Ion channel degeneracy enables robust and tunable neuronal firing rates
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Firing rate is an important means of encoding information in the nervous system. To reliably encode a wide range of signals, neurons need to achieve a broad range of firing frequencies and to move smoothly between low and high firing rates. This can be achieved with specific ionic currents, such as A-type potassium currents, which can linearize the frequency-input current curve. By applying recently developed mathematical tools to a number of biophysical neuron models, we show how currents that are classically thought to permit low firing rates can paradoxically cause a jump to a high minimum firing rate when expressed at higher levels. Consequently, achieving and maintaining a low firing rate is surprisingly difficult and fragile in a biological context. This difficulty can be overcome via interactions between multiple currents, implying a need for ion channel degeneracy in the tuning of neuronal properties.

Results

Type I Excitability Exists over a Limited Range of Ion Channel Densities. The classic linearizing effect of $I_A$ on a Type II FI curve is shown in Fig. 1, Left and Middle. FI curves were generated using the Connor–Stevens model (2, 3) with firing frequency measured at steady state in response to current injection. Fig. 1, Left, shows Type II behavior: As input current increases, there is a sharp transition from no spiking to repetitive spiking at the current threshold. Fig. 1, Middle, shows the classic result (2, 3) that adding an inactivating potassium conductance ($I_A$) smooths out (or linearizes) the FI curve near current threshold, allowing the neuron to fire at arbitrarily low frequencies. However, increasing $I_A$ further results in a transition back to a Type II-like FI curve, which we call Type II*, and where, once again, a sharp transition in firing frequency is observed at threshold (Fig. 1, Right). To the best of our knowledge, previous analyses have not documented, nor explained this second transition.

This transformation from Type II to Type I is also seen under completely different circumstances, as shown in Fig. 2A. Here we used the same model neuron as in Fig. 1 and compared the effects of adding the A-type conductance with the effects caused by instead adding a noninactivating voltage-gated (L-type-like) calcium conductance ($I_{Ca}$).

There are notable similarities and differences between the effects of these two conductances on the original FI curve. First, we see that the two conductances induce opposite changes in the current threshold (Fig. 2A, Left). Current threshold increases as $I_A$ conductance density increases, whereas increases in $I_{Ca}$ result in progressively lower (hyperpolarized) current thresholds. This contrasting effect on current threshold is intuitive given the fact that $I_A$ corresponds to an outward current, whereas $I_{Ca}$ is inward. However, both conductances induce exactly the same sequence of transitions in FI curve shape, from Type II, to Type I, and back to Type II-like (Type-II*) as conductance density increases. Importantly, the membrane potential waveforms at comparable points in
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Neurons need to be able to tune their firing rates to the input they receive. This requires a complex balance of different kinds of ion channels in the neuronal membrane, and most neurons express many more kinds of ion channels than are strictly necessary to produce spikes. We apply recently developed analysis techniques to uncover a hidden fragility in the spiking properties of neurons. Achieving a smooth relationship between input and output in a neuron is more difficult than previously thought, but reliable spiking rates can be achieved using multiple ion channel types with overlapping or degenerate properties. Our findings therefore suggest that biology exploits degeneracy to solve a difficult physiological tuning problem.
Increasing A-type potassium current or L-type calcium current in the Connor-Stevens model switches neuron excitability from Type II to Type I back to Type II*. The top of each panel shows membrane potential ($V_m$) traces for two different step input currents ($I_{app}$) (black and blue traces). The bottom of each panel shows neuron firing rate as a function of the input current (FI curve). Black points on the FI curves correspond to each of the example traces shown above.

Fig. 1. Increasing A-type potassium channel density in the Connor-Stevens model switches neuron excitability from Type II to Type I back to Type II*. The three panels show simulation results of the Connor-Stevens model for different values of A-type potassium channel density: (Left) $g_A=0 \text{ mS/cm}^2$, (Middle) $g_A=90 \text{ mS/cm}^2$, and (Right) $g_A=180 \text{ mS/cm}^2$. The top of each panel shows membrane potential ($V_m$) traces for two different step input currents ($I_{app}$) (black and blue traces). The bottom of each panel shows neuron firing rate as a function of the input current (FI curve). Black points on the FI curves correspond to each of the example traces shown above.

the FI curves are indistinguishable between the $I_A$ and $I_{Ca}$ cases (Fig. 2A, Middle).

