

Melodic perception











Pauline Larrouy-Maestri

Pauline.larrouy-maestri@aesthetics.mpg.de



What happens?



Central auditory pathway (from Saenz & Langers, 2014)



Dorsal and ventral pathways (from Loui, 2015)



Saenz & Langers (2014). Tonotopic mappig of human auditory cortex. Hearing Research Loui (2015). A dual-stream neuroanatomy of singing. Music Perception Peretz & Colheart (2003). Modularity of music processing. Nature Neuroscience Peretz, Vuvan, Lagrois, & Armony (2015). Neural overlap in processing music and speech. Philosophical transactions



David Marr's levels of analysis

Definition of correctness (i.e., pitch accuracy)

Mechanisms driving the perception of correctness

Computational level

➔ What does the system do?

Algorithmic level

➔ How does it do it?



Implementational level
Physical structure / Hardware
Neurobiological mechanisms
→ How can it be realized physically?

Marr (1982). Vision. A Computational Investigation into the Human Representation and Processing of Visual Information. Fritz et al. (2013). The Neurobiology of Language, Speech, and Music. In « Language, Music, and the Brain » edited by M.A. Arbib, Cambridge, MA:MIT.



David Marr's levels of analysis

Definition of correctness (i.e., pitch accuracy)

Mechanisms driving the perception of correctness

Computational level

➔ What does the system do?

Algorithmic level

→ How does it do it?



Implementational level
Physical structure / Hardware
Neurobiological mechanisms
→ How can it be realized physically?

Marr (1982). Vision. A Computational Investigation into the Human Representation and Processing of Visual Information. Fritz et al. (2013). The Neurobiology of Language, Speech, and Music. In « Language, Music, and the Brain » edited by M.A. Arbib, Cambridge, MA:MIT.



Pitch accuracy







Interval error



Tonality error



Sensitivity from early age and perception in adults: e.g., Dowling & Fujitani, 1970; Edworthy, 1985; Ferland & Mendelson, 1989; Hannon & Trainor, 2007; Gooding & Stanley, 2001; Plantinga & Trainor, 2005; Stalinski et al., 2008; Trainor & Trehub, 1992



Pitch accuracy





Pitch accuracy – Music experts







Larrouy-Maestri, Lévêque, Schön, Giovanni, & Morsomme (2013). Journal of Voice.



Pitch accuracy – Layman listeners







Tonality error



Larrouy-Maestri, Magis, Grabenhorst, & Morsomme (2015). PlosOne



(The case of operatic singers – Definition)



Larrouy-Maestri, Magis, & Morsomme (2014). Journal of Voice



(The case of operatic singers – Evaluation)



Larrouy-Maestri, Morsomme, Magis, & Poeppel (under revision)



Pitch accuracy – Layman listeners







Tonality error



Larrouy-Maestri, Magis, Grabenhorst, & Morsomme (2015). PlosOne







David Marr's levels of analysis

Definition of correctness (i.e., pitch accuracy) Computational level

➔ What does the system do?

Reacts to pitch deviations of 25 to 40 cents

Mechanisms driving the perception of correctness

Algorithmic level

➔ How does it do it?



Implementational level
Physical structure / Hardware
Neurobiological mechanisms
→ How can it be realized physically?

Marr (1982). Vision. A Computational Investigation into the Human Representation and Processing of Visual Information. Fritz et al. (2013). The Neurobiology of Language, Speech, and Music. In « Language, Music, and the Brain » edited by M.A. Arbib, Cambridge, MA:MIT.



David Marr's levels of analysis

Definition of correctness (i.e., pitch accuracy)

Computational level

→ What does the system do?

Reacts to pitch deviations of 25 to 40 cents

Mechanisms driving the perception of correctness

Algorithmic level

➔ How does it do it?



Implementational level
Physical structure / Hardware
Neurobiological mechanisms
→ How can it be realized physically?

Marr (1982). Vision. A Computational Investigation into the Human Representation and Processing of Visual Information. Fritz et al. (2013). The Neurobiology of Language, Speech, and Music. In « Language, Music, and the Brain » edited by M.A. Arbib, Cambridge, MA:MIT.



Mechanisms driving perception





Categorical

Transformation of varying sensory signals into categorical internal representations

Continuous

Perception (sometimes linearly) of the variation of sensory signals



Mechanisms driving perception





Perception of correctness - Material





Identification task – first results (n = 20)





Identification task – first results (n = 20)





No effect of

- Formal musical training
- Informal musical training
 - Active/passive listening
 - Concerts
- Difficulty of the task
- Performance in the learning task
- Enjoyment of the voice



Confidence task – first results (n = 20)





Melodic perception in music



RT R AL Crebral Control Contro

Computational level

➔ What does the system do? Reacts to pitch deviations of 25 to 40 cents

Algorithmic level

➔ How does it do it? Categorization (partly)

Implementational level

Physical structure / Hardware Neurobiological mechanisms

➔ How can it be realized physically?



Melodic perception in music speech



RT R AL crebral content and the second and the seco

Computational level

➔ What does the system do?

Algorithmic level

➔ How does it do it?

Implementational level

Physical structure / Hardware Neurobiological mechanisms

➔ How can it be realized physically?





David Poeppel



Simone Franz



R. Muralikrishnan



Renan Vairo Nunes



Dominique Morsomme



Ellen Blanckaert

David Magis



Yohanna Lévêque



Daniele Schön



Claudia Lehr and Freya Materne



Matthias Grabenhorst



Marie-Reine Ayoub



Laura Gosselin

Thanks for your attention!