# USE OF NIR HYPERSPECTRAL IMAGING AND CHEMOMETRICS TO QUANTIFY ROOTS AND CROP **RESIDUES IN SOIL**

D. Eylenbosch<sup>a</sup>, J.A. Fernández Pierna<sup>b</sup>, <u>V. Baeten<sup>b</sup></u>, B. Bodson<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Gembloux Agro-Bio Tech, University of Liège, Temperate Crop Science Unit, Belgium. E-mail: d.eylenbosch@ulg.ac.be <sup>b</sup> Walloon Agricultural Research Centre, Valorisation of Agricultural Products Department, Food and Feed Quality Unit, Belgium. Email: v.baeten@cra.wallonie.be

**Context**: Monitoring of root development and crop residues decomposition in crop soils is important to understand the effects of agricultural practices and to improve them. In the fields studies on root system development, soil coring method allows multiple samplings but manual sorting of roots and crop residues extracted from soil samples before quantification is a tedious and time consuming step. Discrimination of roots and crop residues based on their Near Infrared (NIR) spectral signature and chemometrics was tested as a new rapid and reliable method.

<u>Methodology</u>									
	Field sampling of soil cores	Extraction of roots a	<b>Drying</b> of washed samples						
ampling									



SIA, Riga, Latvia).

images is 0.3 mm.



Image acquisition

NIR images are acquired with a **NIR hy-**

perspectral line scan (= push-broom)

and HyperProVB software (BurgerMetrics

Samples are laid on a **conveyor belt** 

placed under the NIR camera. For each

pixel of the NIR image, a complete spec-

trum including 209 wavelengths (1100-

2498 nm) is saved with a spectral reso-

lution of 6.3 nm. Spatial resolution of





### **Spectra discrimination**

A dichotomist classification tree based on successive Support Vector Machine discriminant analysis models is used to sepa- Background rate spectra into **4 distinct classes**: background, soil, roots and crop residues. Soil These models were calibrated with PLS vs. Rest Toolbox 7.8 (Eigenvector Research, Inc., Wenatchee, Root WA, USA) working on Matlab (The MathWorks, vs. Crop residues Inc., Natick, MA, USA). At least 1000 spectra



were used for each class. These spectra were selected by Duplex method in order to take into account maximum variability.

(Eylenbosch et al., 2014; Fernández Pierna et al., 2004; Snee, 1977)



### **Prediction and quantification**

(Vermeulen et al., 2012)

A color is assigned to each spectral class allowing the creation of **prediction** images.





**Roots quantification** is based on the number of pixels predicted as roots which is converted in an amount of dry matter thanks to a regression line.

## **Results and applications**

#### Validation on spectral data

Good discrimination of spectral classes was observed during calibration and validation on independant set of spectra. The discrimination between root and crop residues spectra gived the lowest results with 86% of well classified spectra.

	Calibration		Validation	
	Sensitivity	Specificity	Sensitivity	Specificity
Background vs. Rest	0.997	0.999	0.999	0.999
Soil <i>vs.</i> Rest	0.994	0.997	0.992	0.991
<b>Crop residues</b> <i>vs.</i> Root	0.914	0.942	0.895	0.922

Sensitivity: proportion of spectra detected as positive for the positive class in the model.

#### **Validation on images**

Applied on NIR images of roots and crop residues of winter wheat, models correctly discriminate 92.5% of roots and 79.4% of crop residues, some confusion appearing on the border of crop residues, in the shadow area.



#### **Quantification of roots and crop residues** of winter wheat under different tillage

The quantification method was applied on soil samples taken in a long term trial on tillage under winter wheat. It was clearly observed that root system had a higher development in the top soil horizon (0-10 cm)

in reduced tillage and a deeper development ploughing.



Models 14 bis FH

## Advantages and limitations of discrimination and quantification based on analysis of NIR hyperspectral images

- Quicker than manual sorting
- No operator subjectivity during sorting
- Possible discrimination of roots from different species
- No destruction of samples
- Spectral data can easily be re-analysed when models are improved
- Time consuming steps (field sampling, washing of soil cores and acquisition of NIR images)
- Some spectral confusion between the elements of the samples in shadow areas Underestimation during quantification if elements overlap on images
  - Models need to be regularly recalibrated

#### **References**:

- Eylenbosch, D., Fernandez Pierna, J. A., Baeten, V., Bodson, B., 2014. Detection of wheat root and straw in soil by use of NIR hyperspectral imaging spectroscopy and Partial Least Square discriminant analysis, in: proceedings of the ESA XIIIth Congress, Debrecen, Hungary, pp. 237-238.
- Fernández Pierna, J. A., Baeten, V., Michotte Renier, A., et al., 2004. Combination of support vector machines (SVM) and near-infrared (NIR) imaging spectroscopy for the detection of meat and bone meal (MBM) in compound feeds. J Chemometr., 18, pp. 341-349.
- Vermeulen, P., Fernández Pierna, J. A., van Egmond, H. P., et al., 2012. Online detection and quantification of ergot bodies in cereals using near infrared hyperspectral imaging. Food Addit Contam A, 29(2), pp. 232–240.
- Snee R.D., 1977. Validation of regression models: methods and examples. Technometrics, 19, pp. 415