

1 **Title**

2 **Sonographic Anatomy of the Equine Palmar Distal Digit**

3 Géraldine Bolen DVM, Valeria Busoni PhD, DVM, Olivier Jacqmot DVM, Frédéric Snaps,

4 Prof, PhD, DVM

5 From Medical Imaging Section – Department of Clinical Sciences (Bolen, Busoni, Snaps) –

6 Anatomy Section – Department of Morphology and Pathology (Jacqmot) – Faculty of

7 Veterinary Medicine – University of Liège – Boulevard de Colonster, 20, Bât. B41, Sart-

8 Tilman, 4000 Liège – Belgium – Tel.: + 32 4 3664180 / 4182 – Fax. : +32 4 3664181

9 Address correspondence and reprint request: Géraldine Bolen, DVM, at the above address. E-

10 mail: geraldine.bolen@tiscali.be

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50

Abstract

Although ultrasonography is widely used in equine orthopaedics, its use in the distal portion of the digit is still limited. The purpose of this descriptive study was to document the normal ultrasonographic appearance of the distal palmar digital area imaged at the distal pastern and between the bulbs of the heels. Ultrasonographic images were obtained with a 7.5 MHz microconvex transducer in 10 fresh equine cadaver forelimbs and 5 sound horses. Sagittal, parasagittal and transverse images were obtained from the proximal aspect of the middle phalanx to the distal sesamoid bone. Anatomical sections were obtained from 5 cadaver specimens to correlate sonographic appearance with anatomical findings. The remaining cadaver limbs were dissected to confirm normalcy. Ultrasonographic exams were possible on all digits but distal images were more difficult to obtain in digits with long heels. Bony structures (palmar surface of the middle phalanx and proximal border of the distal sesamoid bone) and soft tissue structures (deep digital flexor tendon, digital tendon sheath, proximal palmar recess of the distal interphalangeal joint, proximal recess of the podotrochlear bursa, collateral sesamoidean ligaments) identified on the anatomical slices, were seen on the matched ultrasonographic slices. Ultrasonography provides good anatomical details of the palmar distal digit. The images of this study will serve as a reference for clinical studies on ultrasonography of the palmar distal digit.

Key words: equine, ultrasonography, pastern, digit, anatomy.

51

52 **Text**

53 *Introduction*

54 Soft tissue injuries are reported as a cause of digit pain¹. Magnetic resonance (MR) imaging
55 is not available as a routine imaging technique for horses and is only available in referral
56 centers. Furthermore, MR examination often requires general anesthesia and is an expensive
57 method. Ultrasonography, on the other hand, is recognised as a routine, non invasive imaging
58 technique and provides detailed information about soft tissue injuries^{2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7}.

59 Ultrasonography is used widely for evaluation of the metacarpal and pastern regions. The use
60 of ultrasonography to image the distal portion of the digit has been described^{8, 9, 10}. However,
61 its routine use in the distal digital area is still limited¹¹. The purpose of this study was to
62 document the normal ultrasonographic (US) appearance of the palmar distal digital area
63 imaged through the distal pastern and between the bulbs of the heels and to provide a detailed
64 description of the reference images obtained with a 7.5 MHz microconvex transducer.

65 *Materials and Methods*

66 *Technique*

67 The distal digits were scanned through the distal pastern and between the bulbs of the heels
68 with a microconvex transducer operating at 7.5 MHz (Aloka 3500 - Aloka prosound SSD-
69 3500, Mitaka-shi, Tokyo, Japan). The area was prepared by fine clipping and washing the
70 skin with warm water. Contact transmission gel was applied in order to provide a good
71 coupling between the transducer and the wet skin surface. A hand-held stand-off pad was
72 sometimes used to enhance the contact surface and to guarantee an airfree acoustic interface
73 between probe and skin, especially in digits where the heels were long. The US examinations
74 on live horses were first performed on the weight-bearing limb (Fig. 1) and then with the foot
75 held up off the ground (Fig. 2). In this position, the toe was placed firmly on the leg of the
76 person holding the horse's digit during the examination to obtain some extension of the

77 fetlock and distal interphalangeal joint (DIPJ). The scanned area corresponded to the palmar
78 aspect of digit from the middle phalanx to the area between the bulbs of the heels. Transverse
79 sections were made by progressive movement of the probe from the proximal to the distal
80 limit of this area. Longitudinal ultrasound scans were obtained in the sagittal plane and in
81 parasagittal oblique planes, with the transducer footprint angled medially and laterally
82 (palmaroaxial-dorsoabaxial planes).

