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#### Abstract
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let $X=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$ denote a set of $n=|X|$ boolean variables and $\bar{X}=\left\{\bar{x}_{1}, \bar{x}_{2}, \ldots, \bar{x}_{n}\right\}$ the set of their complements. A literal is either a variable or its complement. Literals will be denoted by Greek letters $\mu, \eta, \ldots$ A quadratic boolean equation $(E)$ over $X$ has the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{1} \vee T_{2} \vee \ldots \vee T_{m}=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where each term $T_{j}$ can be written as $\mu$ or $\mu \eta$, i. e. as either a single literal or a product (conjunction) of two literals. Without loss of generality, we shall assume that all terms are products of exactly two literals.

Different graph algorithms have been proposed to check in polynomial time the consistency of a quadratic boolean equation (see e.g. Even, Itai and Shamir [1976], Aspvall, Plass and Tarjan [1979], Petreschi and Simeone [1980], Johnson and Padberg [1982], and Simeone [1985]). On the other hand, a quadratic equation can have an exponential number of solutions, and enumerating all of them is in general a prohibitive task. In fact, Valiant [1979] has proved that even determining the number of such solutions is $N P$-complete, and hence probably very difficult.

Nevertheless, as shown in this paper, one can obtain a concise product form parametric representation for the set of solutions to an arbitrary quadratic boolean equation. The representation uses no more than $n$ free boolean parameters for an equation in $n$ variables. Each variable (or its complement) is expressed as a product of these parameters or their complements, and these expressions provide a complete description of the solution set of the equation. Furthermore, such representation can be computed in $O\left(n^{3}\right)$ time.

In fact, algebraic methods for determining parametric representations in the case of general boolean equations [i. e. equations of the form (1) with an arbitrary number of literals in each term] have been known for a long time (see Löwenheim [1908, 1910] and Rudeanu [1974]). When specialized to quadratic equations, Löwenheim's method produces (in polynomial time) a parametric representation of the solution set, where each variable is associated with some boolean expression of the parameters. The resulting expressions are generally neither in disjunctive nor in conjunctive normal form, and reducing them to such a convenient format can be computationally expensive.

This is to be contrasted with the very simple form of the representation proposed here.

The proposed parametric representation is presented in the next Section, and an algorithm to produce it is described in Section 3. The algorithm relies on the concepts of implication graph, defined by Aspvall, Plass and Tarjan [1979], and of mirror graph, introduced by Hansen and Jaumard [1985]. Section 4 contains an example. The combinatorial structure of the representation is explored in Section 5, and some applications to $0-1$ optimization problems are given in Section 6. Finally, we discuss in Section 7 some properties of the representation.

## 2. PRODUCT FORM PARAMETRIC REPRESENTATION

For ease of presentation, let us temporarily assume that the quadratic equation $(E)$ given by (1) is pure, i. e. all its terms are positive (they involve only uncomplemented variables) or mixed (they involve exactly one complemented and one uncomplemented variable). This assumption is not very restrictive, since every consistent boolean equation can always be cast into a pure one, by simply renaming some of its variables (see Section 3).

Now, for any pair of boolean variables $x_{k}, x_{j}$, the following properties are easily seen to hold:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
x_{k} \bar{x}_{j}=0 & \text { if and only if } x_{k} \leqq x_{j}, \\
x_{k} x_{j}=0 & \text { if and only if } x_{k} \leqq \bar{x}_{j} .
\end{array}
$$

Therefore, ( $E$ ) can be rewritten (usually in more than one way) as a system of boolean inequalities of the form:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
x_{k} \leqq x_{j} & \left(x_{j} \in D_{k}\right) \\
\mathrm{x}_{k} \leqq \bar{x}_{j} & \left(\bar{x}_{j} \in D_{k}\right) \tag{3}
\end{array}
$$

where $D_{k} \subseteq X \cup \bar{X}(k=1,2, \ldots, n)$. We will also assume that $x_{k} \notin \mathrm{D}_{k}$, that $D_{k}$ does not contain both a variable and its complement, and that $x_{k} \notin D_{j}$ if $x_{j} \in D_{k}$, for $k, j \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ (these last conditions essentially mean that, in the solution set of the equation, no variable assumes a fixed value, and no two variables can be identified; we will show in Section 3 that there is no loss of generality in assuming so).

The system (2)-(3) is in turn equivalent to the following one:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{k} \leqq\left(\Pi_{x_{j} \in D_{k}} x_{j}\right)\left(\Pi_{\bar{x}_{j} \in D_{k}} \bar{x}_{j}\right) \quad(k=1,2, \ldots, n) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence also to the system of equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{k}=x_{k}\left(\Pi_{x_{j} \in D_{k}} x_{j}\right)\left(\Pi_{\bar{x}_{j} \in D_{k}} \bar{x}_{j}\right) \quad(k=1,2, \ldots, n) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The expression (5) of the equation $(E)$ suggests the following construction. Let $p=\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{n}\right)$ denote a vector of independent boolean parameters, and define:

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{k}(p)=g_{k}\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right)=p_{k}\left(\Pi_{j: x_{j} \in D_{k}} p_{j}\right)\left(\Pi_{j: \bar{x}_{j} \in D_{k}} \bar{p}_{j}\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $k=1,2, \ldots, n$ Let:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q=\left\{\left(g_{1}(p), \ldots, g_{n}(p)\right): p \in\{0,1\}^{n}\right\} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and denote by $S$ the set of solutions of $(E)$. Then:
Proposition 1: $S \subseteq Q$.
Proof. - If $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in S$, then $x_{i}=g_{i}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$. Hence, $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in Q$.

