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High-contrast companions: the PIONIER view

## Context: the exoplanet craze

- 20+ exoplanets imaged
- Near-IR contrast $\leq 10^{-3}$
- Separations: 0.4" - 10+"
- Shorter separations?
- Extreme AO: ~100 mas
- Dynamic range $\geq 10 \mathrm{mag}$


HR8799 with LBT/LMIRCam+AGPM

- Aperture masking: ~30 mas
- Dynamic range ~ 7 mag
- Interferometry: ~1 mas


## Interferometric view of binaries

- Sum of 2 offset fringe packets
- Source size increased $\rightarrow$ visibility affected
- Photocenter shifted $\rightarrow$ phase affected
- "Resolved" when $\Delta \theta>\lambda / 2 B$



## Detection methods

- Based on fringe amplitude
- Squared visibililities
- Nulling
- Based on fringe phase
- Differential phase
- Closure phase


## Squared visibilities

- Drop in $\mathrm{V}^{2}$
- Up to $4 \times$ flux ratio
- Period $\lambda / \Delta \theta$ vs. $B$
- Robust astrometry needs many OBs
- Or multi-telescope array
- $180^{\circ}$ ambiguity remains
- Dynamic range
- ~100:1 assuming 1\% accuracy on $V_{2}$


## 1\% contrast at 10 mas



## Nulling interferometry

- Put the 2 beams in phase and lock them
- Introduce achromatic $\pi$ phase shift
- Dynamic range $\geq 10^{3}: 1$ (Palomar Fiber Nuller)



## Differential phase

- Absolute phase lost due to turbulence
- Wavelengthdifferential phase can be measured
- Non-zero if star and companion have different spectra
- Affected by dispersion
- Contrast limited to a few 100:1




## Closure phase

- $\Psi_{123}=\phi_{12}+\varepsilon_{1}+\phi_{23}+\phi_{31}-\varepsilon_{1}$
- All telescope-specific errors are removed
- $\neq$ o only when object not point-symmetric
- Case of a high contrast binary: $\psi=\rho m$
- $\rho$ : flux ratio
- m: magnification factor
- Primary resolved $\rightarrow$ "closure phase nulling"



## Magnification factor

- $m=\sin \alpha_{12}+\sin \alpha_{23}+$ $\sin \alpha_{31}$
- $\alpha_{i j}=2 \pi B_{i j} \cdot \theta / \lambda$
- Ranges from $0^{\circ}$ to $149^{\circ}$
- $\rho=1 \% \rightarrow \psi=\rho m \sim 1^{\circ}$
- Contrast/position ambiguity solved by
- u,v coverage
- Spectral dispersion

Magnification factor "m" (equilateral triangle, 60 m baseline, H band)


## Wavelength dependence of $\Psi$



## The PIONIER view

- Observables
- 6 visibilities
- 4 closure phases
- Spectral dispersion
- SMALL: 3 channels
" LARGE: 7 channels
- Binary search tools
- Absolute $\mathrm{V}^{2}$
- Absolute CP



## Field-of-view limitations

- Single-mode fibers
- Injection efficiency affected by seeing
- FHWM ~ 400 mas
- Mostly superposed fringe packets
- 50m, LARGE $\rightarrow$ ~100 mas
- Spectral sampling
- Period $\sim \lambda^{2} / B \Delta \theta>4 \Delta \lambda$
- 50m, LARGE $\rightarrow \sim 70 \mathrm{mas}$
- Aliasing further out


## Closure phase stability



## Companion search method (CP)

- Test null hypothesis ( $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{o}}=$ no companion)
- Compute $\chi^{2}$ for single star model ( $\Psi=0$ )
- Derive associated probability: $P_{o}=1-C D F_{v}\left(\chi^{2}\right)$
- CDF $_{v}=\chi^{2}$ cumulative probability distribution with $v$ dof
- If $\mathrm{P}_{0}<0.27 \%$ ( $3 \sigma$ Gaussian) then $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ rejected
- Underlying assumptions
- Gaussian noise
- Error bars properly estimated
- In practice: $\chi^{2 / v}$ generally $\neq 1$ for single star


## Companion search method (CP)

- Better idea (?)
- Compare $\chi^{2}(0)$ with $\chi^{2}$ of binary models
- Test many binary models $\rightarrow \chi^{2}$ cube
- Check if adding companion reduces significantly the $\chi^{2}$
- Find $\chi^{2}{ }_{\text {min }}$ in cube
- Renormalise: $\chi^{2} / \chi^{2}$ min
- Check null hypothesis



## Illustration: minimum $\chi^{2}$ map

## NON-DETECTION



## A companion to $\delta \mathrm{Aqr}$

- Long period RV + astrometry
- Contrast 2.05\% $\pm 0.16 \%$
- A3V + G5V system
- Position ambiguous




## A companion to go Tau

CLOSURE PHASES


VISIBILITIES


## Deriving upper limits

- Based on $\chi^{2}$ cube
- Renormalise $\left.\chi^{2}\right|_{\rho=0}=1$
- Find $\rho$ such that $\chi^{2}=\chi^{2} \lim$ ( $3 \sigma$ criterion)
- Double blind test
- Fake companions inserted into calibrated $\psi$ data
- Count the fraction of good detections vs $\rho$


## Deep search: $\chi^{2}$ cube

- $3 \sigma$ sensitivity on 100 mas region
- Fom: $2.3 \times 10^{-3}$
- $\tau$ Cet: $3.5 \times 10^{-3}$
- 90\% upper limit
- $0.17 \mathrm{M}_{\text {sun }}$ (~M6V)
- $0.09 \mathrm{M}_{\text {sun }}$ ( $\sim \mathrm{BD}$ )
- Exclude companion as source of nearinfrared excess