Previous analyses have examined the IV (current–voltage) curve of a neuron in the Type I and Type II regimes, showing that Type I neurons have a nonmonotonic IV curve in voltage range near threshold. Type II neurons, by contrast, have a monotonic IV curve. This result is seen in Fig. 2B: The IV curves where both $g_A$ and $g_{Ca}$ equal 0 mS cm$^{-2}$ (Type II) are monotonically increasing, but become nonmonotonic as the neuron switches to Type I ($g_A=90 \text{ mS/cm}^2$ or $g_{Ca}=0.4 \text{ mS/cm}^2$). However, monotonicity is not recovered for the transition to Type II*, showing that the IV curve does not unambiguously determine Type I behavior.

The task of relating the shape of an FI curve to the dynamics of individual conductances is complicated by the nonlinear nature of voltage-gated conductances, and a large literature on this problem exists (2, 5, 8, 9, 22–30). However, the observation that two completely different currents can induce qualitatively similar changes in FI curve shape suggests a general underlying mechanism. Furthermore, the fact that we observe the same sequence of transitions (Type II–Type I–Type II*) under different conditions suggests that the novel transition from Type I to Type II* might also belong to such a general mechanism.

Type I Excitability Requires Voltage-Insensitive Transmembrane Current at Potentials Just Beneath Threshold. To establish a general mechanistic understanding of the Type II–Type I–Type II* transitions, we exploited recent results that provide a general step-by-step algorithm for splitting the total membrane conductance in a neuron into components at different timescales (see Methods and
Neuron minimal firing frequency ($f_0$) is shaped by the value of the conductance ($g_{Ca}$) for after-hyperpolarization (AHP), and the small magnitude of the current ($I_{Na}$), approaches zero as the FI curve transitions from Type II from either Type II or Type II* (Fig. 3B). From this observation, it is intuitively clear that pure Type I, which corresponds to an infinite interspike interval at $V_{th}$, is bounded by two Type II-like regions. This fact turns out to be crucial in understanding why any change in conductance that causes a transition from Type II to Type I is generically followed by a transition back to a Type II-like FI curve. We provide a heuristic understanding of this transition in what follows, followed by a more rigorous phase plane analysis.

For a neuron to continuously fire at a low rate, it must maintain an extremely small transmembrane depolarizing current during the interspike interval. This simple fact results from the membrane equation $C_m dV/dt = -I_m$, and the small magnitude of the current has been carefully characterized experimentally (31). Maintaining such a small current implies that voltage dependence of the membrane conductance is relatively insensitive to the membrane potential variations occurring between two spikes. This sensitivity is characterized by the value of $g(V_{th})$.

In the absence of both $I_{Na}$ and $I_{Ca}$, $g(V_{th})$ is strictly negative (Fig. 3B, dark blue curves). This means that the transmembrane current is restorative around threshold potential ($g_e$ experiences negative feedback). In this case, the depolarizing current flowing during the interspike interval decreases as the membrane potential depolarizes, mainly due to the activation of the delayed-rectifier potassium current. Regular spiking is therefore only achievable if the subthreshold depolarizing current is sufficiently large to be maintained during the whole interspike interval.
which imposes a minimum rate of membrane potential variation and thus a minimal firing frequency and a jump in the FI curve.

In the presence of a large density of either \( I_A \) or \( I_{Ca} \), \( g(V) \) is positive (Fig. 3B, green and red curves). This means that the transmembrane current is regenerative around threshold potential (\( g \), experiences positive feedback). In this case, the depolarizing current flowing during the interspike interval amplifies as the membrane potential depolarizes, due to the inactivation of \( I_A \) or the activation of \( I_{Ca} \). As a result, an arbitrarily small depolarizing current cannot be maintained during the interspike interval, which again imposes a minimum rate of membrane potential variation, manifesting as a minimal firing frequency and as a jump in the FI curve.