The next Proposition states a necessary and sufficient condition on ( $E$ ) under which equality holds between $S$ and $Q$. We first introduce some more notations. We use throughout this paper the graph-theoretic terminology of Berge [1973]. All the graphs we consider are directed. With the formulation (5) of $(E)$, we associate the graph $H=(X \cup \bar{X}, A)$ defined as follows: for all $x_{k}$ in $X$ and $\mu$ in $X \cup \bar{X}$, the arc $\left(x_{k}, \mu\right)$ is in $A$ if and only if $\mu \in D_{k}$. We say that $H$ is transitive if the $\operatorname{arc}\left(x_{k}, \mu\right)$ is in $A$ whenever $\left(x_{k}, x_{j}\right)$ and $\left(x_{j}, \mu\right)$ are in $A$, for some $j \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$.

Proposition 2: $S=Q$ if and only if $H$ is transitive.
Proof. - Assume first that $H$ is transitive. By Proposition 1, we only have to prove that every vector $\left(g_{1}(p), \ldots, g_{n}(p)\right)$ in $Q$ is a solution of (2)-(3).

Let $\bar{x}_{j} \in D_{k}$. If $g_{k}(p)=1$, then $p_{j}=0$, and hence $g_{j}(p)=0$. This shows that the inequalities (3) are satisfied by $\left(g_{1}(p), \ldots, g_{n}(p)\right)$.

Let $x_{j} \in D_{k}$. If $g_{j}(p)=0$, then either (i) $p_{j}=0$, or (ii) $p_{i}=0$ for some $i$ such that $x_{i} \in D_{j}$, or (iii) $p_{i}=1$ for some $i$ such that $\bar{x}_{i} \in D_{j}$. In case (ii), $x_{i} \in D_{k}$ by transitivity of H. Similarly, in case (iii), $\bar{x}_{i} \in D_{k}$. Hence, in all cases, $g_{k}(p)=0$, and the inequalities (2) are satisfied by $\left(g_{1}(p), \ldots, g_{n}(p)\right)$.

Conversely, assume now that $S=Q$, and that $H$ is not transitive. This means that, for some $x_{k}, x_{j} \in X$ and $\mu \in X \cup \bar{X},\left(x_{k}, x_{j}\right)$ and $\left(x_{j}, \mu\right)$ are in $A$, but $\left(x_{k}, \mu\right)$ is not in $A$. Assume for instance that $\mu \in X$, i. e. $\mu=x_{i}$ for some
$i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ (the proof is similar if $\mu \in \bar{X}$ ). So, $x_{j} \in D_{k}$, and $x_{i} \in D_{j}$, but $x_{i} \notin D_{k}$. Notice that $i \neq k$, by our assumptions on the system (2)-(3).

Let $p=\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right)$, where $p_{k}=1, p_{i}=0, p_{l}=1$ if $x_{l} \in D_{k}$, and $p_{l}=0$ else (it is easy to check that this is a valid assignment of values to the parameters). Then, $g_{k}(p)=1$ and $g_{j}(p)=0$. So $\left(g_{1}(p), \ldots, g_{n}(p)\right)$ is not a solution of (2)-(3), and $S \neq Q$.

So, when $H$ is transitive, the expressions $g_{k}(p)(k=1, \ldots, n)$ defined by (6) yield a parametric representation of the solutions of $(E)$. Notice that, even if $H$ is not transitive, ( $E$ ) can always be transformed into an equivalent equation for which the associated graph is transitive, by adding to it the necessary missing terms. More precisely, if $x_{k} \leqq x_{j}$ and $x_{j} \leqq \mu$ are two inequalities in the system (2)-(3), then inequality $x_{k} \leqq \mu$ is redundant, and can always be added to the system. Iterating this operation until the resulting graph is transitive is clearly equivalent to computing the transitive closure of $H$. We describe in Section 3 an efficient algorithm to compute a parametric representation of the form (6) for the solutions of an arbitrary quadratic equation.

## 3. ALGORITHM AND COMPLEXITY

The graph-theoretic algorithm we propose for obtaining a parametric representation of the form (6) for the set of solutions follows the steps outlined in the previous Section and proceeds in five stages:
stage 1: check the equation for consistency;
stage 2: identifications of variables;
stage 3: reduction to a pure equation;
stage 4: fixations of variables;
stage 5: computation of a product form parametric representation.

## Stage 1

To represent the quadratic boolean equation ( $E$ ), we use the concept of implication graph introduced by-Aspvall, Plass and Tarjan [1979]. The implication graph $G=(X \cup \bar{X}, U)$ contains two arcs, $(\mu, \bar{\eta})$ and $(\eta, \bar{\mu})$, for each term $\mu \eta$ of (1). If the literal associated with the initial vertex of an arc has value 1 in a solution of the quadratic equation, then the literal associated with its terminal vertex must take the value 1 , too. Hence the name of the graph.

