

The problem of aphasia in the assessment of consciousness in brain damaged patients

Steve Majerus ¹³, Marie-Aurélie Bruno ²³, Caroline Schnakers ², Joseph T. Giacino ⁴

&

Steven Laureys ²³

¹ Center for Cognitive and Behavioural Neuroscience, Université de Liège, Belgium

² Cyclotron Research Center, Université de Liège Belgium

³ Fund for Scientific Research – FNRS, Belgium

⁴ JFK Medical Center, Edison, NJ, USA

ABSTRACT

The assessment of level of consciousness in brain damaged patients relies to a large extent on behavioural assessment techniques. The limited behavioural repertoire displayed by many of these patients requires the use of highly sensitive and reliable behavioural assessment methods, allowing the detection of subtle changes in behaviour and associated level of consciousness. This situation is further complicated when patients with altered levels of consciousness have underlying deficits in the domain of communication functions, such as aphasia. The present chapter examines the consequences of receptive and/or productive aphasia on the already limited behavioural repertoire presented by patients in altered states of consciousness and discusses a number of behavioural and neuroimaging assessment procedures designed to, (1) detect the presence of aphasia in patients with altered levels of consciousness, and (2) reliably assess the level of consciousness of brain damaged patients while taking into account the existence of receptive and/or expressive language deficits. The combined use of behavioural and neuroimaging assessment techniques appears to be particularly promising for disentangling impaired levels of consciousness and aphasia.

Keywords: minimally conscious state, vegetative state, aphasia, behavioural assessment, neuroimaging

Introduction

The assessment of level of cognition in patients with altered states of consciousness such as vegetative state and minimally conscious state is primarily based on the observation of spontaneous behaviours and those that occur in response to verbal, visual or tactile stimulation. A number of consensus-based criteria have been proposed to distinguish minimally conscious state from vegetative state. These criteria entail the observation of a number of behaviours considered to be inconsistent with vegetative state and indicating the presence of minimal signs of consciousness, such as (1) visual fixation and pursuit, (2) response to verbal commands, (3) intelligible verbalization, (4) localization to noxious stimuli (Giacino, 2004; Giacino & Whyte, 2005; Majerus et al., 2005; Working Party of the Royal College of Physicians, 2003). Many of these behaviours require language comprehension or a response to verbal stimulation. The possible existence of unrecognized language disorders might, in some patients, prevent consistent behavioural responses to these verbal items, leading to an underestimation of the patient's level of consciousness. The present chapter examines the likelihood of the co-occurrence of language disturbance and alteration in consciousness, presents specific assessment procedures for detecting language impairment in patients with disorders of consciousness and discusses a number of precautionary measures when determining level of consciousness in patients likely to have associated language disorders.

A brief overview of the cognitive architecture of language processing and underlying neuroanatomical correlates

Before considering the likelihood of language disorders in patients with impaired consciousness, we will provide here a brief overview of the cognitive architecture of language processing and

underlying neuroanatomical correlates, since this knowledge will be determinant when trying to delineate the nature of possible language disorders in patients with alterations in consciousness.

At the receptive level, different levels have to be distinguished, starting with sound-based analysis processes, involving acoustic and phonetic analysis. Acoustic processing, common to verbal and non-verbal sounds, involves the bilateral primary auditory cortex and surrounding lateral superior temporal cortex, with a dominance of left superior temporal cortex for temporal analysis, and right superior temporal cortex for spectral analysis (Formisano et al., 2003; Wessinger et al., 2001; Zatorre & Belin, 2001). Phonetic processing, i.e. the extraction of temporal and spectral acoustic features necessary for identifying the basic verbal units (phonemes), involves the same neural substrates in the superior temporal lobes (Binder et al., 2000; Joanisse & Gati, 2003; Scott et al., 2000; Shestakova et al., 2004; Zatorre & Belin, 2001). The next level, phonological processing, involves the mapping of phonetic information to abstract representations of the speech sounds defining the phonology of a language (the vowels and consonants of a given language); this type of processing is subtended by the bilateral posterior superior temporal gyri and the superior temporal sulci (Binder et al., 2000; Scott et al., 2000). Finally, at the lexico-semantic stage, sounds are mapped to existing word forms and their associated semantic features, resulting in speech comprehension. The neural substrate involved in lexico-semantic stages are much more distributed, involving anterior temporal, middle and inferior temporal, medial temporal, inferior parietal as well as anterior inferior prefrontal regions (Binder et al., 2000; Longoni et al., 2005; Majerus et al., 2002; Martin, 1996). The precise role of these different areas involved in lexico-semantic processes has been proposed to vary as a function of semantic content, more abstract representations activating preferably the inferior prefrontal regions, animal categories activating the inferior temporo-occipital cortex and the tool category activating premotor regions (Martin, 1996; Noppeney & Price, 2004).

At the level of speech production, the starting point will be the lexico-semantic network, followed by the activation of phonological codes in the superior temporal lobe which, via the arcuate fasciculus, will activate corresponding articulatory patterns for speech motor production (Hickok & Poeppel, 2007). Speech motor production is subtended by a network involving the left frontal operculum (Broca's area), the left insula and adjacent sensori-motor cortex, subcortical nuclei (thalamus, putamen, pallidum) as well as the cerebellum (Hickok & Poeppel, 2007; Riecker et al., 2005). Finally, the precise neural substrate of sentence-level processing is less clearly understood. However, many studies have shown that Broca's area and adjacent inferior prefrontal cortex is critical for syntactic processing while the anterior temporal pole has also been frequently shown to be involved in sentence comprehension processes (e.g., Longoni et al., 2005; Vigneau et al., 2006).