83 Ultrasonographic Images and Anatomical Specimens

84 Five healthy horses, with no history of lameness and without local swelling or joint distension
85 nor abnormal radiological findings in the pastern or the foot, were scanned to document
86 normal, transverse and longitudinal US images of the palmar distal digit. Ultrasonographic
87 images were recorded at a minimum of three levels in transverse planes (middle phalanx,
88 proximal palmar recess of the DIPJ and distal sesamoid bone - DSB) and in three longitudinal
89 planes (sagittal plane, dividing the digit in 2 nearly equal halves, and through each part of the
90 deep digital flexor tendon - DDFT) (Fig. 3). These images were recorded and compared to
91 gross anatomical sections obtained in the same or similar planes.

92 Ten fresh equine cadaver forelimbs, considered sound because of their normal appearance at
93 inspection, palpation and radiographs, were selected from an abattoir. The DIPJ, the
94 podotrochlear bursa and the digital tendon sheath were injected with coloured Xantopren®
95 (Heraeus Kulzer, Dormagen, Germany), a silicon based precision condensation curing
96 impression material, to highlight the anatomy and the topography of these structures. The
97 Xantopren® was dissolved in heptane. The Activator Universal for the Xantopren®
98 preparation was also dissolved in heptane. The Activator Universal was added to the
99 Xantopren®. The proportion was: 10ml of heptane and 0,75g of Activator Universal for 5g of
100 Xantopren®. The polymerisation was done within 4 minutes at +23°C. The Xantopren® L
101 blue was injected in DIPJ. The Xantopren® H green was injected in the podotrochlear bursa.
102 The Xantopren® M mucosa was injected in the digital tendon sheath. The limbs were frozen

103 at -20°C. Longitudinal and transverse anatomical sections (10-15 mm thick) were obtained
104 from five cadaver specimens at the level of the US section, to correlate the sonographic
105 appearance with the anatomical findings. The remaining cadaver limbs were dissected to
106 confirm normalcy and to establish the anatomical relationships.

107 *Results*

108 *Transverse Reference US Images*

109 The transverse reference images presented are obtained at three levels: 1. at the level of the
110 proximal third of the middle phalanx, 2. at the level of the proximal palmar recess of the
111 DIPJ, 3. and at the level of the proximal third of the DSB (Fig. 3).

112 *Level 1:* US images obtained at the level of the proximal third of the middle phalanx (Fig. 4a
113 and 4b).

114 Palmar to dorsal, under the skin, is the digital cushion which appears as an echoic, speckled
115 band. A thin linear hypoechoic line may be seen between the digital cushion and the DDFT
116 and corresponds with the distal digital annular ligament. At this level, the DDFT has two
117 portions: the palmar part is fibrous, the dorsal part is fibrocartilaginous. On the US images the
118 two parts may be differentiated: the palmar fibrous part is speckled hyperechoic, while the
119 dorsal fibrocartilaginous part is hypoechoic. The DDFT is surrounded by an anechoic line,
120 more visible at the dorsal aspect of the tendon corresponding to the digital tendon sheath. The
121 most dorsal structure is the palmar surface of the middle phalanx which appears as a
122 hyperechoic line with acoustic shadowing dorsally.

123 *Level 2:* US images obtained at the level of the proximal palmar recess of the DIPJ (Fig. 5a
124 and 5b).

125 The digital cushion is thicker at this level and becomes bilobed. The distal digital annular
126 ligament is still present between the digital cushion and the DDFT as a thin hypoechoic
127 structure. At this level, the DDFT is thinner and the separation into 2 lobes is more evident.

128 The DDFT is completely fibrous at this level and becomes homogeneously hypoechoic
129 because of the oblique direction of the ultrasound beam in relation to the axis of the tendon
130 fibres. Dorsal to the DDFT, an anechoic band corresponds to the proximal recess of the
131 podotrochlear bursa. Dorsal to the bursa, the collateral sesamoidean ligaments appear as a
132 slightly bilobed hyperechoic band which palmarly closes the anechoic proximal palmar recess
133 of the DIPJ. The hyperechoic palmar surface of the middle phalanx represents the deepest
134 limit of the image.

135 More distal transverse images are difficult to obtain, especially in horses with long heels. By
136 holding the foot up off the ground (Fig. 2), transverse images can be obtained more distally
137 than when the limb is weight-bearing.

138 *Level 3:* US images obtained at the level of the proximal third of the DSB (Fig. 6a and 6b).

139 The digital cushion, the distal digital annular ligament and the DDFT are still visible at this
140 level. The podotrochlear bursa is thinner and is sometimes seen as an anechoic line. Dorsal to
141 it, the flexor surface of the DSB appears as a hyperechoic line with an acoustic shadow
142 dorsally. Only the most proximal aspect of the flexor surface can be visualised with this
143 approach.