We record the following obvious fact for future reference:
Proposition 3: If all literals are complemented and the orientation of all arcs is reversed in $G$, then the same graph is obtained.
Moreover, Aspvall, Plass and Tarjan [1979] proved:
Proposition 4: The equation ( $E$ ) is consistent if and only if no vertex $x \in X$ is in the same strongly connected component of $G$ as its complement $\bar{x}$.

We will assume from now on that $(E)$ is consistent, so that the condition in Proposition 4 holds.

## Stage 2

The reduced implication graph of $(E)$ is obtained by shrinking each strongly connected component of $G$ into a single vertex. This operation corresponds to identifying all literals in each strongly connected component of $G$ : in fact, all such literals must take the same value in every solution to ( $E$ ). Observe that, for every strongly connected component $C$ of $G$, there is a "mirror" strongly connected component $\bar{C}$ formed by the complements of the literals in $C$. If we denote by $\mu$ and $\bar{\mu}$ the new vertices corresponding to $C$ and $\bar{C}$, respectively, then the reduced implication graph is seen to retain the "mirror" property of $G$ described in Proposition 3.

## Stage 3.

Essentially, this stage consists of an efficient procedure for renaming (renumbering and/or switching) the variables, so that the equation becomes pure.

An important property of the reduced implication graph is the absence of circuits. It is well known that any circuit-free graph $D=(V, A)$ admits a topological linear ordering, i. e. a bijection $r: V \rightarrow\{1,2, \ldots,|V|\}$ such that $r(u)<r(v)$ for each arc $(u, v) \in A$. The integer $r(u)$ is called the rank of vertex $u$.

If the number of vertices of the reduced implication graph (or, equivalently, the number of strongly connected components of the implication graph) is $2 n_{R}$, we have (see Hansen and Jaumard [1985]):

Proposition 5: There exists a topological linear ordering $r$ of the reduced implication graph such that, for every vertex $x$ :

$$
r(x)+r(\bar{x})=2 n_{R}+1
$$

Given a topological linear ordering of the reduced implication graph as in Proposition 5, we rename $y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n_{R}}$ the vertices of rank less than or equal to $n_{R}$, so that $y_{k}$ is the new vertex of rank $k$. We let $Y=\left\{y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n_{R}}\right\}$ and $\bar{Y}=\left\{\bar{y}_{1}, \bar{y}_{2}, \ldots, \bar{y}_{n_{R}}\right\}$. The graph $G_{M}$ on $Y \cup \bar{Y}$ we obtain in that way is called mirror graph. So, $G_{M}$ is isomorphic to the reduced implication graph.

Define now ( $E_{R}$ ) as the "reduced" quadratic boolean equation over $Y$ whose implication graph is $G_{M}$. Clearly, $\left(E_{R}\right)$ is a pure equation (see Section 2).
Notice that, once a parametric representation of the solutions to $\left(E_{R}\right)$ has been obtained, it is straightforward to derive from it a similar parametric representation for the solutions to $(E)$, using the same set of parameters. Therefore, we may now concentrate on the equation $\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{R}}\right)$ only.

## Stage 4

Let us associate with $\left(E_{R}\right)$ a graph $H=(Y \cup \bar{Y}, A)$ satisfying the following conditions:
(i) the arc $\left(y_{k}, y_{l}\right)$ is in $H$ if $y_{k} \bar{y}_{l}$ is a mixed term of $\left(E_{R}\right)$ (observe that $k \leqq l$ );
(ii) exactly one of the arcs $\left(y_{k}, \bar{y}_{l}\right)$ or $\left(y_{l}, \bar{y}_{k}\right)$ is in $H$ if $y_{k} y_{l}$ is a positive term of $\left(E_{R}\right)$.
Clearly, $H$ is one of the graphs associated with $\left(E_{R}\right)$ as explained in Section 2, and will be called a half mirror graph of $\left(E_{R}\right)$.
We denote by $H^{*}=\left(Y \cup \bar{Y}, A^{*}\right)$ the transitive closure of $H$ : an $\operatorname{arc}(\mu, \eta)$ is in $A^{*}$ if and only if there is a path from $\mu$ to $\eta$ in $H$. The set of successors of the vertex $y_{k}$ in $H^{*}$ is denoted by $D_{k}$ (equivalently, $D_{k}$ is the set of descendants of $y_{k}$ in $H$ ).

Proposition 6: A variable $y_{k} \in Y$ is equal to zero in all solutions to $\left(E_{R}\right)$ if and only if either $\bar{y}_{k} \in D_{k}$ or $\left\{y_{l}, \bar{y}_{l}\right\} \cong D_{k}$, for some $l>k$.