Language disorders as a result of brain lesion (i.e., aphasia) can concern any combination of these different language processes, depending on the type and extent of brain lesions. However, for the issue of interest here, it is important to note that, except for very large lesions involving approximately two-thirds of the left hemisphere, aphasic patients rarely present global aphasia for a prolonged time (Kirshner, 1995; Laska et al., 2001). Global aphasia refers to the situation where both expressive and receptive language processing are severely impaired, leading to near complete loss of language comprehension and production. Global aphasia is frequent at the acute stage (25%) but its incidence rapidly decreases to a few percent after 18 months (Laska et al., 2001). The more typical and lasting situation is characterized by selective difficulties involving speech perception, lexical retrieval (word form access - anomia), semantic access (word meaning access), speech production (articulation – dysarthria, apraxia of speech), sentence comprehension and sentence production (agrammatism), patients presenting difficulties in one or several of these domains, but often retaining the capacity to understand high frequency and

highly familiar words, to perceive words that are phonetically quite distinctive (e.g., car – bike, as opposed to bike – pike), to understand simple sentences and to utter single words.

The likelihood of aphasia in altered states of consciousness

The type of brain lesion causing an alteration of consciousness levels provides a first indication of the likelihood of associated language problems. Overall, in the light of the results presented above, any brain lesion involving the left superior, middle and/or inferior temporal lobes is likely to be associated with receptive aphasia, as well as word finding difficulties during language production; any brain lesion involving Broca's area and surrounding cortical and subcortical areas is indicative of possible speech output difficulties. More specifically, lesions in these regions are most frequent in patients presenting a left-hemisphere ischemic or hemorrhagic pathology, most frequently due to thrombosis or aneurysms in the territory of the left middle cerebral artery (Kirshner, 1995). Cerebral vascular pathologies are among the most frequent etiologies of aphasia; the prevalence of aphasia after an ischemic stroke ranges between 15% and 30% (Inatomi et al., 2008; Laska et al., 2001). Traumatic brain injury less often leads to focal brain injury that could result in aphasic type language disorders (15% of all patients presenting traumatic brain injury; Chapman et al., 1995; Eisenberg et al., 1990). At the same time, it should be noted that for focal lesions in severe traumatic brain injury, they tend to be most widely distributed in the fronto-temporal area (Chapman et al., 1995; Levin et al., 1988; Newton et al., 1992). Severe aphasia is however very rarely reported as a result of traumatic brain injury. The most frequent language impairment at acute stages of closed traumatic brain injury is anomia (Heilman et al., 1971). Receptive language difficulties are most often related to sentence complexity, comprehension of simple sentence structures being in general preserved. However, discourse level deficits are a very common consequence of traumatic brain injury (Chapman et

al., 1995). Given the limited and simplified verbal instructions used during assessment of levels of consciousness, this type of language disturbance should however be of little consequence in the accurate assessment of consciousness in these patients. Finally, carbon monoxide intoxications and herpes simplex encephalities are other causes leading to altered states of consciousness (e.g., Schnakers et al., 2008). Given that these pathologies preferentially lead to brain lesions in medial temporal and hippocampal areas, they very rarely lead to severe aphasic syndromes, but semantic impairments can nevertheless be a frequent consequence of these pathologies.

With respect to functional brain imaging findings in patients with altered states of consciousness such as minimally conscious state and vegetative state, Laureys et al. (2000, 2004ab) showed that the regions that are most hypometabolic in patients presenting a vegetative state or a minimally conscious state involve posterior parietal areas, including the precuneus and posterior cingulate cortex, and that recovery of activation in these areas is what best differentiates patients in a vegetative state and those in a minimally conscious state. At the same time, this does not necessarily imply that language processing regions show preserved brain metabolism in minimally conscious patients. In order to investigate this question, we explored the level of glucose metabolism in 36 minimally conscious patients (minimum inclusion criterion: patients had to show visual fixation; Giacino, 2004) and 40 age-matched healthy controls using PET-FDG brain imaging. These patients either had suffered from traumatic brain injury, anoxia or hemorrhagic insult, with lesions in left hemisphere language processing areas, other focal lesions or diffuse lesions (see Table 1). The image files were realigned, spatially normalized into standard stereotactic space using a symmetrical template, smoothed using a smoothing kernel width at a half maximum of 14 mm owing to the severely damaged brains of the patients in MCS (Friston, 1997). The images were then analysed using an ANOVA design

(SPM8b, www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm), with participant group as group factor. Global scaling by proportional scaling was used. We focused the analyses specifically on main language processing regions. This was achieved by applying to the analyses an inclusive mask covering major language processing regions in the left superior, middle and inferior temporal gyri and the left inferior frontal gyrus, as well as the right inferior temporal gyri, based on the coordinates published in the functional neuroimaging studies of language processing reported earlier (Binder et al., 2000; Joanisse & Gati, 2003; Longoni et al., 2005; Majerus et al., 2002; Martin, 1996; Noppeney & Price, 2004; Scott et al., 2000; Shestakova et al., 2004; Vigneau et al., 2006; Zatorre & Belin, 2001). As shown in Figure 1, the estimated metabolism of regions included in this mask was clearly lower in all minimally conscious patients relative to the control group, and this irrespective of type of insult. Furthermore, as shown by the individual metabolic values in Figure 1, there was a remarkably homogeneous level of hypometabolism in language processing regions, despite the fact that the patients within each group varied greatly in terms of lesion site and lesion extent (see Table 1). This means that reduced levels of metabolism in language processing areas are a general characteristic of patients within a minimally conscious state, and cannot be considered as a diagnostic measure for possible language impairment.

< INSERT TABLE 1 AND FIGURE 1 ABOUT HERE >

In sum, the possibility of co-occurrence of severe aphasia and an alteration of consciousness should be considered especially in the context of left hemisphere cerebro-vascular pathologies or any other pathology leading to direct focal lesions in the left perisylvian area (e.g., focal traumatic brain injury). However, basic metabolic levels in language processing areas cannot be directly used to infer the existence of possible language impairment given that hypometabolism in these regions appears to be a general characteristic of minimally conscious patients.