144 *Longitudinal Reference US Images*

145 Longitudinal US images presented here are obtained in two planes: 1. sagittal plane, dividing
146 the digit in two nearly equal halves, 2. parasagittal oblique plane (palmaroaxial –
147 dorsoabaxial).

148 *Section 1:* US images obtained in the sagittal plane (Fig. 7a and 7b)

149 The palmar structure deep to the skin is the digital cushion which has the typical hyperechoic
150 speckled appearance. The distal digital annular ligament may be seen as a hypoechoic line
151 deep to the digital cushion. The DDFT is seen as an echoic band that becomes hypoechoic in
152 the distal part because of the orientation of its fibres in relation to the US beam. The DDFT

153 can be followed until the proximal third of the DSB in most horses. The tendon is surrounded
154 by the digital tendon sheath, visible at the dorsal part of the tendon as an anechoic line. The
155 digital tendon sheath ends distally at the level of the flexor tuberosity of the middle phalanx.
156 Distal to the digital tendon sheath, a hypoechoic pouch, which corresponds to the
157 podotrochlear bursa, is seen dorsal to the DDFT and proximal to the DSB proximal border.
158 Dorsal to these structures, the proximopalmar recess of the DIPJ appears as an anechoic
159 pouch in contact with the palmar hyperechoic surface of the middle phalanx. A triangular
160 echoic structure, attached to the DSB proximal border, is visible between the digital tendon
161 sheath, the proximopalmar recess of the DIPJ and the podotrochlear bursa and represents the
162 axial fibres of the collateral sesamoidean ligaments. In fact, each collateral sesamoidean
163 ligament is attached distally on the proximal border of the DSB, mainly abaxially, but some
164 fibres connect both ligaments along the proximal border of the DSB. The hyperechoic palmar
165 surface of the middle phalanx is seen at the dorsal limit of the image. Between the two
166 hyperechoic lines of the palmar cortices of the middle phalanx and the DSB, a thin anechoic
167 gap represents the cartilage thickness of the articular surfaces of the DIPJ. Sometimes, a
168 vessel (palmar rami of the digital vein) may be seen just palmar to the proximal third of the
169 middle phalanx.

170 *Section 2:* US images obtained in the parasagittal oblique plane (Fig. 8a and 8b)

171 The imaged structures are the same than in sagittal plane. In the parasagittal plane, the
172 collateral sesamoidean ligament and the DDFT appear thicker than in the sagittal plane. The
173 proximal palmar recess of the podotrochlear bursa is slightly bigger on each side compared to
174 the sagittal plane.

175 *Discussion*

176 *Technique*

177 The palmar surface of the distal digit is not flat especially between the bulbs of the heels and a
178 good contact between the probe and the skin may be difficult to obtain. A fine clipping was

179 necessary to eliminate the artefacts caused by hair. The skin was washed with warm water to
180 soften the skin and to improve the transmission. The contact area for successful imaging of
181 the structures at the palmar distal digit is relatively small. A high pressure on the probe is
182 necessary. The examinations on live horses were first performed on the weight-bearing limb
183 and then on the foot held up off the ground. In this latter position, the images were of better
184 quality if the toe of the horse was placed firmly on the leg of the person holding the horse's
185 digit during the examination to obtain some digital extension. Transverse images could be
186 obtained more distally using this technique. This examination was possible on every digit but
187 it was more difficult in digits with long heels. Having the best visualisation of all structures
188 on the same image was not easy in this area and the evaluation of all structures required
189 sometimes taking images with a slight different obliquity.

190 Ultrasonographic Anatomy

191 Ultrasonographic images and regional anatomy correlated well in this study. The anatomical
192 sections were mainly obtained in a plane parallel to the ground while the US images were
193 transverse oblique images with a palmaroproximal – dorsodistal orientation. This explains the
194 slight difference between the transverse anatomical sections and the transverse US images.
195 For the same reason, the digital cushion was more visible on the anatomical sections than on
196 the corresponding US images.

197 The distal digit showed no significant morphological or topographical variation on the US
198 images in the cadaver horses and live horses. The main anatomical structures of the palmar
199 distal digit were well seen to the proximal third of the DSB. Distal to this limit, the access was
200 impossible through the palmar pastern. The transcuneal approach of the distal limb^{9, 12, 13}
201 should be used to complete this approach as it allows the evaluation of these more distal
202 structures of the podotrochlear apparatus. However, even combining these two approaches, a
203 part of the podotrochlear apparatus, mainly the middle third of the flexor surface of the DSB
204 and the DDFT at this level, are not able to be evaluated in some horses. This blind area

205 depends on the hoof's conformation (long heels, quality and size of the frog¹²). In fact, when
206 the heels are long, the structures to be imaged are deeper and therefore more difficult to
207 access.

