns</sup>	-0·12 <sup>ns</sup>	-0·11 <sup>ns</sup>	0.01 <sup>ns</sup>	0.08 <sup>ns</sup>	$\beta_{13}$	Т×рН
53***	-0.53***	-0.54***	-0·14 <sup>ns</sup>	-0.58***	$\beta_{23}$	$a_{\rm w}  imes { m pH}$
1 3 1 5	* -0.4 -0.0 4.3 -0.4 0.5 -0.1 -0.5	-0.37 *** 0.27 <sup>ns</sup> 5.43*** -0.37*** 0.89*** -0.11 <sup>ns</sup> -0.54***	-0.16*** -0.14 <sup>ns</sup> 4.73*** -0.07 <sup>ns</sup> 0.62*** 0.01 <sup>ns</sup> -0.14 <sup>ns</sup>	-0.42*** -0.29 <sup>ns</sup> 3.82*** -0.15 <sup>ns</sup> 1.03*** 0.08 <sup>ns</sup> -0.58***	$\beta_3 \\ \beta_{11} \\ \beta_{22} \\ \beta_{33} \\ \beta_{12} \\ \beta_{13} \\ \beta_{23}$	$\begin{array}{l} pH \\ T^2 \\ a_w^2 \\ pH^2 \\ T \times a_w \\ T \times pH \\ a_w \times pH \end{array}$

\*\*\*Significant (*P* < 0.0001).

ns, non significant ;  $a_w$ , water activity.

ture on mycelial growth appear to be positive. In contrast, pH has a negative linear effect.

#### Discussion

The objective of this work was to determine the influence of the principal environmental factors on the growth of strains PR10, PR11, PR12, and 659-7 of *T. asperellum*, used as biological control agents against *P. megakarya*, the causal agent of cocoa black pod disease. The present *in vitro* experiments were intended to provide a baseline evaluation of the behaviour of *T. asperellum* under optimal nutritional conditions, although these are certainly not found in the field. We focused on the growth rate as a possible indicator of the capacity of *T. asperellum* to colonize the cocoa ecosystem.

Few data are available in the literature on the combined effects of environmental factors on the growth of T. asperellum. Our statistical analyses of the responses observed on PDA medium show that  $a_{w}$ , temperature, and pH are key determinants of the development of T. asperellum strains. Among these,  $a_w$  has the greatest influence on the growth of strains. This tallies with the finding that the amount of available water in the substrate and the surrounding environment are very important to ensure fungal growth (Verscheure et al. 2002). For all of the studied strains of Trichoderma, growth was fastest at  $a_w$  between 0.995 and 0.980. These T. asperellum strains appear to be very sensitive to a decrease in the  $a_w$ of the medium. Our results are in agreement with those reported by Kredics et al. (2000, 2004), who observed limited growth of *Trichoderma* spp. at  $a_w = 0.92$ . One of the major limitations of the use of Trichoderma species as biofungicides is their low level of osmotolerance (Kredics et al. 2003). To ensure the growth of propagules, it is necessary to add a substrate that maintains a constant high a<sub>w</sub> (Wakelin et al. 1999).

Our results show that the studied Trichoderma strains can grow at all temperatures and all pH levels tested (20-30°C, pH 4·5-8·5). Growth of all strains of T. asperellum was fastest at 30°C, as reported by Samuels et al. (1999), and at pH = 4.5-6.5. Similar results have been reported for other Trichoderma species. Kredics et al. (2004) reported that Trichoderma harzianum, Trichoderma aureoviride, and Trichoderma viride can grow over a broad pH range (from 2.0 to 6.0), with optimal growth at pH = 4.0. The fact that our strains grew more slowly at 20-25°C and at low aw may explain the inconsistent performances of mycoparasitic T. asperellum strains when used on cocoa plantations in Cameroon, where the growing period is marked by fluctuations in relative humidity and where the average minimum and maximum daily temperatures recorded under cocoa trees are about 20 and 26°C, respectively (Ndoumbè-Nkeng *et al.* 2004). Under these conditions, field test results showed strain PR11 to be most effective at all locations (Tondje *et al.* 2003). In our study likewise, PR11 displayed faster growth than the other strains at 20°C and low water activity. This relative resistance to stress may favour better colonization and faster establishment of the antagonistic population, and thus higher effectiveness. Nevertheless, as *Trichoderma* strains are considered as mycoparasitic, a differential production of hydrolytic enzymes between four strains depending on  $a_w$  value, may not be excluded. This is also valid for other modes of action, like antibiosis. Consequently, the better biological performance of strain PR11 may also be explained by the relative contribution of other modes of action.

