

International Transdisciplinary Conference on Development and Conservation of Karst Regions

Trans-KARST 2004

PROCEEDINGS

Edited by

Research Institute of Geology and Mineral Resources (RIGMR)

Under the auspices of UNESCO (IGCP Project 448 and IHP)

Under the patronage of the UIS (Union Internationale de Speleologie)











With the support of:

Flemish Interuniversity Council (VLIR), Belgium Catholic University Leuven (KUL), Belgium

Vrije Universiteit Brussel (VUB), Belgium

Royal Belgian Institute of Natural Sciences, Geological Survey of Belgium (GSB)

Fauna & Flora International (FFI), Vietnam

International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN)

International Association of Hydrogeologists (IAH)















TABLE OF CONTENTS

M. ALSHAAER, H. CUYPERS, J. WASTIELS, Phan Duc LE and Nguyen My LINH, Stabilization of kaolinitic soil from Vietnam for construction purposes by using mineral polymerisation technique
Sunkar ARZYANA, Sustainability of Gunung Sewu karst system: the management of human behaviour and physical environment
Augusto S. AULER, Xianfeng WANG, R. Lawrence EDWARDS, Hai CHENG, Patricia S. CRISTALLI, Peter L. SMART, David A. RICHARDS, Palaeoenvironments in semi-arid northeastern Brazil inferred from high precision mass spectrometric 230Th speleothem and travertine ages and the dynamics of south American rainforests
M. AURIGHI, B. ČENČUR CURK., G. KUSCHNIG, F. MANFREDI SELVAGGI, A. PAVIČIČ., M. VESELIČ, H. ZOJER, Collision of interests in land use, water management and environmental protection in karst areas
H. AZARNIVAND and H.R. NASREI, Effect of karst on vegetation. Case study of Khansar area
Jean-Pierre BARTHOLEYNS, Sustainable development of show caves and protection of a common heritage
Jean-Pierre BARTHOLEYNS and Georges MICHEL, Land use of karstic areas in Belgium. Cartography and delimitation of karstic hazard
O. BATELAAN, Q.D. TIN, P. VAN DEN BOSSCHE, V.T. TAM, V.T.M. NGUYET, L.Q. HUNG and K. VAN KEER, Evaluation of karstic water qualities in the Nam La and Suoi Muoi catchments
Aleksey BENDEREV, Tatiana OREHOVA, Stefan SHANOV, Impact of climate variability on the regime of karstic springs for different geological conditions in Bulgaria31
Rachid BENKADJA, Characterization of functioning Gar-Cagire karstic systems (Pyrenean mountains) by geomorphologic analysis
Alain DASSARGUES, Modelling groundwater flow and solute transport in karstic systems: from dreams to the reality – how can models help for groundwater vulnerability assessment?
Michael J. DAY, Stakeholder reaction to the proposed establishment of the Cockpit Country national park, Jamaica34
Louis DEHARVENG , Le Cong KIET, Le Cong MAN and Anne BEDOS, Hot issues in karst conservation: the biodiversity of Hon Chong hills (southern Vietnam), with emphasis on invertebrate endemism
Louis DEHARVENG , Y. SUHARDJONO, C. RAHMADI and A. BEDOS, A world-class natural and cultural heritage: the karst of southern Sulawesi
Danilo DEMARIA , Cavers, karst environment and natural parks: the example of the gypsum karst area of Bologna (northern Italy)
Alain DENIS , Roland LASTENNET, Philippe MALAURENT and Frédéric HUNEAU, Dynamics of CO ₂ in the Lascaux cave: study by means of time series analysis
Art DEWULF and René BOUWEN, Integrated management of natural resources: the role of framing and reframing
N.Q. DINH, O. BATELAAN and F. DE SMEDT., Cave database development, spatial analysis and 3D visualization with GIS case study in Son La (Vietnam)53

MODELLING GROUNDWATER FLOW AND SOLUTE TRANSPORT IN KARSTIC SYSTEMS: FROM DREAMS TO THE REALITY – HOW CAN MODELS HELP FOR GROUNDWATER VULNERABILITY ASSESSMENT?

Alain DASSARGUES

Département Géoressources, Géotechnologies et Matériaux de Construction (GEOMAC), Université de Liège, B.52 Sart-Tilman, B-4000 Liege, Belgium
Alain.Dassargues@ulg.ac.be

and

Hydrogeology and Engineering Geology, Department of Geography-Geology, K.U. Leuven, Redingenstraat 16, B-3000 Leuven, Belgium

Simulation (modelling) of groundwater flow and transport, using various numerical methods, and taking into account as far as possible the spatial variability of the hydrodynamic and hydrodispersive properties of karstic aquifers is certainly not an easy exercice. Many groups of models can be used. 'REV based models' with single, double, triple continuum approaches can be applied as well for solving flow problems (groundwater quantity) as for transport problems (groundwater quality), but many issues are still challenging: parameterisation and scale of application, calibration/validation sensitivity, applicability. It is the same for 'discrete approaches', which require even more information for solving parameterisation and scale issues, for 'stochastic approaches'. theoretically providing the uncertainty of your results in function of the uncertainty of your data, and even for 'fractals techniques' all these issues remain. 'Black-box models' are lighter to handle but are not anymore 'physically consistent'. The prediction results with such mathematical tools cannot be relied on when predictive computations are performed with aquifer stresses (i.e. recharge, pumping, boundary conditions) that will possibly lie out of the calibration range.

The choice of an adequate tool for analysing and simulating karst aquifer behaviour will depend strongly on the kind of problem to be solved: a steady-state flow problem will be considerably more simple to simulate than a transient transport problem with transient groundwater flow conditions. Unfortunately, in practice this last problem is in most cases more realistic than the former one. Another overwhelming factor is the scale of the study: local modelling simulations need more detailed data and probably a more discrete approach in the way of describing the spatial variability than in regional models.

Despite all these limitations, simplified physically consistent groundwater transport models can help for groundwater vulnerability assessment. Numerical models are useful as tools for consistently interpreting, the results of field measurements and experiments. Calibration of numerical models using these measurements will allow to optimise the use of this information for validating (to some extent) the vulnerability assessment. They can be considered as useful intermediate tools between field measurements and vulnerability assessments. calibration, one can perform sensitivity analysis to check how results can vary in different stressed scenarios ('what if' simulations) or to consider the uncertainty of the parameters used. Results of this analysis allow the validation of the assumptions in the adopted vulnerability assessment technique.