Detection of aphasia in altered states of consciousness

Given the data presented in the previous section, the first important information to gather is structural information about the existence of focal brain lesions, and the extent and localization of these lesions, using CT or structural MRI scans. However, although structural brain imaging will reveal potential lesions in temporal and inferior prefrontal language processing areas, they give no information about the functionality of lesional and peri-lesional areas and their residual ability to support processing of language stimuli. As we have seen, looking at basic resting state metabolism in language processing will not be very informative given the general hypometabolism observed in these areas in minimally conscious patients. The implementation and adaptation of specific event-related functional neuroimaging and event-related potential paradigms could however be of particular interest. Based on single case and group studies, it has been shown that language processing areas in the superior, middle and inferior temporal lobes can be reliably activated in patients in a minimally conscious state. Using functional MRI or $H_2^{15}O$ -PET, Laureys et al. (2000, 2004; Boly et al., 2004) presented to their patients auditory-verbal information such as the patient's own name, as opposed to noise, and observed extensive activation in the superior and middle temporal lobes specifically for the meaningful linguistic stimulus. Similar results have been observed by a different research team when presenting meaningful linguistic stimuli to a lower bound minimally conscious state patient (e.g., Owen et al, 2006). Even for patients in a vegetative state, it has been shown that language stimuli activate language processing areas in the temporal lobe, although this activation is restricted to the superior temporal lobe surrounding the primary auditory cortex and is disconnected from other temporal lobe regions (Laureys et al., 2000ab, 1999). Other investigators have shed further light on the integrity of the underlying language network in patients with disorders of consciousness

by contrasting fMRI responses to intelligible and unintelligible speech. Schiff and colleagues (Schiff, Rodriguez-Moreno, Kamal, et al., 2005) presented fully-comprehensible spoken narratives to a group of healthy volunteers and two patients who fulfilled the diagnostic criteria for MCS. In a second condition, the narratives were digitally reversed resulting in loss of speech content and prosody. Both the volunteers and patients showed robust activity in the superior and middle temporal gyri during exposure to the comprehensible narratives. However, unlike the volunteers, the MCS patients demonstrated marked reductions in activity during presentation of the reversed narratives. The investigators suggested that the MCS patients' inactivity in the reversed condition reflected an inability to drive language structures when exposed to effortful processing demands. This hypothesis was supported by post-scan interviews in which volunteers reported that they recognized the stimuli as potential speech stimuli and initially increased their attempts to understand it. Given these results, the possibility of receptive language processing deficits in minimally conscious patients should be considered if a patient fails to show consistent activation in superior middle and inferior temporal lobes in response to the presentation of meaningful verbal stimuli in an fMRI paradigm, relative to other minimally conscious state patients and healthy controls.

It should be noted that although fMRI studies allow us to compare activation patterns in patients and control subjects, the existence of a similar activation profile in patients and control subjects does not automatically imply similar and accurate language processing in both populations, and hence language comprehension. For example, functional neuroimaging studies in healthy controls have shown that similar activation profiles are observed in superior, middle and inferior temporal gyri when word (e.g., trailer) and nonword stimuli (e.g., traimer) are presented (Binder et al., 2000; Price et al., 1996), suggesting that even when verbal stimuli have no defined lexical and semantic content, and hence cannot be understood, lexical and semantic

processing areas in the temporal lobes are activated. This also means that a patient showing activation in these temporal areas following the presentation of a meaningful verbal stimulus might still be unable to comprehend the stimulus. More generally, fMRI activation provides information about the type of regions involved in a specific cognitive process, but does not necessarily provide information about the result and accuracy of the ongoing cognitive process. At the same time, negative findings in response to activation paradigms do not rule out the possibility that the capacity for language processing is retained. Many other factors may mask or suppress cortical activity associated with fMRI-based language tasks including disturbances in the blood-brain barrier, cerebrovascular and structural anomalies, impairments in subjects' level of arousal, attention and motivation, motion artifact and the field strength of the magnet (Brown, 2007).

Neurophysiological paradigms, using event-related potentials (ERP) measuring the electrical correlate of neural activity in response to specific stimuli, could provide further helpful information. Although this technology has a much lower spatial resolution, the temporal resolution is at the millisecond level, and, relative to fMRI which has a temporal resolution of 1000 ms at best, is much more suited to study the temporal succession of the different cognitive processes involved in online speech perception processes. In standard listening conditions, a spoken word is identified (i.e., its meaning is accessed) in less than 400 msec (Kotz et al., 2005; Marslen-Wilson & Welsh, 1978). In the speech perception literature, different paradigms have been developed allowing to capture the functioning of perceptual, lexical and semantic processes. A very interesting ERP component is the negative amplitude occurring 100-200 msec after stimulus onset (mismatch negativity, MMN), and this particularly when a new stimulus occurs within a sequence of repetitive stimuli (e.g., da – da - da – ba). This component has been used very extensively to study acoustic and phonological processes (e.g., Hisagi et al., 2006;

Näätänen, 1990; Ylinen et al., 2006). A further quality of the MMN is that it can be obtained in very passive conditions, where conscious awareness of the target stimulus is not necessary. In this paradigm, the participants are often exposed to a secondary task such as viewing a film, and the auditory stimuli of interest are presented via headphones to the participant, without the participant having to pay attention to the stimuli (Näätänen, 1990). The MMN is also used to reliably study speech perception processes in pre-conscious neonates and infants (e.g., Dehaene-Lambertz & Baillet, 1998). This renders this paradigm particularly interesting to identify speech perception problems in minimally conscious, and possibly, also vegetative state patients. This paradigm can be used to explore sensitivity to all phonetic contrasts existing in a given language at the consonant and vowel level (for example, ba – ba – ba – pa, or bi – bi – bi – bi – bu). If the patient fails to present an MMN response to deviant stimuli differing at the acoustic, phonetic or phonological level, this may suggest that linguistic information is not accurately processed at this level. Later potentials, such as the positive peak at 300 ms and the negative peak at 400 ms post-stimulus, have been used to study lexical and semantic processes. For example, in a lexical decision task, where word (e.g., house) and nonword stimuli (e.g., houme) are successively presented, an earlier P300 and an earlier N400 are observed if the target word stimulus is primed (preceded for a brief time period) by a semantically related word, indicating that the word and its semantic relationships have been identified (e.g., Hill et al., 2005). In a lexical decision task, the P300 to words shows a larger amplitude and a shorter latency when the words have been acquired early or are more frequent, indicating that the P300 indexes lexical recognition processes (Tainturier et al., 2005; Polich & Donchin, 1988). The P300 response is also larger for words as compared to nonwords (Pulvermüller et al., 2004). The later negativity at 400 ms (N400) has been extensively used to study higher level semantic processes, such as the detection of semantic plausibility of sentences, an N400 component being observed when a sentence ends