## Deep search: blind test

- Confirms the $\chi^{2}$ results
- Median sensitivity
- Fom: $1.9 \times 10^{-3}$


- $\tau$ Cet: $3.2 \times 10^{-3}$
- Noise floor
- $\leq 2.3 \times 10^{-3}$
- $\leq 3.5 \times 10^{-3}$




## Snapshot sensitivity (Regulus)

- Median sensivitity: $5.4 \times 10^{-3}$
- Poor uv plane coverage $\rightarrow$ zones with low sensitivity
- Blind test ok for contrast but not for position
- "Side lobes" of instrument PSF

Linear separation [AU]



## Sensitivity vs number of OBs

- Assume accuracy of $0.25^{\circ}$ on A1-G1-lı-Ko
- Pointings at hour angles
- oh
- -1h, oh, 1h
-     - $2 h,-1 h, o h, 1 h, 2 h$
- Median sensitivities
- $6 \times 10^{-3}, 4.5 \times 10^{-3}, 4.0 \times 10^{-3}$
- Huge improvement in completeness
- 3 pointings ok for survey



## Sensitivity vs configuration

- Sensitivity does not depend on configuration
- Configuration size still matters
- Sets inner working angle and FOV size
- Ideal filler program





## Astrophysical applications

- Performance summary
- Noise floor~0.2
- Dynamic range $\Delta \mathrm{H} \sim 6$
- Valid up to H~6 (?)
- Warm BD/planets
- Transition objects
- Moving groups
- Hot Jupiters ... not yet
- Binary fraction of massive stars

| Age | AoV | GoV | MoV |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 Myr | $0.09 \mathrm{M}_{\text {sun }}$ | $0.017 \mathrm{M}_{\text {sun }}$ | $0.012 \mathrm{M}_{\text {sun }}$ |
| 50 Myr | $0.22 \mathrm{M}_{\text {sun }}$ | $0.043 \mathrm{M}_{\text {sun }}$ | $0.013 \mathrm{M}_{\text {sun }}$ |
| 200 Myr | $0.35 \mathrm{M}_{\text {sun }}$ | $0.08 \mathrm{M}_{\text {sun }}$ | $0.030 \mathrm{M}_{\text {sun }}$ |

## Example: the EXOZODI survey

- ~90 stars in H band
- ~20 stars in K band (some overlap)
- Use combined $\chi^{2}$ for $V^{2}$ and CP




## Binaries in the EXOZODI survey

| AolV | Name | Date | Significance (cp+v2) | Significance (cp) | Significance (v2) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | HD4150 | 17-12-2012 | 7.08 | 3.73 | 6.84 |
|  | HD4150 | 09-08-2013 | 22.52 | 29.25 | 43.84 |
| A6V | HD7788 | 23-07-2012 | 7.29 | 1.88 | 13.51 |
|  |  | 16-10-2012 | 5.96 | 1.98 | 13.83 |
|  | HD15798 | 09-08-2013 | 13.72 | 4.96 | 19.74 |
|  | HD16555 | 18-12-2012 | 106.20 | 28.04 | 219.17 |
|  |  | 15-10\& 12-12-2012* | 4.47 | 1.51 | 6.59 |
|  | HD20794 | 10-08-2013 | 6.49 | 3.65 | 8.68 |
|  |  | 11-08-2013 | 3.58 | 3.53 | 5.48 |
| A6V | HD23249 | 15-10\& 16-12-2012* | 11.59 | 3.36 | 20.51 |
|  | HD28355 | 15-12-2012 | 4.31 | 2.44 | 5.58 |
|  | HD29388 | 16-12-2012 | 106.03 | 50.89 | 105.03 |
|  |  | 16-10-2012 | 3.46 | 2.34 | 5.00 |
|  | HD39060 | 09-08-2013 | 3.87 | 2.23 | 4.35 |
|  |  | 11-08-2013 | 5.92 | 3.67 | 8.52 |
|  |  | 08-08-2013 | 7.58 | 5.60 | 26.00 |
|  | HD158643 | 09-08-2013 | 9.14 | 2.74 | 13.69 |
| $A_{5} \mathrm{~V}$ | HD173667 | 09-08-2013 | 3.98 | 1.47 | 5.69 |
|  | HD173667 | 11-08-2013 | 3.30 | 2.92 | 4.87 |
|  | HD197481 | 08-08-2013 | 4.39 | 2.03 | 5.01 |
|  | HD202730 | 24-07-2012 | 11.47 | 8.58 | 21.02 |
|  | HD216956 | 09-08-2013 | 5.04 | 2.23 | 6.04 |
| A1V | HD224392 | 26-07-2012 | 12.53 | 19.46 | 5.75 |
|  |  | 08-08-2013 | 20.06 | 3.58 | 22.93 |
|  |  | 09-08-2013 | 5.96 | 2.31 | 7.16 |
|  |  | 11-08-2013 | 10.50 | 6.25 | 11.53 |

2\%, 90 mas
$50 \%$, 8 omas

3\%, 11 mas
$95 \%, 65 \mathrm{mas}$

2\%, ?? mas

## 30 sensitivity: V² vs CP






## CP: $3 \sigma, 4 \sigma$, or something else?

Significance level


## Summary

## -PIONIER —NACO/SAM-L —NACO/AGPM-L