Proof . - The "if" part of the statement is obvious.
For the "only if" part, assume that $y_{k}$ takes a fixed value in all solutions of ( $E_{R}$ ) (this value can only be zero, since ( $E_{R}$ ) is pure). It is known (see Hansen, Jaumard and Minoux [1986]) that a variable $x$ is fixed in all solutions to a quadratic equation if and only if there exists a path from $x$ to $\bar{x}$, or from $\bar{x}$ to $x$, in the implication graph of the equation. So, in our case, there exists a path $P$ from $y_{k}$ to $\bar{y}_{k}$ in the mirror graph $G_{M}$. Let $y_{i}$ be the last vertex of $P$ which belongs to $Y$, and $\bar{y}_{j}$ be the first vertex of $P$ which belongs to $\bar{Y}$. From the definition of $G_{M}, k \leqq i$ and $k \leqq j$. Also, all the vertices preceding
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$y_{i}$ on $P$ belong to $Y$, all the vertices following $\bar{y}_{j}$ on $P$ belong to $\bar{Y}$, and therefore there is an arc from $y_{i}$ to $\bar{y}_{j}$ in $G_{M}$.

If $i=j=k$, then $\bar{y}_{k} \in D_{k}$. If $i=j \neq k$, then $\left\{y_{i}, \bar{y}_{i}\right\} \subseteq D_{k}$. So, we may assume that $i \neq j$, and we have $P=\left(y_{k}, \ldots, y_{i}, \bar{y}_{j}, \ldots, \bar{y}_{k}\right)$. By Proposition 3, there must exist in $G_{M}$ another path $\bar{P}=\left(y_{k}, \ldots, y_{j}, \bar{y}_{i}, \ldots, \bar{y}_{k}\right)$. By symmetry, we may as well assume now that the $\operatorname{arc}\left(y_{i}, \bar{y}_{j}\right)$ is in $H$. Therefore, the "subpath" $\left(y_{k}, \ldots, y_{i}, \bar{y}_{j}\right)$ of $P$ and the "subpath" $\left(y_{k}, \ldots, y_{j}\right)$ of $\bar{P}$ are paths in $H$. Hence, $\left\{y_{j}, \bar{y}_{j}\right\} \subseteq D_{k}$.

So, detecting all fixed variables can be done easily once the transitive closure $H^{*}$ of $H$ is known. We will assume from now on that the vertices associated with such variables have been removed from $H^{*}$. For simplicity, we will keep the same notations to describe the new graph we obtain in that way.

## Stage 5

Now, let $p=\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{n_{R}}\right)$ denote a vector of independent boolean parameters. Since $H^{*}$ is transitive, we deduce immediately from Proposition 2:

Proposition 7: A parametric representation of the solutions to the quadratic equation $\left(E_{R}\right)$ is given by:

$$
y_{k}=g_{k}\left(p_{k}, \ldots, p_{n_{R}}\right)=p_{k} \quad\left(\prod_{j: y_{j} \in D_{k}} p_{j}\right)\left(\prod_{j: \bar{y}_{j} \in D_{k}} \bar{p}_{j}\right)
$$

for $k=1,2, \ldots, n_{R}$.
In summary, the following procedure produces a product-form parametric representation of the solutions to the quadratic boolean equation $(E)$ given by (1).

Step 1 (Implication graph). - Construct the implication graph $G$ of $(E)$ :

$$
G=(X \cup \bar{X}, U)
$$

Step 2 (Strongly connected components). - Determine the strongly connected components of $G$.

Step 3 (Consistency). - Check if, for some $x \in X, x$ and $\bar{x}$ belong to the same strongly connected component of $G$.

If so, stop: $(E)$ has no solution.
Step 4 (Mirror graph). - Construct a mirror graph $G_{M}$ : shrink each strongly connected component of $G$ into a single vertex; determine a topogical
linear ordering of the new vertices satisfying the condition in Proposition 5; rename the vertices according to their rank.

Step 5 (Half mirror graph). - Select a half mirror graph $H$ associated with $\left(E_{R}\right)$, as explained above.

Step 6 (Transitive closure). Compute the transitive closure $H^{*}$ of $H$.
Step 7 (Fixation). - Determine the fixed variables for $\left(E_{R}\right)$, using Proposition 6; delete the corresponding vertices from $H^{*}$.

Step 8 [Parametric representation for $\left(E_{R}\right)$ ]. - Derive a product form parametric representation of the solutions to $\left(E_{R}\right)$, using Proposition 7.

Step 9 [Parametric representation for (E)]. - Derive a product form parametric representation of the solutions to $(E)$.

Proposition 8: The above procedure can be implemented to run in $O\left(\max \left\{m, n_{R}^{3}\right\}\right)$ time.

Proof. - Steps 1 and 2 require $O(m)$ operations, using Tarjan's depth-first search algorithm [1972]. In step 3, checking for all $x \in X$ whether both $x$ and $\bar{x}$ belong to a same strongly connected component, takes overall $O(n)$ time. Step 4 can be implemented to run in $O(m)$ time, and step 5 takes $O\left(m_{R}\right)$ time, where $m_{R}$ is the number of arcs in $G_{M}\left(m_{R} \leqq m\right)$. Hence, this part of the procedure runs in $O(m)$ time. In fact, steps 1 through 4 can be executed simultaneously as shown by Jaumard [1986].