with a semantically implausible word (e.g., The cat chased the door; Kutas & Hillyard, 1980). Hence, the observation of unexpected P300 and N400 patterns in response to word and sentence stimuli is likely to indicate abnormal lexical and/or semantic processes, consistent with findings of abnormal P300 and N400 in aphasic patients with lexico-semantic impairment (e.g., Hagoort et al., 1996; Pulvermüller et al., 2004)

Although the paradigms used for eliciting P300 and N400 components are attentionally more demanding paradigms (listening to words, pseudowords, or sentences) than the paradigms used to elicit MMN components, previous research in minimally conscious patients has shown that reliable P300 components can be observed in minimally conscious patients when hearing their own name as compared to an unfamiliar name (e.g., Laureys et al., 2004; Perrin et al., 2006; Schnakers et al., 2008). Even in some VS patients, a P300 has been observed when comparing the patients' own names to unfamiliar names, however, these responses are less consistent for this patient group (Glass et al., 1998; Perrin et al., 2006). N400 components can also be reliably observed in MCS patients: Schoenle and Witzke (2004) showed that 67 MCS patients (from a total of 74 patients) showed N400 waves for semantically incongruent sentences, while this was only the case in 5 out of 46 VS patients (see also Kotchoubey et al., 2005, for related findings). On the other hand, early negative amplitudes involved in perceptual and phonological processes appear to be identifiable in the vast majority of VS patients (Perrin et al., 2006; Schnakers et al., 2008).

Finally, at the behavioural level, and for patients in a MCS, the detection of possible signs for aphasia could also be attempted by using customized bedside aphasia screening batteries, varying the linguistic complexity (such as word frequency, age of acquisition, word length) of single word instructions and alternating the use of auditory-verbal, visual-verbal and visual-non-verbal instructions. If a patient responds to simple instructions using short, high

frequency words (“raise your arm”; “close your eyes”) but not to more complex instructions using longer and less frequent words (“elevate your arm and lower your eyelids”), then this could be a possible indication of language-related, attentional or short-term memory difficulties. Evidence to support this contention is provided by a recent study by Nakase-Richardson et al. (2008). These authors found that patients who had emerged from MCS and were able to respond reliably to yes/no questions concerning situational orientation (e.g., “Am I pointing to the ceiling?”), showed a high rate of inconsistency (34% correct) when responding to questions of greater semantic complexity (eg, “Will a stone sink in water?”).

The presentation modality of verbal information should also be varied and possible preserved capacities for processing written language should not be underestimated. If a patient responds consistently better to a written command than to the same command presented auditorily, then this is likely to indicate specific difficulties at the level of auditory verbal input processing (assuming that audiometric testing, using auditory evoked potentials, has ruled out the existence of peripheral or central hearing disorders). It must be noted here that the processing of written and spoken language share the same semantic levels of representation, but that access to these semantic representations from written visual input uses a specific network involving the left posterior inferior temporal gyrus (fusiform gyrus) and the left supramarginal gyrus (e.g., Fiez & Petersen, 1998; McCandliss et al., 2003). Hence, in case of severe speech perception problems due to bilateral lesions at the level of the primary auditory cortex and superior temporal gyri, a patient might still be able to (partially) comprehend speech using the written input modality (Kirshner, 1995). Dissociations between written and auditory language processing have been very frequently reported in the aphasia literature (e.g., Caramazza & Hillis, 1990; Coppens et al., 1998; Majerus et al., 2001; Majerus et al., 2004; Puel et al., 1982). Finally, visual non-verbal communication capacities should also be assessed by providing the patient a visual or gestural

description of the command he/she is requested to perform. If the patient is able to raise his/her hand after the examiner has performed the requested movement in front of the patient and the examiner has pointed to the patient to invite him/her to do the same, but if the patient does not perform the same movement upon auditory and written verbal request, then the existence of language-related disorders should be considered. This sort of dissociation in response accuracy to auditory and written verbal requests is illustrated in a case reported by one of the current authors (Smart et al., 2008). A 53 year-old male who developed locked-in syndrome following a pontine hemorrhage showed significantly poorer response consistency and accuracy when answering semantically-complex questions presented verbally, but had little difficulty when the same questions were presented in written form. Although this pattern of findings was not indicative of language disturbance, auditory processing impairment was strongly suspected. Presentation of a passive fMRI speech paradigm failed to elicit the expected activity in primary and secondary auditory cortices. In contrast, exposure to passive visual stimuli revealed activation of the primary visual cortex with selective activation of the fusiform face and hippocampal place areas in response to faces and landscape scenes, respectively. Taken together with the bedside findings, these results were strongly suggestive of central deafness. Information gathered via this type of multimodal aphasia assessment will likely yield more clues regarding the probability of unrecognized language and auditory processing impairments in nonverbal and behaviorally unresponsive patients.