208 No measurement was included in this study because the plane of the section was not perfectly
209 perpendicular or sagittal to the structures and depended mainly on the hoof's conformation. In
210 this way, standard measurements like with MR images¹⁴ were not possible.

211 Clinical Aspects

212 Soft tissue damages including collateral sesamoidean ligaments desmitis, DDFT tendinopathy
213 are reported as a possible source of foot pain^{1, 15, 16, 17}. The location of the tendinopathy in the
214 foot seems to be mainly located at the level of the proximal aspect of the DSB and distal to
215 the DSB in the region of insertion on the distal phalanx¹. Concurrent distension of the
216 podotrochlear bursa is common¹. Desmopathy of the collateral sesamoidean ligament has
217 been diagnosed by US¹⁵. Ultrasonography through the distal pastern and between the bulbs
218 of the heels appears to be a good tool to routinely investigate and to follow up these soft tissue
219 structures located in the distal digit proximal to the DSB. For the more distal lesion like in the
220 insertion of the DDFT on the distal phalanx, a transcuneal approach^{9, 12, 13} seems more
221 appropriate if this lesion is not in the blind area. Because of the difficulty in obtaining
222 measurements, the symmetry of the structures and the comparison with the contralateral foot
223 seems to be the best way to evaluate these structures^{11, 14}.

224 *Conclusion*

225 This study describes and documents the normal US appearance of the palmar distal digital
226 area imaged through the distal pastern and between the bulbs of the heels. A good knowledge
227 of the US technique and anatomy is essential to realise this approach. The images of this
228 study will serve as a reference for clinical US imaging studies of the palmar distal digit.

229

230 **Legends**

231 -Figure 1: Ultrasonographic examination of the equine palmar distal digit in standing position:
232 position of the probe.

233

234 -Figure 2: Ultrasonographic examination of the equine palmar distal digit on the limb held up
235 off the ground: position of the probe.

236

237 -Figure 3: Drawing of a sagittal section of the distal digit of a horse. Black lines (proximal to
238 distal) show the levels at which the transverse ultrasound scans in Figures 4a, 5a, 6a were
239 performed.

240

241 -Figure 4a: Transverse US image at the level of the middle phalanx which is showed on the
242 Fig.3 as level 1 and Figure 4b: Transverse anatomical section at the level of the middle
243 phalanx. The section plane is parallel to the ground and therefore slightly different than the
244 scanning plane of Figure 4a. 1. skin, 2. digital cushion, 3. distal digital annular ligament, 4a.
245 deep digital flexor tendon (fibrous part), 4b. deep digital flexor tendon (fibrocartilaginous
246 part), 5. digital tendon sheath, 6. middle phalanx.

247

248 -Figure 5a: Transverse US image at the level of the proximal palmar recess of the DIPJ and
249 collateral sesamoidean ligaments which is showed on the Fig.3 as level 2 and Figure 5b:
250 Transverse anatomical section at the level of the proximal palmar recess of the DIPJ and
251 collateral sesamoidean ligaments. The section plane is parallel to the ground and therefore
252 slightly different than the scanning plane of Figure 5a. 1. skin, 2. digital cushion, 3. distal
253 digital annular ligament, 4. deep digital flexor tendon, 6. middle phalanx, 7. proximal palmar
254 recess of the distal interphalangeal joint, 8. collateral sesamoidean ligaments, 9. proximal
255 recess of the podotrochlear bursa.

256

257 -Figure 6a: Transverse US image at the level of the DSB which is showed on the Fig.3 as
258 level 3 and Figure 6b: Transverse anatomical section at the level of the DSB. The section
259 plane is parallel to the ground and therefore slightly different than the scanning plane of
260 Figure 6a. 1. skin, 2. digital cushion, 3. distal digital annular ligament, 4. deep digital flexor
261 tendon, 9. proximal recess of the podotrochlear bursa, 10. distal sesamoid bone.

262

263 -Figure 7a: Sagittal US image at the palmar aspect of the middle phalanx and proximal aspect
264 of the DSB and Figure 7b: Sagittal anatomical section at the palmar aspect of the middle
265 phalanx and proximal aspect of the DSB. 1. skin, 2. digital cushion, 3. distal digital annular
266 ligament, 4. deep digital flexor tendon, 5. digital tendon sheath, 6. middle phalanx, 7.
267 proximal palmar recess of the distal interphalangeal joint, 8. collateral sesamoidean ligaments,
268 9. proximal recess of the podotrochlear bursa, 10. distal sesamoid bone.