Computing the transitive closure of $H$, in step 6 , can be done in $O\left(n_{R}^{3}\right)$ operations (see e.g. Roy [1959], Warshall [1962] and Mehlhorn [1977]). Determining the fixed variables in step 7 takes $O\left(n_{R}^{2}\right)$ operations. A parametric representation of the solutions to $\left(E_{R}\right)$ can then be derived in $O\left(n_{R}^{2}\right)$ time. So, this part of the procedure can be executed in $O\left(n_{R}^{3}\right)$ time.

Finally, step 9 takes $O(n)$ time.
Thus, the overall time complexity of the procedure is $O\left(\max \left\{m, n_{R}^{3}\right\}\right)$.

## 4. Example

Consider the quadratic boolean equation:

$$
\begin{align*}
x_{1} x_{2} \vee x_{1} x_{3} \vee x_{1} \bar{x}_{5} \vee x_{2} \bar{x}_{7} \vee x_{3} & \bar{x}_{4} \vee x_{3} \bar{x}_{8} \vee x_{4} x_{5} \\
& \times \vee x_{4} \bar{x}_{7} \vee x_{5} x_{6} \vee x_{6} x_{7} \vee x_{6} \bar{x}_{8} \vee x_{7} x_{8}=0 \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

The associated implication graph $G$ is represented in Figure 1.


Figure 1. - Implication graph $G$ of the equation (8).

All strongly connected components of $G$ consist of exactly one vertex. Hence, equation (8) is consistent. Moreover, a topological linear ordering of the vertices of $G$ satisfying Proposition 5 is given by:

$$
r\left(x_{k}\right)=k, \quad r\left(\bar{x}_{k}\right)=17-k \quad(k=1,2, \ldots, 8)
$$

So, we can regard $G$ as being the mirror graph $G_{M}$. Then, a half mirror graph $H$ associated with $G$ is shown in Figure 2 and its transitive closure $H^{*}$ in Figure 3.

At this point, we notice that $x_{3}$ must be equal to zero in all solutions of (8), since $x_{8}$ and $\bar{x}_{8}$ are successors of $x_{3}$ in $H^{*}$.

We are now able to derive a parametric representation of the solutions to (8), under the form:

$$
\begin{gathered}
x_{1}=p_{1} \bar{p}_{2} p_{5} \bar{p}_{6} \\
x_{2}=p_{2} p_{7} \bar{p}_{8} \\
x_{3}=0 \\
x_{4}=p_{4} \bar{p}_{5} p_{7} \bar{p}_{8} \\
x_{5}=p_{5} \bar{p}_{6} \\
x_{6}=p_{6} \bar{p}_{7} p_{8} \\
x_{7}=p_{7} \bar{p}_{8} \\
x_{8}=p_{8}
\end{gathered}
$$



Figure 2. - Half mirror graph $H$ of the equation (8).


Figure 3. - Transitive closure $H^{*}$ of $H$.

Because the mirror graph and the half mirror graph of a given equation are not unique in general, it is usually possible to obtain different parametric representations of the solutions to a given quadratic equation.

For instance, another half mirror graph $H^{\prime}$ associated with the equation (8) is represented in Figure 4.

The product form representation derived from $\mathrm{H}^{\prime}$ is the following:

$$
\begin{gathered}
x_{1}=p_{1} \bar{p}_{4} p_{5} \\
x_{2}=\bar{p}_{1} p_{2} \\
x_{3}=0 \\
x_{4}=p_{4}
\end{gathered}
$$
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Figure 4. - Half mirror graph $H^{\prime}$ of the equation (8).

$$
\begin{gathered}
x_{5}=\bar{p}_{4} p_{5} \\
x_{6}=\bar{p}_{2} \bar{p}_{4} \bar{p}_{5} p_{6} p_{7} \bar{p}_{8} \\
\bar{x}_{7}=\bar{p}_{2} \bar{p}_{4} p_{7} \\
x_{8}=\bar{p}_{2} \bar{p}_{4} \bar{p}_{7} p_{8}
\end{gathered}
$$

## 5. PRODUCT FORM REPRESENTATION: COMBINATORIAL STRUCTURE AND RECOGNITION

Throughout this section, and without loss of generality, we assume that the quadratic boolean equation $(E)$ under consideration satisfies the following conditions: (i) $(E)$ is pure, (ii) there are no fixed variables, (iii) there are no identifications of variables.

In Section 2, it was shown that one can associate with $(E)$ a product form parametric representation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{k}=g_{k}(p)=p_{k}\left(\Pi_{j: x_{j} \in D_{k}} p_{j}\right)\left(\Pi_{j: \bar{x}_{j} \in D_{k}} \bar{p}_{j}\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Conversely, in this Section we deal with the following recognition problem: given: a list of products

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{k}(p)=\Pi_{p_{j} \in \Delta_{k}} p_{j} \Pi_{p_{j} \in \Delta_{k}}^{-} \bar{p}_{j} \quad(k=1,2, \ldots, n) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

question: is there a quadratic equation $(E)$ of the form (5), and a permutation $\sigma$ of $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ such that

$$
h_{\sigma(k)}(p)=g_{k}(p) \quad(k=1,2, \ldots, n)
$$

and $Q=\left\{\left(g_{1}(p), g_{2}(p), \ldots, g_{n}(p)\right): p \in\{0,1\}^{n}\right\}$ is the set of solutions of ( $E$ ) ?