Implications for behavioural assessment of level of consciousness

Despite the different techniques discussed here, the detection of language disorders in the context of altered states of consciousness remains a very difficult enterprise. With respect to the assessment of levels of consciousness, the difficulty resides in the detection of behaviours

consistent with minimal voluntary and conscious control, while ruling out the possibility that the non-observation of these behaviours is due to language difficulties preventing the patient from comprehending the task instruction and/or producing the required response. The aim of behavioural assessment should thus be to use the most appropriate presentation formats for items containing a verbal request and to avoid the situation where a response is entirely dependent upon the production of a verbal response. Although this general recommendation might conflict with the very precisely defined and standardized administration procedures guaranteeing the reliability of modern behavioural assessment scales such as the CRS-R (Giacino et al., 2004), the WHIM (Shiel et al., 2000) or the SMART (Gill-Thwaites et al., 2004), the sensitivity and validity of assessment might depend upon the possibility of adapting the administration modes of individual items to the patient's best receptive and productive abilities. In case of probable aphasic disorders, or simply in case of doubt, the following recommendations for behavioural assessment are proposed:

- (1) An item containing an auditory or a written verbal instruction should be presented repeatedly, and the best possible response should be scored;
- (2) If an item is designed to be administered through the auditory mode, and the patient fails to respond, a written prompt should be presented. Similarly, if there is no response to written presentation, an auditory prompt should be provided.
- (3) In any case, any failed verbal item should be re-administered using a gestural or graphical presentation mode; for example, the experimenter performs the command in front of the participant, and the participant is asked (or requested via a gesture) to imitate the command. Aphasic patients should be able to imitate the gestural commandes. However, examiners should be cautious in interpreting this type of performance as responses similar to the expected ones may be noted in patients who present with non-intentional

imitation or utilization behavior arising from mesiobasal frontal lesions (Lhermitte, Pillon & Serdaru, 1986).

- (4) Although the verbal instructions of most items are standardized, slight deviations should be allowed in order to allow for shorter formulations, to allow for reformulations using more frequent or familiar words;
- (5) When there is no explicit formulation associated with the item (such as ‘patient obeys to a verbal command’, Item 15, WHIM), the shortest possible formulation should be used avoiding any unnecessary verbal additions (e.g., say “Raise your arm” or “Raise your arm, please”, but do not say “May I ask you to raise your arm?” nor “Can you raise your arm?”)

These recommendations are based upon the findings that aphasic symptoms are rarely global in the case of circumscribed cerebral lesions in the perisylvian area, and that elementary speech comprehension and production processes, as needed during the administration of behavioural assessment tools for altered states of consciousness, are still possible in the vast majority of aphasic patients. Cases of global aphasia leading to near complete loss of language comprehension and production abilities are possible, but they are typically associated with very extensive left hemisphere lesions that can be easily identified based on structural CT or MRI scans.

Discussion

In this work, we proposed that a multimodal assessment protocol, combining specific fMRI, ERP and behavioural assessment protocols could allow to detect possible language impairment in patients in altered states of consciousness. However, the reader should keep in mind that results

indicating possible language impairment obtained via these techniques will need to be considered with great care, and that some techniques and paradigms might be more informative than others.

First, with respect to functional neuroimaging results, the observation of resting state hypometabolism in language processing areas is probably the most difficult-to-interpret situation. As we have shown, most MCS patients, irrespective of lesion location, will show hypometabolism in language processing areas, relative to controls. However, this does not automatically imply that all MCS patients have language impairment. Hypometabolism in language processing areas informs us about a decrease of the spontaneous level of activity in language processing areas; this spontaneous decrease of activity could reflect the MCS patients' reduction of spontaneous verbal behaviour and inner verbal thought rather than impaired language processing. In other words, language processing is reduced but not necessarily impaired. A number of studies have shown that resting state brain activity in healthy controls involves the active recruitment of language processing areas and is related to the participants' engagement in "conceptual" processing (e.g., Binder et al., 1999). Hence the observation of hypometabolism in language processing areas in MCS patients during passive, resting state conditions is probably not highly informative with respect to the detection of potential language impairment. On the other hand, fMRI paradigms trying to activate language processing areas as a function of the controlled presentation of language stimuli may be a more powerful paradigm : if a patient activates semantic processing areas following the presentation of word stimuli, then this indicates more clearly that language processing areas can indeed be reliably activated. However, this does not yet inform us about the accuracy of language processes subserved by these regions. Furthermore, an absence of stimulus-related activation in language processing areas could signal language impairment, but could also be due to sensory, anatomical, statistical and technical

factors described earlier. ERP techniques will probably present the highest informative value, as they measure online brain responses signaling the actual, successful identification of linguistic contrasts by the language system, and allow the exploration of a patient's speech perception abilities in a highly refined manner. Furthermore, as we have seen at least for perceptual and phonological factors, reliable ERP signals can be obtained even in patients with severely compromised consciousness levels such as vegetative state patients. Finally, the power of structural imaging to highlight structural damage to language processing areas should not be underestimated. In sum, ERP paradigms and structural imaging, in combination with adapted behavioural assessment protocols, might represent the fastest and most powerful techniques to explore the brain's potential to process language information in VS and MCS patients.

Conclusion and Perspectives

Future research will be necessary to improve and refine multimodal assessment techniques for language impairment in VS and MCS patients. In order to reliably determine that a specific brain response or its absence signal potential language impairment, be it for fMRI or ERP paradigms, brain responses obtained in VS and MCS patients for stimulations contrasting phonological, lexical and /or semantic information need to be directly compared with those of aphasic but conscious patients, and this as a function of phonological, lexical and/or semantic language impairments that have been identified in these patients. A major challenge for conducting these across-patient group studies will be to select the appropriate baseline or control tasks (see also Crosson et al., 2007, for a review of additional methodological concerns for language-related fMRI in patient groups). For example, when identifying semantic processes, brain activation is often compared to a non-semantic linguistic condition such as distorted, meaningless speech stimuli. As we have seen, patients in MCS, whether language impaired or

not, will present altered activation in language processing areas during these baseline conditions or even rest; when contrasting the condition-of-interest to this type of baseline condition, abnormal brain activation patterns might in fact arise due to abnormal activation in the baseline condition, and not necessarily in the experimental condition. A possible solution is to use a non-linguistic control condition, such as listening to simple environmental sounds and tone stimuli, for which we know that MCS patients present normal activation levels in at least a subset of language processing areas (Laureys et al., 2000), and to contrast activity of the language processing condition-of-interest with this non-linguistic control condition.