269

270 -Figure 8a: Parasagittal oblique US image at the palmar aspect of the middle phalanx and
271 proximal aspect of the DSB and Figure 8b: Parasagittal anatomical section at the palmar
272 aspect of the middle phalanx and proximal aspect of the DSB. 1. skin, 2. digital cushion, 3.
273 distal digital annular ligament, 4. deep digital flexor tendon, 5. digital tendon sheath, 6.
274 middle phalanx, 7. proximal palmar recess of the distal interphalangeal joint, 8. collateral
275 sesamoidean ligaments, 9. proximal recess of the podotrochlear bursa, 10. distal sesamoid
276 bone.

277

278 **References**

- 279 -1. Dyson S, Murray R, Schramme M, Branch M. Lameness in 46 horses associated with deep
280 digital flexor tendonitis in the digit: diagnosis confirmed with magnetic resonance imaging.
281 Equine Vet J. 2003;35:681-690.
- 282 -2. Hauser M, Rantanen N. Ultrasound appearance of the palmar metacarpal soft tissues of the
283 horse. J Equine Vet Sci. 1983;3:19-22.
- 284 -3. Dyson S. Proximal suspensory desmitis: clinical, ultrasonographic and radiographic
285 features. Equine Vet J. 1991;23:25-31.
- 286 - 4. Denoix JM. Diagnostic techniques for identification and documentation of tendon and
287 ligament injuries. Vet Clin North Am Equine Pract. 1994;10:365-407.
- 288 - 5. Reef VB. Musculoskeletal ultrasonography. In: Saunders WB, editor. Equine diagnostic
289 ultrasound. Philadelphia; 1998. p. 39-186.
- 290 -6. Denoix JM, Crevier N, Azevedo C. Ultrasound examination of the pastern in horses.
291 Proceedings of the 37th Ann Am Assoc Equine Practitioners Conv; 1991;37:363-380.
- 292 -7. Dyson S, Denoix JM. Tendon, tendon sheath, and ligament injuries in the pastern. Vet
293 Clin North Am Equine Pract. 1995;11:217-233.
- 294 -8. Hauser ML, Rantanen NW, Modransky PD. Ultrasound examination of distal
295 interphalangeal joint, navicular bursa, navicular bone and deep digital tendon. Equine Vet
296 Sci. 1982;2:95-97.
- 297 -9. Sage AM, Turner TA. Ultrasonography of the soft tissue structures of the equine foot.
298 Equine Vet Educ. 2002;14:221-224.
- 299 -10. Denoix JM. The equine foot. In: Manson Publishing Ltd, editor. The equine distal limb.
300 An atlas of clinical anatomy and comparative imaging. London; 2000. p.1-127.
- 301 -11. Busoni V, Bolen G, De Busscher V. Soft tissue lesions in the equine distal digit
302 diagnosed by ultrasonography: 16 cases (2004 - 2005). Proceedings of the 12th Annu Conf
303 Europ Assoc Vet Diagn Imaging; 2005:46.

304 -12. Busoni V, Denoix JM. Ultrasonography of the podotrochlear apparatus in the horse using
305 a transcuneal approach: technique and reference images. *Vet Radiol Ultrasound*. 2001;42:534-
306 540.

307 -13. Olivier-Carstens A. Ultrasonography of the solar aspect of the distal phalanx in the horse.
308 *Vet Radiol Ultrasound*. 2004;45:449-457.

309 -14. Murray R, Roberts B, Schramme M, Dyson S, Branch M. Quantitative evaluation of
310 equine deep digital flexor tendon morphology using magnetic resonance imaging. *Vet Radiol*
311 *Ultrasound*. 2004;45:103-111.

312 -15. Jaquet S, Coudry V, Audigié F, Laurent D, Denoix JM. Severe tear of the collateral
313 sesamoidean ligament in a horse. *Proceedings of the 11th Annu Conf Europ Assoc Vet Diagn*
314 *Imaging*; 2004:131.

315 -16. Kofler J, Malleczek D, Kneissl S. Diagnosis of acute desmopathy of the lateral collateral
316 sesamoidean (navicular) ligament in a horse by MRI. *Proceedings of the 12th Annu Conf*
317 *Europ Assoc Vet Diagn Imaging*; 2005:57.

318 -17. Edinger J, Mobius G, Ferguson J. Comparison of tenoscopic and ultrasonographic
319 methods of examination of the digital flexor tendon sheath in horses. *Vet Comp Orthop*
320 *Traumatol*. 2005;18:209-214.

321

322