Observe that as far as the recognition problem is concerned, we may as well assume that the number of parameters is equal to the number of expressions in (10) and that $\Delta_{1}, \Delta_{2}, \ldots, \Delta_{n}$ are all distinct.

The answer to such recognition problem is interesting for different reasons:
(a) it will give us some insights on the combinatorial structure of the hypergraph $\left\{\Delta_{1}, \Delta_{2}, \ldots, \Delta_{n}\right\}$;
(b) it will directly lead to a method for recognizing a new class of pseudoboolean functions that can be maximized in polynomial time ( $c f$. Section 6.2).

Given the expression (10), we introduce the following notations: $P=\left\{p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{n}\right\}, \bar{P}=\left\{\bar{p}_{1}, \bar{p}_{2}, \ldots, \bar{p}_{n}\right\}$. For a set $\Delta \subseteq P \cup \bar{P}$, we let $\Delta^{+}=\Delta \cap P$ and $\Delta^{-}=\Delta \cap \bar{P}$.

Proposition 9: The answer to the recognition problem is affirmative if and only if:
(C1) $p_{\imath} \in \Delta_{k} \Rightarrow \bar{p}_{\imath} \notin \Delta_{k},(i, k=1,2, \ldots, n)$;
(C2) the hypergraph $\left\{\Delta_{1}^{+}, \Delta_{2}^{+}, \ldots, \Delta_{n}^{+}\right\}$has a unique set of distinct representatives $(S D R)$, i.e. there exists a unique permutation $\sigma$ of $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ such that $p_{\sigma(l)} \in \Delta_{t}^{+}(l=1,2, \ldots, n)$;
(C3) if $\sigma$ is as in (2), then

$$
\Delta_{t}^{+}-\left\{p_{\sigma(i)}\right\}=\cup_{, \Delta_{j} \subset \Delta_{t}} \Delta_{j}^{+} \quad(i=1,2, \ldots, n) .
$$

Proof. - (only if) Assume that $(E)$ is a quadratic equation, that $h_{k}(p)=g_{k}(p)$ for all $k$ (this is without loss of generality) and that $Q$ is the set of solutions to $(E)$. Let $\Delta_{i}=\left\{p_{J}: x_{j} \in D_{i}\right\} \cup\left\{p_{\imath}\right\}$ and let $H$ the graph associated with ( $E$ ) as in Proposition 2. Then, (C1) holds by our assumptions on ( $E$ ); (C2) holds since $p_{\imath} \in \Delta_{t}^{+}$for all $i$, and the uniqueness of this (SDR) follows easily from the acyclicity of $H$; (C3) holds since $H$ is transitive.
(if) Assume that (C1), (C2) and (C3) hold and assume for simplicity that $\sigma(i)=i,(i=1,2, \ldots, n)$. Let us built a graph $H=(X \cup \bar{X}, A)$ in the following way: for $i, j=1,2, \ldots, n$,
(i) $\left(x_{v}, x_{j}\right) \in \mathrm{A}$ if $\Delta_{J} \subset \Delta_{t}$;
(ii) $\left(x_{v}, \bar{x}_{J}\right) \in \mathrm{A}$ if $\bar{p}_{J} \in \Delta_{v}$.

We denote by $D_{k}$ the set of descendants of vertex $x_{k}$ in $H$.
We look at $H$ as a graph associated with some quadratic boolean equation $(E)$. One easily verifies that $(E)$ satisfies all simplifying assumptions made at the beginning of this section.

Also, $H$ is transitive since all arcs in $A$ are defined by inclusion relations. Therefore, by Proposition $2, Q$ is the set of solutions to $(E)$ and we only have to show that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{k}(p)=g_{k}(p)=p_{k} \Pi_{j: x_{j} \in D_{k}} p_{j} \Pi_{j: x_{j} \in D_{k}} \bar{p}_{j} \quad(k=1,2, \ldots, n) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

We prove this by induction on $\delta(k)=\left|\left\{j: \Delta_{j} \subset \Delta_{k}\right\}\right|$. If $\delta(k)=0$, then by condition (C3), $\Delta_{k}^{+}-\left\{p_{k}\right\}=\varnothing$, i. e. $\Delta_{k}^{+}=\left\{p_{k}\right\}$. Hence:

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{k}(p) & =p_{k} \Pi_{j: \bar{x}_{j} \in D_{k}} \bar{p}_{j} \\
& =p_{k} \Pi_{p_{j} \in \Delta_{k}}^{-} \bar{p}_{j}=h_{k}(p) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Assume now that (11) holds whenever $\delta(k)<i$. In particular, this implies that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{l} \Pi_{j: x_{j} \in D_{l}} p_{j}=\Pi_{p_{j} \in \Delta_{l}^{+}} p_{j} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $l$ such that $\delta(l)<i$.
If $\delta(k)=i$, we get :