With respect to the bedside aphasia assessment protocols we have proposed, their feasibility and sensitivity need to be validated via their administration to representative groups of MCS and aphasic patient groups in order to determine what type of language complexity (phonological, semantic, syntactic) affects verbal communication modes in MCS patients and whether language complexity affects communication in MCS and aphasic patients to the same extent. Similarly, with respect to the adaptations we have proposed for the administration of consciousness assessment scales, existing scales such as the WHIM, the CRS-R or the SMART should be screened for linguistic complexity of item formulations, as well as for the possibility to allow for repeated presentation and the use of alternative item presentation modalities (e.g., auditory versus written item presentation). These scales should also be administered, following standard administration procedures, to fully conscious aphasic patients, in order to determine (1) the extent to which the scoring of existing behavioural assessment scales is affected by concomitant language impairment, (2) what type of aphasic symptom is most detrimental for the assessment of levels of consciousness, and (3) what behavioural assessment scale is most affected by the patient's language impairment.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Steve Majerus, Steven Laureys and Marie-Aurélié Bruno are, respectively, Research Associate, Senior Research Associate and Research Fellow, all funded by the Fund for Scientific Research-FNRS, Belgium. This study was also supported by the James S. McDonnell Foundation, Mind Science Foundation, European Commission and Concerted Research Action.

REFERENCES

Binder, J.R., Frost, J.A., Hammeke, T.A., Bellgowan, P.S.F., Rao, S.M., and Cox, R.W., 1999. Conceptual processing during the conscious resting state: a functional MRI study. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience* 11, 80-93.

Binder, J.R., Frost, J.A., Hammeke, T.A., Bellgowan, P.S.F., Springer, J.A., Kaufman, J.N., and Possing, E.T., 2000. Human temporal lobe activation by speech and nonspeech sounds. *Cerebral Cortex* 10, 512-528.

Boly, M., Faymonville, M.E., Peigneux, P., Lambermont, B., Damas, P., Del Fiore, G., Degueldre, C., Franck, G., Luxen, A., Lamy, M., Moonen, G., Maquet, P., and Laureys, S., 2004. Auditory processing in severely brain injured patients: differences between the minimally conscious state and the persistent vegetative state. *Archives of Neurology* 61, 233-238.

Brown, G.G., 2007. Functional magnetic resonance imaging in clinical practice: look before you leap. *Neuropsychological Review* 17, 103-106.

Caramazza, A. and Hillis, A.E., 1990. Spatial representation of words in the brain implied by studies of a unilateral neglect patient. *Nature* 346, 267-269.

Chapman, S.B., Levin, H.S., and Culhane, K.A., Language impairment in closed head injury. In: Kirshner, H.S. (Ed.), *Handbook of neurological speech and language disorders*, Informa Health Care, New York, 1995, pp. 387-414.

Coppens, P., Lebrun, Y., and Basso, A., 1998. Aphasia in atypical populations. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, New York.

Crosson, B., McGregor, K.K., Gopinath, K.S., Conway, T.W., Benjamin, M., Chang, Y.L., Moore, A.B., Raymer, A.M., Briggs, R.W., Sherod, M.G., Wierenga, C.E., and White, K.D., 2007. Functional MRI of language and aphasia: a review of the literature and the methodological challenges. *Neuropsychological Review* 17, 157-177.

Dehaene-Lambertz, G. and Baillet, S., 1998. A phonological representation in the infant brain. *NeuroReport* 9, 1885-1888.

Eisenberg, H.M., Gary, H.E.J., Aldrich, E.F., Saydjari, C., Turner, B., Foulkes, M.A., Jane, J.A., Marmarou, A., Marshall, L.F., and Young, H.F., 1990. Initial CT findings in 753 patients with severe head injury. A report from the NIH Traumatic Coma Data Bank. *Journal of Neurosurgery* 73, 688-698.

Fiez, J.A. and Petersen, S.E., 1998. Neuroimaging studies of word reading. *PNAS* 95, 914-921.

Formisano, E., Kim, D.S., Di Salle, F., van de Moortele, P.F., Ugurbil, K., and Goebel, R., 2003. Mirror-symmetric tonotopic maps in human primary auditory cortex. *Neuron* 40, 859-869.

Friston, K.J., 1997. Testing for anatomically specified regional effects. *Human Brain Mapping* 5, 133-136.

Giacino, J.T., 2004. The vegetative state and minimally conscious states: consensus-based criteria for establishing diagnosis and prognosis. *NeuroRehabilitation* 19, 293-298.

Giacino, J.T., Kalmar, K., and Whyte, J., 2004. The JFK Coma Recovery Scale - Revised: measurement characteristics and diagnostic utility. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation* 85, 2020-2029.

Giacino, J.T. and Whyte, J., 2005. The vegetative and minimally conscious states: current knowledge and remaining questions. *Journal of Head Trauma and Rehabilitation* 20, 30-50.

Gill-Thwaites, H. and Munday, R., 2004. The Sensory Modality Assessment and Rehabilitation Technique (SMART): a valid and reliable assessment for vegetative state and minimally conscious state patients. *Brain Injury* 18, 1255-1269.

Glass, I., Sazbon, L., and Grosswasser, Z., 1998. Mapping "cognitive" event-related potentials in prolonged postcoma unawareness state. *Clinical Electroencephalography* 29, 19-30.

Hagoort, P., Brown, C.M., and Swaab, T.Y., 1996. Lexical-semantic event-related potential effects in patients with left hemisphere lesions and aphasia, and patients with right hemisphere lesions without aphasia. *Brain* 119, 627-649.

Heilman, K.M., Safran, A., and Geschwind, N., 1971. Closed head trauma and aphasia. *Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery, and Psychiatry* 34, 265-269.

Hickok, G. and Poeppel, D., 2007. The cortical organization of speech processing. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience* 8, 393-402.

Hill, H., Ott, F., and Weisbrod, M., 2005. SOA-dependent N400 and P300 semantic priming effects using pseudoword primes and a delayed lexical decision. *International Journal of Psychophysiology* 56, 209-221.

