COMA

SCIENCE GROUP

2 Years outcome of patients in unresponsive wakefulness syndrome/vegetative state and minimally conscious state Cassol H^{*1}, Ledoux D^{*1}, Bruno MA¹, Thibaut A^{1,2}, Schnakers C³, Vanhaudenhuyse A^{1,4}, Demertzi A^{1,5}, Wannez S¹, Laureys S¹, Gosseries O¹

*Both authors contributed equally

¹Coma Science Group, GIGA-Consciousness and Neurology Department, University and University Hospital of Liège, Liège, Belgium ²Spaulding Neuromodulation Center, Spaulding Rehabilitation Hospital/Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA ³Department of Neurosurgery and Psychiatry, University of California, Los Angeles Los Angeles, CA, USA ⁴Department of Algology and Palliative Care, CHU University Hospital of Liège, Liège, Belgium ⁵Institut du Cerveau et de la Moelle épinière–ICM, Hôpital Pitié-Salpêtrière, Paris, France

Objectives

Following severe acute brain damage, patients typically evolve from coma to an unresponsive wakefulness syndrome/vegetative state (UWS/VS; wakefulness without awareness)^{1,2} and later to a minimally conscious state (MCS; fluctuating but consistent nonreflex behaviors)³. MCS is subcategorized in MCS+ (i.e., command following) and MCS- (i.e., visual pursuit, localization of noxious stimulation or contingent behaviours)⁴. Reliable and consistent interactive communication and/or functional use of objects indicate the next boundary – emergence from MCS (EMCS)³.

Methods

We collected demographic information, acute care history and longitudinal follow-up of patients in UWS/VS and MCS admitted in 15 expert centers in Belgium (via the Belgian Federal Public Service Health) (Fig. 1). The diagnosis was based on internationally accepted criteria of UWS/VS, MCS or EMCS. Results were considered significant at p<0.001.

To date, there is still no reliable predictive model of recovery from the UWS/VS and the MCS. A better understanding of patients' outcome would help in decisions regarding patients' care and rehabilitation, as well as end-of-life decisions.



Fig. 1. Patients were assessed at 1, 3, 6, 12 and 24 months post-injury with the Coma Recovery Scale-Revised⁵.

Results

24 months follow-up was available for 476 patients including 261 diagnosed in UWS/VS (88 traumatic, 173 non-traumatic) and 215 diagnosed in MCS (80 traumatic, 135 non-traumatic) one month after the injury.

Unresponsive wakefulness syndrome/vegetative state



Minimally conscious state



Patients who were in MCS one month after the insult were more likely to recover functional communication or object use after 24 months than patients in UWS/VS (Fig. 2). Moreover, functional recovery occurred more often in MCS+ (79%) as compared to MCS- (29%), and mortality rate was more important in MCS- patients (68%) as compared to MCS+ (21%).

Comparisons within UWS/VS and MCS groups based on etiology showed that traumatic patients had a better outcome at 24 months than non-traumatic patients (Fig. 2). Among non-traumatic patients, no difference was found between anoxic patients and patients with other etiologies regarding functional recovery.

Fig. 2. Clinical evolution of VS/UWS and MCS patients at 3, 6, 12 and 24 months post-onset according to the CRS-R scores.

Conclusion

Our study highlights that the outcome is significantly better for patients who are in MCS one month post-injury as compared to patients who remain in UWS/VS at that time. Concerning MCS patients, the outcome is significantly better for patients who are MCS+ one month post-injury as compared to patients who are MCS- at that time. This study also confirms that patients with traumatic etiology have better prognosis than patients with non-traumatic causes.



REFERENCES

¹Jennett, B. and F. Plum (1972). "Persistent vegetative state after brain damage. A syndrome in search of a name." Lancet **1**(7753): 734-737. ²Laureys, S., G. G. Celesia, et al. (2010). "Unresponsive wakefulness syndrome: a new name for the vegetative state or apallic syndrome." <u>BMC Med</u> 8: 68. ³Giacino, J. T., S. Ashwal, et al. (2002). "The minimally conscious state: Definition and diagnostic criteria." <u>Neurology</u> 58(3): 349-353.

⁴Bruno, M. A., A. Vanhaudenhuyse, et al. (2011). "From unresponsive wakefulness to minimally conscious PLUS and functional locked-in syndromes: recent advances in our understanding of disorders of consciousness." <u>J Neurol</u> 258(7): 1373-1384 ⁵Giacino, J. T., K. Kalmar, et al. (2004). "The JFK Coma Recovery Scale-Revised: measurement characteristics and diagnostic utility." Arch Phys Med Rehabil 85(12): 2020-2029.

COMA SCIENCE GROUP – GIGA-CONSCIOUSNESS | www.comasciencegroup.org | Contact: hcassol@ulg.ac.be