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{k}(p) & =p_{k}\left(\Pi_{l: x_{l} \in D_{k}} p_{l}\right)\left(\Pi_{l: \bar{x}_{l} \in D_{k}} \bar{p}_{l}\right) \\
& =p_{k}\left(\Pi_{l: x_{l} \in D_{k}}\left(p_{l} \Pi_{j: x_{j} \in D_{l}} p_{j}\right)\right)\left(\Pi_{p_{l} \in \Delta_{k}^{-}}^{-} \bar{p}_{l}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

(this is true by transitivity of $H$ ).
Since $\delta(l)<i$ for all $l$ in $D_{k}$, we can use the identity (12) to obtain :

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{k}(p) & =p_{k}\left(\Pi_{l: x_{l} \in D_{k}} \Pi_{p_{j} \in \Delta_{l}^{+}} p_{j}\right)\left(\Pi_{p_{l} \in \Delta_{k}^{-}}^{-} \bar{p}_{l}\right) \\
& =p_{k}\left(\Pi_{l: \Delta_{l} \in \Delta_{k}} \Pi_{p_{j} \in \Delta_{l}^{+}} p_{j}\right)\left(\Pi_{p_{l} \in \Delta_{k}^{-}}^{-} \bar{p}_{l}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

So, by (C3) :

$$
g_{k}(p)=\left(\Pi_{p_{l} \in \Delta_{k}^{+}} p_{l}\right)\left(\Pi_{p_{l} \in \Delta_{k}^{-}}^{-} \bar{p}_{l}\right)=h_{k}(p),
$$

and we are done.

Observe that Proposition 9 yields an immediate polynomial time algorithm for the solution of the recognition problem.

## 6. SOME APPLICATIONS

### 6.1. Degree-two inequalities and maximization of pseudoboolean functions

Johnson, Padberg [1982] and Bourjolly [1983] have investigated "degreetwo inequalitıes" in binary variables, i. e. inequalities of the form $x_{\imath}+x_{\jmath} \geqq 1$, $x_{t}+x_{J} \leqq 1, x_{t} \leqq x_{J}$. Clearly the problem of maximizing a linear function $c(x)=c_{1} x_{1}+c_{2} x_{2}+\ldots+c_{n} x_{n}$ subject to a set of degree-two inequalities is equivalent to maxımızıng $c(x)$ subject to a quadratic boolean equation $(E)$.

Let the product form parametric representation of the solutions of $(E)$ be given by:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
x_{\imath}=p_{\imath} \Pi_{J} \quad x_{J} \in D_{\imath} p_{J} \Pi_{J} & \bar{x}_{J} \in D_{\imath} \\
\bar{p}_{J} & (i \in I) \\
\bar{x}_{\imath}=p_{\imath} \Pi_{J} x_{J \in D_{\imath}} p_{J} \Pi_{J} \bar{x}_{J} \in D_{\imath} \bar{p}_{J} & (i \in \bar{I})
\end{array}
$$

where $I \cup \bar{I}=\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ and $I \cap \bar{I}=\varnothing$.
Now, replacing each variable in $c(x)$ by its product form parametric representation yields a pseudoboolean function $f(p)$ (real-valued function of binary variables) :

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(p)=\Sigma_{\imath \in I} c_{\imath} \Pi_{J} x_{J} \in D_{\imath} p_{J} \Pi_{J} \bar{x}_{J \in D_{\imath}} & \left(1-p_{J}\right) \\
& -\Sigma_{\imath \in \bar{I}} c_{\imath} \Pi_{J} x_{J} \in D_{\imath} p_{J} \Pi_{J} \bar{x}_{J \in D_{\imath}}\left(1-p_{J}\right)+\Sigma_{\imath \in \bar{I}} c_{\imath}
\end{aligned}
$$

and then maximizing $c(x)$ over the solution set of $(E)$ is equivalent to maximizing the pseudoboolean function $f(p)$ over $\{0,1\}^{n}$. Notice that this transformation does not increase the number of variables.

### 6.2. A new class of pseudoboolean functions that can be maximized in polynomial time

An interesting special case of the construction given in Section 6.1 occurs when all the degree-two inequalities are order constraints:

$$
x_{\imath} \leqq x_{j}, \quad(i, j) \in U
$$

where $U \subseteq V \times V$ with $V=\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$.
Picard [1976] (see also Hammer and Simeone [1987]) has shown that maximizing a linear function of binary variables under such constraints is reducible to a mınimum cut problem in a network, and hence is solvable in polynomial time.

From Section 6.1 and the discussion in Section 2, it follows that:

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Max}\left\{c_{1} x_{1}+c_{2} x_{2}+\ldots+c_{n} x_{n}: \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}} \leqq x_{j},(i, j)\right. & \left.\in U ; x \in\{0,1\}^{n}\right\} \\
& =\operatorname{Max}\left\{f(p): p \in\{0,1\}^{n}\right\} \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(p)=\sum_{i=1, n} c_{i} \Pi_{j \in \Delta_{i}} p_{j} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\Delta_{i}=\{j:(i, j) \in U\}
$$

Notice that, using the results described by Proposition 9, we can easily recognize in polynomial time those pseudoboolean functions maximization problems arising as described above from the maximization of some linear function subject to a set of order constaints. Indeed, these are exactly the pseudoboolean functions of the form (14), such that $\Delta_{1}, \ldots, \Delta_{n}$ satisfy the conditions (C2) and (C3) in Proposition 9 (with $\Delta_{i}^{+}=\Delta_{i}, i=1, \ldots, n$ ). This provides a new class of pseudoboolean functions that can be maximized in polynomial time, distinct from other such classes previously introduced e.g. in Barahona [1986], Billionnet and Minoux [1985] or Hansen and Simeone [1986].