The necessity to consider physical factors for efficient growth of T. asperellum strains makes it useful to develop a mathematical model for predicting strain behaviour under various environmental conditions. Our multifactorial analysis shows that the quadratic polynomial model provides a good approach to predict the combined effects of  $a_w$ , temperature, and pH on the radial growth rate of T. asperellum strains. The predictions of this model correlate largely with the experimental results, although the match seems better at higher growth rates than at very low ones. A similar approach has been used to predict the growth of food spoilage pathogens such as Penicillium chrysogenum and Aeromonas hydrophila (Sautour et al. 2001, 2003), Rhizopus oligosporus NRRL2710 (Sparringa et al. 2002), and of stored fruit pathogens like Penicillium expansum (Lahlali et al. 2005). The approach has also been used to predict the growth and sporulation of Bacillus subtilis 355 and the production of antifungal metabolites by this bacterium (Moita et al. 2005).

The growth rates predicted by the model clearly reflect the strong dependence of *T. asperellum* growth on the  $a_w$ of the medium at any temperature and pH. Yet,  $a_w$  is not the only environmental factor that can affect the growth of living organisms, and each separate factor is liable to influence the effect exerted by other factors (Sparringa *et al.* 2002). Accordingly, interactions between the environmental factors tested appear to influence the growth of the tested *T. asperellum* strains in this model.

Significant interactions were revealed between  $a_w$  and temperature on the one hand and between  $a_w$  and pH on the other. At  $a_w = 0.880$ , the model predicts some, albeit slight growth at 20–25°C, but zero (or near-zero) growth at 30°C. Experimentally, no growth was observed at  $a_w = 0.880$ , but at  $a_w = 0.910$  and 0.930, some strains did show better growth at 20°C than at higher temperature. These results suggest that *T. asperellum* strains may support some stresses better when the temperature is lower.

In our study, glycerol was the solute used to reduce  $a_w$ . This solute can support growth because of its potential as a carbon source for micro-organisms (Parra *et al.* 2004). In addition, glycerol exerts no inhibitory effect (Baxter *et al.* 1998) and can be used to reach lower  $a_w$  values than with NaCl (Kredics *et al.* 2004; Lahlali *et al.* 2005).

Modelling the growth of T. asperellum strains on a solid substrate is a first step towards predicting the behaviour of such strains under field conditions and towards finding a formulation that takes their ecophysiological features into account so as to permit their successful use in the field. The results of this first approach suggest that humectants might be used to increase the amount of available water for biological control agents. Yet, one should bear in mind that the present model, developed in a specific experimental framework, might not remain valid outside that framework (Delignette-Muller 1997). Any extrapolation to natural situations and beyond the tested ranges of the considered factors is risky, as other factors such as ultraviolet radiation, nutrient availability, and interactions with other micro-organisms on the fruit surface may modify the behaviour of Trichoderma strains. For this reason, other studies are necessary to develop experimental protocols for assessing the effects of environmental factors on the growth of T. asperellum strains on the fruit surface and to validate models under in vivo conditions. The development of a model describing the population dynamics of P. megakarya in the field would contribute greatly to develop an effective formulation for a biofungicide based on T. asperellum.

#### Acknowledgements

R. Lahlali and B.A.D. Begoude have contributed equally to this paper. The authors are grateful to the CUD/CIUF (Communauté Universitaire pour le Développement) for its financial contribution to this work and to G.J. Samuels, A. Ismail (USDA-ARS, SBML, Beltsville), and M.-C. Bon (USDA-ARS, EBCL, Montpelier) for their contribution in identifying the *Trichoderma* strains.