The fact that both \( I_A \) and \( I_{Ca} \) can cause a transition from restorative [negative \( g(V) \)] to regenerative [positive \( g(V) \)] in the Connor–Stevens model deserves attention. \( I_A \) generates an outward current, whereas \( I_{Ca} \) is inward. However, the relevant gating variable of \( I_A \) in the Connor–Stevens model is the slow inactivation. Inactivation of an outward current that is itself activated by positive membrane potential deflections is a net positive feedback loop. On the other hand, \( I_{Ca} \) activates on a similar slow timescale and promotes positive membrane potential deflections that further amplify the calcium conductance, which is also a positive feedback loop. Thus, due to the way their gating variables behave on the slow timescale, both \( I_A \) and \( I_{Ca} \) have equivalent effects on minimum firing frequency despite contributing opposite membrane currents.

Interspike interval only becomes unbounded as \( g(V) \) becomes very small, which only happens for intermediate values of either \( g_V \) or \( g_{th} \) (Fig. 3B, light blue and purple curves). In this intermediate case, the regenerative effect of \( I_A \) or \( I_{Ca} \) balances the restorative effect of the delayed-rectifier potassium current around threshold potential. In turn, the transmembrane current is barely sensitive to membrane potential variations between two spikes, and an arbitrarily small current can be maintained throughout the whole interspike interval. This allows for an arbitrarily slow rate of membrane potential variation, corresponding to an arbitrarily low minimal firing frequency.

Type I behavior is therefore always a bounded region in parameter space flanked by two dynamical regimes, both of which are characterized by nonzero minimum firing frequencies in an FI curve. This bounded region can be small and therefore fragile, as can be seen in Fig. 5. For example, tuning \( g_{th} \) to achieve Type I behavior requires a tolerance of less than 0.4 mS cm\(^{-2} \), whereas for \( I_A \), this region is 100 times larger in units of maximal conductance. Consequently, for a neuron to achieve Type I behavior, it must carefully balance the expression of currents that strongly modulate \( g \), to remain in the Type I regime. The sensitivity of Type I behavior is observed experimentally and numerically, \( g \), and its associated membrane current must be small throughout the AHP region, and this is, in fact, seen in precise and difficult biophysical experiments (31) as well as detailed modeling studies (32).

Furthermore, increasing \( I_A \) in the Type II* regime of the Connor-Stevens model will only serve to exacerbate the jump to high minimum firing frequency and can never linearize the FI curve.

### Hysteresis in the Type II* FI Curve

There is a qualitative difference between the case where \( g(V) \) is strictly negative (Type II) and strictly positive (Type II*). This difference manifests as hysteresis in the FI curve, which can be revealed by the choice of stimulation protocol. Fig. 4 shows two different FI curve protocols. A more traditional protocol (Fig. 4A, Left) starts from zero current and injects progressively higher amplitude depolarizing current steps, extracting the steady-state firing frequency for each step. For this protocol, no difference is observed in the qualitative shape of the FI curve between the Type II and Type II* regimes.

A difference between Type II and Type II* FI curves becomes apparent by adopting a nonstandard FI curve protocol (Fig. 4A, Right). Starting with steady depolarizing current, this alternative protocol steps down toward zero current. This protocol reveals a lower minimum frequency in the right-hand family of FI curves where \( I_A \) density is high. The novel Type II* regime is therefore accompanied by an additional dynamical feature: hysteresis in the FI curve. An important empirical message is that the choice of protocol (e.g., using the traditional protocol alone) can obscure important dynamical properties of a neuron in an experimental setting. Furthermore, hysteresis of this kind has relevance to how a neuron will interact in a circuit and is also indicative of specific dynamical properties of the underlying conductances.

### Tuning Neuronal Spiking Properties Requires Ion Channel Degeneracy

We have shown that several novel and perhaps counterintuitive relationships exist between FI curves and classically studied currents such as \( I_A \). The DIC method, which is agnostic to the identity of underlying conductances that contribute to \( g \), shows that completely unrelated currents (e.g., inward calcium currents) have dynamically equivalent effects on firing behavior. This has interesting consequences for strategies that neurons can use to tune excitable behavior.