### 6.3. Stable sets in graphs

On the other end of the spectrum, when all degree-two inequalities are of the type $x_{i}+x_{j} \leqq 1,(\{i, j\} \in U)$, the problem stated in Section 6.1 is the well known weighted stability problem for the graph $G=(V, U)$, where $V=\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$.

Let us define the pre-neighborhood of vertex $i$ to be:

$$
P_{i}=\{j: j<i,\{i, j\} \in U\} .
$$

Specializing the procedure of Section 2, we see that the parametric expressions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{i}=p_{i} \Pi_{j \in P_{i}} \bar{p}_{j} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

describe precisely the characteristic vectors of the stable sets og G. This construction provides an immediate translation of the weighted stability problem into an unconstrained maximization problem, similar to that used by Ebenegger et al. [1984].

## 7. PROPERTIES OF THE REPRESENTATION

For a consistent quadratic equation $(E)$, the procedure described in Section 2 provides a parametric representation of the solutions to $(E)$, in which every variable or its complement is expressed as a product of free parameters. We discuss now some properties of this product form representation.

1. One might wonder whether such a product form parametric representation exists for boolean equations of order higher than two. The next proposition provides a negative answer to this question.

Proposition 10: The solution set of a non-quadratic boolean equation cannot, in general, be given a parametric representation in product form.

Proof. - Suppose for instance that such a representation exists for the equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1} x_{2} x_{3} \vee \bar{x}_{1} \bar{x}_{2} \bar{x}_{3}=0 \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

By symmetry, we can assume that the representation is either of the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1}=P_{1}, \quad x_{2}=P_{2}, \quad x_{3}=P_{3} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

or of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{x}_{1}=P_{1}, \quad x_{2}=P_{2}, \quad x_{3}=P_{3} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P_{1}, P_{2}$ and $P_{3}$ denote products of some parameters and their complements.

If the representation is given by (17), then $P_{1} P_{2} P_{3}$ must be identically zero. So, we can assume without loss of generality that some parameter $p$ appears uncomplemented in $P_{1}$ and complemented in $P_{2}$. But then, the solution $(1,1,0)$ of (16) is not generated by (17).

So, the representation must be of the form given by (18). Since $(0,0,1)$ is a solution of (16), there must exist some literal, say $\Pi_{2}$, that appears in $P_{2}$ and not in $P_{1}$. Similarly, there must be some literal $\Pi_{3}$ that appears in $P_{3}$ and not in $P_{1}$. Because $P_{1} \bar{P}_{2} \bar{P}_{3}$ is identically zero, $P_{1} \bar{\Pi}_{2} \bar{\Pi}_{3}$ must be identically zero too. But, by choice of $\Pi_{2}$ and $\Pi_{3}$, this is only possible if $\Pi_{2}=\bar{\Pi}_{3}$, and then the solution $(0,1,1)$ of $(16)$ cannot be generated by (18).
2. For the sake of simplicity, suppose that no variable takes a constant value, and that no pair of variables take only identical or complementary values, in all solutions of $(E)$. Then:

Proposition 11: There exists a parametric representation of the solutions to $(E)$ in which every uncomplemented variable is expressed as a product of free parameters if and only if $(E)$ is a pure equation.

Proof. - The "if" part of the statement is an immediate corollary of Proposition 2.

For the "only if" part, assume by contradiction that $\bar{x}_{1} \bar{x}_{2}$ is a term of $(E)$, and that a product form representation of the solutions to $(E)$ is given by:

$$
x_{i}=P_{i} \quad(i=1,2, \ldots, n)
$$

where $P_{i}(i=1,2, \ldots, n)$ is a product of parameters.
From the identity $\bar{P}_{1} \bar{P}_{2} \equiv 0$, one easily deduces that:

$$
P_{1}=p \quad \text { and } \quad P_{2}=\bar{p}
$$

for some parameter $p$. Hence, $x_{1}=\bar{x}_{2}$ in all solutions to $(E)$ : contradiction.
3. Although the particular parametric representation obtained by the procedure of Section 3 depends on the arbitrary choices made in steps 4 and 5 of the algorithm, the number of parameters used is independent of those choices, and is in fact always equal to $n_{R}$ minus the number of fixed variables for $\left(E_{R}\right)$. It is probably worth pointing out that the solution set of some quadratic equation admits a product form parametric representation using a smaller number of parameters.

For instance, our procedure yields a representation using three parameters for the equation:

$$
x_{1} x_{2} \vee x_{1} x_{3} \vee x_{2} x_{3}=0
$$

whereas the following representation uses only two:

$$
x_{1}=p_{1} p_{2}, \quad x_{2}=p_{1} \bar{p}_{2}, \quad x_{3}=\bar{p}_{1} p_{2}
$$
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