# References

- Akrofi, A.Y., Appiah, A.A. and Opoku, I.Y. (2003) Management of *Phytophthora* pod rot disease on cocoa farms in Ghana. *Crop Prot* 22, 469–477.
- Appiah, A.A., Flood, J., Archer, S.A. and Bridge, P.D. (2004) Molecular analysis of isolates of Phytophthora spp responsible for black pod disease of cacao using RFLPs, mitochondrial DNA haplotyping and AFLP. Accra: Proc of the INCOPED 4th Intern. Sem, 19–21 Oct 2003.
- Baxter, C.J., Magan, N., Lane, B. and Wildman, H.G. (1998) Influence of water activity and temperature on *in vitro*

growth of surface cultures of a *Phoma* sp. and production of the pharmaceutical metabolites, squalestatins S1 and S2. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* **49**, 328–332.

- Carbone, I. and Kohn, L.M. (1999) A method for designing primer sests for speciation studies in filamentous ascomycetes. *Mycologia* **91**, 553–556.
- Delignette-Muller, M.L. (1997) La microbiologie prévisionelle: approches méthodologiques. *Bull Soc Fr Microbiol* 12, 31–36.
- Devlieghere, F., Debevere, J. and Van Impe, J. (1998) Effect of dissolved carbon dioxide and temperature on the growth of *Lactobacillus sake* in modified atmospheres. *Int J Food Microbiol* **41**, 231–238.
- Efombagn, M.I.B., Marelli, J.P., Ducamp, M., Cilas, C., Nyasse, S. and Vefonge, D. (2004) Effect of fruiting traits on the field resistance of cocoa (*Theobroma cacao* L.) clones to *Phytophthora megakarya. J Phytopathol* **152**, 557–562.
- Fravel, D. (1999) Hurdles and bottlenecks on the road to biocontrol of plant pathogens. *Australas Plant Pathol* **28**, 53–56.
- He, Z.L., Yang, X.E. and Stoffella, P.J. (2005) Trace elements in agroecosystems and impacts on the environment. *J Trace Elem Med Biol* **19**, 125–140.
- ICCO (International Cocoa Organisation). (2003) Rapport annuel 2002/2003.22. Berners Street, Londres W1P 3DB: International Cocoa Organisation, 31 p.
- Iwaro, A.D., Sreenivasan, T.N. and Umaharan, P. (1997) Foliar resistance to *Phytophthora palmivora* as an indicator of pod resistance in *Theobroma cacao*. *Plant Dis* 81, 619–624.
- Keane, P.J. (1992) Diseases and pests of cocoa: an overview. FAO Plant Production Prot 112, 1–16.
- Krauss, U. and Soberanis, W. (2001) Rehabilitation of diseased cacao fields in Peru through shade regulation and timing of biocontrol measures. *Agroforest Syst* 53, 179–184.
- Kredics, L., Antal, Z. and Manczinger, L. (2000) Influence of water potential on growth, enzyme secretion and *in vitro* enzyme activities of *Trichoderma harzianum* at different temperatures. *Curr Microbiol* **40**, 310–314.
- Kredics, L., Antal, Z., Manczinger, L., Pénzes, Z., Szekeres, A., Kevei, F. and Nagy, E. (2003) Influence of environmental parameters on *Trichoderma* strains with biocontrol potential. *Food Technol Biotechnol* **41**, 37–42.
- Kredics, L., Manczinger, L., Antal, Z., Pénzes, Z., Szekeres, A., Kevei, F. and Nagy, E. (2004) *In vitro* water activity and pH dependence of mycelial growth and extracellular enzyme activities of *Trichoderma* strains with biocontrol potential. *J Appl Microbiol* **96**, 491–498.
- Lahlali, R., Serrhini, M.N. and Jijakli, M.H. (2005) Studying and modelling the combined effect of temperature and water activity on the growth rate of *P. expansum. Int J Food Microbiol* **103**, 315–322.
- Lahlali, R., Serrhini, M.N., Friel, D. and Jijakli, M.H. (2006) *In vitro* effects of water activity, temperature and solutes on the growth rate of *P. italicum* Wehmer and *P. digitatum* Sacc. *J Appl Microbiol* **101**, 628–636.

Lawrence, J.S. (1998) Evaluation of method for assessing resistance of cocoa (Theobroma cacao, L.) cultivars and hybrids for Phytophthora palmivora (Buttler) Buttler. Boletin Tecnico No. 62 CEPLAC, Brazil: Itabuna, Bahia.