Fig. 5A shows how inclusion of both \( I_A \) and \( I_{Ca} \) in the Connor–Stevens model can allow some physiological properties of the neuron to be tuned while keeping others fixed. As we saw in Fig. 2, both \( I_{Ca} \) and \( I_A \) can induce a Type II–Type I transition and thus are able to control the minimum firing rate of the neuron because they both contribute to \( g(V) \). In addition, the fact that \( I_A \) generates...
outward current whereas \( I_{Ca} \) generates inward current means that the two have opposing effects on the current threshold (Fig. 2).

Fig. 5A (Top Left) shows how current threshold varies as the two conductances are varied independently in the same model. There is a prominent region (solid black arrow) where current threshold is invariant, but the minimum firing frequency varies (Fig. 5A, Top Right, solid black arrow). This path in parameter space defines a family of neurons with fixed current thresholds and variable firing frequencies, as visible in the FI curves measured at several points in this parameter space (Fig. 5A, Bottom Left). Conversely, a neuron can keep minimum firing frequency fixed and vary current threshold by moving in a transverse direction in parameter space (Fig. 5A, Top, dashed black arrows).

The ability to independently tune current threshold and minimum firing frequency is critical for neurons that need to achieve specific firing activities. For instance, a neuron that requires spontaneous low-frequency firing needs to balance ion channel densities to simultaneously achieve Type I excitability \( \text{small } g(V_{th}) \) and set its transmembrane current close to current threshold \( (I_{th} = 0) \). Fig. 5B illustrates this property in the Connor–Stevens model by showing, in a parameterscape (33), how current threshold and minimum firing frequency covary as a function of \( g_A \) and \( g_{Ca} \). Fig. 5B shows that most of the conductance values lead to nonzero

**Fig. 5.** A-type potassium channels and calcium channels correlate to independently tune current threshold and minimum firing rate in the Connor–Stevens model. (A) (Top) Values of current threshold (Left) and minimum firing frequency (Right) in the Connor–Stevens model for different values of \( g_A \) and \( g_{Ca} \). (Bottom) FI curves of the Connor–Stevens model for different couples of values for \( g_A \) and \( g_{Ca} \), each depicted by dots of the corresponding color in the parameter charts. (B) Parameterscape of current threshold (outer rings, color scale) and minimum firing rate (inner circles, grayscale) as a function of \( g_A \) and \( g_{Ca} \) (Top) and membrane potential variations over time for three sets of parameters (Bottom).
Ion Channels Have Paradoxical Effects on Excitability in Different Neuron Types. The generality of the DIC analysis permits us to extract further unanticipated consequences of membrane currents that have been characterized in specific neurons in the literature. Fig. 6 shows three completely different models, along with the Connor–Stevens model. Each model neuron has different kinds of ionic currents at different numerical values of \( g_s \) compared with the full model. The calcium conductance in the STG model behaves the same way as in the Connor–Stevens model, but neither has positive conductances, but neither has positive sensitivity (derivative) of \( g_s \) with respect to the density of each current is computed (Middle). (Right) FI curves of the different models in control condition (black trace) or after an increase in the density of one or the other current type (blue and orange traces).

**Connection to Classical Phase Plane Analysis.** Previous work relies on planar reductions of conductance-based models to analyze dynamics in a phase plane (4, 5, 8, 24, 25, 28, 38–41). Our approach here is quite different and, we hope, more intuitive to physiologists who think about neuronal dynamics in terms of contributions of voltage-dependent ionic currents at different timescales. However, it is important to frame our results using a classical phase plane analysis so that connections can be made to the broadest body of work.

We performed a standard reduction of the Connor–Stevens model of Fig. 1, using the method of ref. 42 to express all of the slow recovery variables in terms of a single slow variable, \( w \) (Methods). Fig. 7 shows the three regimes (Type II, Type I, and Type II* in the reduced model, with their respective phase planes plotted at current threshold.