Hisagi, M., Shafer, V., and Sussman, E., 2009. Neurophysiological basis of temporally cued phonetic contrasts in Japanese and American English listeners (A). *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* 120, 3172.

Inatomi, Y., Yonehara, T., Omiya, S., Hashimoto, Y., Hirano, T., and Uchino, M., 2008. Aphasia during the acute phase in ischemic stroke. *Cerebrovascular Disorders* 25, 316-323.

Joanisse, M.F. and Gati, J.S., 2003. Overlapping neural regions for processing rapid temporal cues in speech and nonspeech signals. *NeuroImage* 19, 64-79.

Kirshner, H.S., 1995. *The handbook of neurological speech and language disorders*. Informa Health Care, New York.

Kotchoubey, B., Lang, S., Mezger, G., Schmalohr, D., Schneck, M., Semmler, A., Bostanov, V., and Birbaumer, N., 2005. Information processing in severe disorders of consciousness: vegetative state and minimally conscious state. *Clinical Neurophysiology*, 116, 2441-2453.

Kotz, S.A., Von Cramon, D., and Friederici, A.D., 2005. On the role of phonological short-term memory in sentence processing: ERP single case evidence on modality-specific effects. *Cognitive Neuropsychology* 22, 931-958.

Kutas, M. and Hillyard, S.A., 1980. Reading senseless sentences: brain potentials reflect semantic incongruity. *Science* 207, 203-205.

Laska, A.C., Hellblom, A., Murray, V., Kahan, T., and Von Arbin, M., 2001. Aphasia in acute stroke and relation to outcome. *Journal of Internal Medicine* 249, 413-422.

Laureys, S., Lemaire, C., Maquet, P., Phillips, C., and Franck, G., 1999. Cerebral metabolism during vegetative state and after recovery to consciousness. *Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery, and Psychiatry* 67, 121.

Laureys, S., Goldman, S., Phillips, C., Van Bogaert, P., Aerts, J., Luxen, A., Franck, G., and Maquet, P., 2000. Impaired effective cortical connectivity in vegetative state: Preliminary investigation using PET. *NeuroImage* 9, 377-382.

Laureys, S., Faymonville, M.E., Degueldre, C., Del Fiore, G., Damas, P., Lambermont, B., Janssens, N., Aerts, J., Franck, G., Luxen, A., Moonen, G., Lamy, M., and Maquet, P., 2000. Auditory processing in the vegetative state. *Brain* 123, 1589-1601.

Laureys, S., Perrin, F., Faymonville, M.E., Schnakers, C., Boly, M., Bartsch, V., Majerus, S., Moonen, G., and Maquet, P., 2004. Cerebral processing in the minimally conscious state. *Neurology* 63, 916-918.

Laureys, S., Owen, A.M., and Schiff, N.D., 2004. Brain function in coma, vegetative state, and related disorders. *Lancet Neurology* 3, 537-546.

Levin, H.S., Williams, D., Crofford, M.J., High, W.M.J., Eisenberg, H.M., Amparo, E.G., Guinto, F.C.J., Kalisky, Z., Handel, S.F., and Goldman, A.M., 1988. Relationship of depth of brain lesions to consciousness and outcome after closed head injury. *Journal of Neurosurgery* 69, 861-866.

Lhermitte, F., Pillon, B., and Serdaru, M., 1986. Human autonomy and the frontal lobes. Part I: Imitation and utilization behavior: a neuropsychological study of 75 patients. *Annals of Neurology* 19, 326-334.

Longoni, F., Grande, M., Hendrich, V., Kastrau, F., and Huber, W., 2005. An fMRI study on conceptual, grammatical, and morpho-phonological processing. *Brain and Cognition* 57, 131-134.

Majerus, S., Lekeu, F., Van der Linden, M., and Salmon, E., 2001. Deep dysphasia: Further evidence on the relationship between phonological short-term memory and language processing impairments. *Cognitive Neuropsychology* 18, 385-410.

Majerus, S., Collette, F., Van der Linden, M., Peigneux, P., Laureys, S., Delfiore, G., Degueldre, C., Luxen, A., and Salmon, E., 2002. A PET investigation of lexicality and phonotactic frequency in oral language processing. *Cognitive Neuropsychology* 19, 343-360.

Majerus, S., Van der Linden, M., Poncelet, M., and Metz-Lutz, M.N., 2004. Can phonological and semantic short-term memory be dissociated? Further evidence Landau-Kleffner Syndrome. *Cognitive Neuropsychology* 21, 491-512.

Majerus, S., Gill-Thwaites, H., Andrews, K., and Laureys, S., 2005. Behavioral evaluation of consciousness in severe brain damage. *Progress in Brain Research* 50, 401-418.

Marslen-Wilson, W. and Welsh, A., 1978. Processing interactions and lexical access during word recognition in continuous speech. *Cognitive Psychology* 10, 29-63.

Martin, A., Wiggs, C.L., Ungerleider, L.G., and et al., 1996. Neural correlates of category-specific knowledge. *Nature* 379, 649-652.

McCandliss, B.D., Cohen, L., and Dehaene, S., 2003. The visual word form area: expertise for reading in the fusiform gyrus. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences* 7, 293-299.

Nakase-Richardson, R., Yablon, S.A., Sherer, M., Evans, C.C., and Nick, T.G., 2008. Serial yes/no reliability after traumatic brain injury: implications regarding the operational criteria for emergence from the minimally conscious state. *Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery, and Psychiatry* 79, 216-218.

Näätänen, R., 1990. The role of attention in auditory information processing as revealed by event-related potentials and other brain measures of cognitive function. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences* 13, 201-288.

Newton, M.R., Greenwood, R.J., Britton, K.E., Charlesworth, M., Nimmon, C.C., Carroll, M.J., and Dolke, G., 1992. A study comparing SPECT with CT and MRI after closed head injury. *Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery, and Psychiatry* 55, 92-94.

Noppeney, U. and Price, C., 2004. Retrieval of abstract semantics. *NeuroImage* 22, 164-170.