Magan, N. and Lacey, J. (1988) Ecological determinants of mould growth in stored grain. *Int J Food Microbiol* 7, 245–256.

Marín, S., Sanchis, V., Teixidõ, A., Saenz, R., Ramos, A.J., Vinãs, I. and Magan, N. (1996) Water and temperature relations and microconidial germination of *Fusarium moniliforme* and *F. proliferatum* from maize. *Can J Microbiol* 42, 1045–1050.

Matthews, G., Wiles, T. and Baleguel, P. (2003) A survey of pesticide application in Cameroon. *Crop Prot* 22, 707–714.

Moita, C., Feio, S.S., Nunes, L., Curto, M.J.M. and Roseiro, J.C. (2005) Optimisation of physical factors on the production of active metabolites by *Bacillus subtilis* 355 against wood surface contaminant fungi. *Int Biodeterior Biodegradation* 55, 261–269.

Ndoumbè-Nkeng, M., Cilas, C., Nyemb, E., Nyasse, S., Bieysse, D., Flori, A. and Sache, I. (2004) Impact of removing diseased pods on cocoa black pod caused by *Phytophthora megakarya* and on cocoa production in Cameroon. *Crop Prot* 23, 415–424.

Parra, R., Aldred, D., Archer, D.B. and Magan, N. (2004) Water activity, solute and temperature modify growth and spore production of wild type and genetically engineered *Aspergillus niger* strains. *Enzyme Microb Technol* 35, 232–237.

Plaza, P., Usall, J., Teixido, N. and Vinas, I. (2003) Effect of water activity and temperature on germination and growth of *Penicillium digitatum*, *P. italicum* and *Geotrichum candidum*. J Appl Microbiol **94**, 549–554.

Samuels, G.J., Lieckfeldt, E. and Nirenberg, H.I. (1999) *Trichoderma asperellum*, a new species with warted conidia, and redescription of *T. viride. Sydowia* **51**, 71–88. Samuels, G.J., Pardo-Schultheiss, R., Hebbar, K.P., Lumsden, R.D., Bastos, C.N., Costa, J. C. and Bezerra, J.L. (2000) *Trichoderma stromaticum* sp. nov., a parasite of the cacao witches broom pathogen. *Mycol Res* 104, 760–764.

Sanogo, S., Pomella, A., Hebbar, P.K., Bailey, B., Costa, J.C.B., Samuels, G.J. and Lumsden, R.D. (2002) Production and germination of conidia of *Trichoderma stromaticum*, a mycoparasite of *Crinipellis perniciosa* on cacao. *Phytopathology* **92**, 1032–1037.

Sautour, M., Rouget, A., Dantigny, P., Divies, C. and Bensoussan, M. (2001) Prediction of conidial germination of *Penicillium chrysogenum* as influenced by temperature, water activity and pH. *Lett Appl Microbiol* **32**, 131–134.

Sautour, M., Mary, P., Chihib, N.E. and Hornez, J.P. (2003) The effects of temperature, water activity and pH on the growth of *Aeromonas hydrophila* and on its subsequent survival in microcosm water. *J Appl Microbiol* **95**, 807–813.

Sparringa, R.A., Kendall, M., Westby, A. and Owens, J.D. (2002) Effects of temperature, pH, water activity and CO<sub>2</sub> concentration on growth of *Rhizopus oligosporus* NRRL 2710. J Appl Microbiol **92**, 329–337.

Tondje, P.R., Begoude, B.A.D., Samuels, G.J., Hebbar, P.K. and Foko, J. (2003) Biological control of the cacao black pod disease causative agent *Phytophthora megakarya* with *Trichoderma asperellum*. In 14th International Cocoa Research Conference, Accra- Ghana. 13–18 October 2003.

Verscheure, M., Lognay, G. and Marlier, M. (2002) Revue bibliographique: les méthodes chimiques d'identification et de classification des champignons. *Biotechnol Agron Soc Environ* 6, 131–142.

Wakelin, S.A., Sivasithamparam, K., Cole, A.L.J. and Skipp, R.A. (1999) Saprophytic growth in soil of a strain of *Trichoderma koningii*. N Z J of Agric Res 42, 337–345.