Owen, A.M., Coleman, M.R., Boly, M., Davis, M.H., Laureys, S., and Pickard, J.D., 2006. Detecting awareness in the vegetative state. *Science* 313, 1402.

Perrin, F., Schnakers, C., Schabus, M., Degueldre, C., Goldman, S., Brédart, S., Faymonville, M.E., Lamy, M., Moonen, G., Luxen, A., Maquet, P., and Laureys, S., 2006. Brain response to one's own name in vegetative state, minimally conscious state, and locked-in syndrome. *Archives of Neurology* 63, 562-569.

Polich, J. and Donchin, E., 1988. P300 and the word frequency effect. *Electroencephalography and Clinical Neurophysiology* 70, 33-45.

Price, C.J., Wise, R.J.S., and Frackowiak, R.S.J., 1996. Demonstrating the implicit processing of visually presented words and pseudowords. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience* 6, 62-70.

Puel, M., Joannette, Y., Levrat, M., Nespoulous, J.L., Viala, M.F., Lecours, A.R., and Rascol, A., 1982. [Crossed aphasia in right-handed patients. II. Neuropsychological and neurolinguistic study of a case. Evolution over a 2 year period]. *Revue Neurologique* 138, 587-600.

Pulvermüller, F., Mohr, B., and Lutzenberger, W., 2004. Neurophysiological correlates of word and pseudo-word processing in well-recovered aphasics and patients with right-hemispheric stroke. *Psychophysiology* 41, 584-591.

Riecker, A., Mathiak, K., Wildgruber, D., Erb, M., Hertrich, I., Grodd, W., and Ackermann, H., 2005. fMRI reveals two distinct cerebral networks subserving speech motor control. *Neurology* 64, 700-706.

Schiff, N.D., Rodriguez-Moreno, D., Kamal, A., Kim, K.H., Giacino, J.T., Plum, F., and Hirsch, J., 2005. fMRI reveals large-scale network activation in minimally conscious patients. *Neurology* 64, 514-523.

Schoenle, P.W. and Witzke, W., 2004. How vegetative is the vegetative state? Preserved semantic processing in VS patients – evidence from N400 event-related potentials. *NeuroRehabilitation*, 19, 329-334.

Schnakers, C., Ledoux, D., Majerus, S., Damas, P., Damas, F., Lambermont, B., Lamy, M., Boly, M., Vanhaudenhuyse, A., Moonen, G., and Laureys, S., 2008. Diagnostic and prognostic use of bispectral index in coma, vegetative state and related disorders. *Brain Injury* 22, 926-931.

Schnakers, C., Perrin, F., Schabus, M., Majerus, S., Ledoux, D., Damas, P., Boveroux, P., Maquet, P., Moonen, G., and Laureys, S., 2008. Voluntary brain processing in disorders of consciousness. *Neurology* 71, 1614-1620.

Scott, S.K., Catrin Blank, C., Rosen, S., and Wise, R.J.S., 2000. Identification of a pathway for intelligible speech in the left temporal lobe. *Brain* 123, 2400-2406.

Shestakova, A., Brattico, E., Soloviev, A., Klucharev, V., and Huotilainen, M., 2004. Orderly cortical representation of vowel categories presented by multiple exemplars. *Cognitive Brain Research* 21, 342-350.

Shiel, A., Horn, S., Wilson, B.A., McLellan, D.L., Watson, M., and Campbell, M., 2000. The Wessex Head Injury Matrix main scale: A preliminary report on a scale to assess and monitor patients recovery after severe head injury. *Clinical Rehabilitation* 14, 408-416.

Smart, C.M., Giacino, J.T., Cullen, T., Moreno, D.R., Hirsch, J., Schiff, N.D., and Gizzi, M., 2008. A case of locked-in syndrome complicated by central deafness. *Nature clinical practice. Neurology* 4, 448-453.

Tainturier, M.J., Tamminen, J., and Thierry, G., 2005. Age of acquisition modulates the amplitude of the P300 component in spoken word recognition. *Neuroscience Letters* 379, 17-22.

Vigneau, M., Beaucousin, V., Hervé, P.Y., Duffau, H., Crivello, F., Houdé, O., Mazoyer, B., and Tzourio-Mazoyer, N., 2006. Meta-analyzing left hemisphere language areas: phonology, semantics, and sentence processing. *NeuroImage* 1432.

Wessinger, C.M., VanMeter, J., Tian, B., Van Laren, J., Pekar, J., and Rauschecker, J.P., 2001. Hierarchical organization of the human auditory cortex revealed by functional magnetic resonance imaging. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience* 13, 1-7.

Working Party of the Royal College of Physicians, 2003. The vegetative state: guidance on diagnosis and management. *Clinical Medicine* 3, 249-254.

Ylinen, S., Shestakova, A., Huotilainen, M., Alku, P., and Näätänen, R., 2006. Mismatch negativity (MMN) elicited by changes in phoneme length: a cross-linguistic study. *Brain Research* 1072, 175-185.

Zatorre, R.J. and Belin, P., 2001. Spectral and temporal processing in human auditory cortex. *Cerebral Cortex* 11, 946-953.

Table 1. Characteristics of 36 minimally conscious patients undergoing PET-FDG resting state brain imaging for determining metabolism levels in language processing areas.

Brain injury type	Focal lesion in left hemisphere language processing areas	Other focal lesions	Diffuse or non-specified lesions	Age range (years)	Time post-onset (days)
Traumatic brain injury	2	3	12	16-43	60-8117
Anoxia	0	1	11	26-64	39-2543
Hemorrhagic lesions, stroke, other	3	4	0	45-88	39-1825

Figure 1. Resting state metabolism (PET-FDG) in main fronto-temporal language processing areas, in minimally conscious state patients as a result of traumatic brain injury, anoxia or hemorrhagic and other lesion types, and in age-matched healthy controls (first eigenvariate of main group effect for the regions of interest as defined by an inclusive mask covering major language processing areas; see text for further details).