We see the same qualitative shifts in the FI curve in the reduced model as \( g_s \) is increased (Fig. 7A), although, due to the approximate nature of the planar reduction, the transitions between the three types of FI curves occur at different numerical values of \( g_s \) compared with the full model. The phase plane allows us to show the type of bifurcation responsible for the onset of spiking in each case (Fig. 7B). At low \( g_s \), Type II firing (Fig. 7, Left) occurs due to an Andronov–Hopf bifurcation at a critical value of applied current, \( I_{\text{th}} \), as is widely known from previous analyses (5, 8, 19, 20, 24, 25, 28, 42, 43). As \( g_s \) is increased, a lower branch of the \( V \) nullcline gradually appears (Fig. 7B, Middle and Right), forming a hourglass shape that differs strikingly from the familiar inverted N seen in most planar reductions. The emergence of a lower branch was observed in a previous reduction of the Connor–Stevens model using the method of equivalent potentials (39), although the physiological meaning of this branch remained in question until

---

**Effect on neuron f-I curve**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Current</th>
<th>( g_s(V_{m}) ) sensitivity ( (\partial g_s(V_{m})/\partial V) )</th>
<th>Effect on neuron f-I curve</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( I_A )</td>
<td>-6 \text{x200} \text{freq (Hz)}</td>
<td>140 \text{freq (Hz)}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( I_{Ca} )</td>
<td>6 \text{x200} \text{freq (Hz)}</td>
<td>140 \text{freq (Hz)}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Crab Motor axon (Connor et al., 1977)**

**Crab STG motoneuron (Goldman et al., 2001)**

**Dorsal cochlear nucleus neuron (Kanold and Manis, 2001)**

**Ventral cochlear nucleus neuron (Rothman and Manis, 2003)**
very recent work (19–21), which used singularity theory to prove its existence. The lower branch corresponds to the addition of a positive feedback component in the slow timescale, which coexists with the negative feedback in the single recovery variable, w. The existence of a lower V-nullcline branch turns out to be crucial for understanding Type I and Type II* behavior. In the Type I case (Fig. 7B, Middle), the upper and lower V-nullcline branches kiss at the onset of spiking when $g_A$ is at a critical value ($\sim 25 \text{ mS} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ in the reduced model). For values of $g_A$ close to this critical value, the proximity of the two branches creates a bottleneck in $dV/dt$ (Fig. 7A, Middle Insets) leading to slow spiking characteristic of a Type I membrane. Spiking occurs through a Saddle Node on Invariant Circle (SNIC) bifurcation, as reported in the literature (5, 8, 28). Fig. 7B, Middle Insets, shows the SNIC bifurcation as $g_s(V_h)$ approaches zero from either side [the case $g_s(V_h) = 0$ is shown in Fig. 7B, Middle]. When $g_s(V_h) \leq 0$, the saddle node occurs on the upper branch of the V nullcline; when $g_s(V_h) \geq 0$, the saddle node is on the lower branch. At $g_s(V_h) = 0$, a saddle node occurs when the lower and upper branches of the V nullcline meet at the intersection with the w nullcline. In all three cases, the trajectory is confined to pass through the saddle node (the criterion for a SNIC), permitting long interspike intervals. Furthermore, we see that the region of parameter space that can sustain the SNIC bifurcation and Type I excitability is finite in extent (as opposed to a single point).

Increasing $g_s$ further leads to a situation where the onset of spiking occurs due to a Saddle Node/Fold Limit Cycle bifurcation (see ref. 42). The saddle node bifurcation occurs on the lower V-nullcline branch long before it approaches the upper branch (Fig. 7B, Right). As in the low-$g_A$ Type II case, there is no bottleneck to slow down $dV/dt$ arbitrarily, resulting in a lower bound in spiking frequency and a Type II-like FI curve. Note that SN has, indeed, been shown to produce Type II behavior (44). However, onset and termination of spiking occur at two different bifurcations (Saddle Node and Fold Limit Cycle, respectively), resulting in hysteresis in the FI curve. This hysteresis is much larger and more robust than the one related to the subcritical Hopf bifurcation at $g_A = 0$ (21), such that only the former is observed in the experimental protocol of Fig. 4.

We can bridge the DIC and phase plane viewpoints of Type I excitability by computing $g_s(V_h)$ in the reduced model. By definition, $g_s$ is the derivative of the slow current with respect to membrane potential (18), which is easily computed in the planar reduction because the slow timescale dynamics depend on a single variable, w,

$$g_s = \frac{\partial V}{\partial w} \frac{\partial w}{\partial t}.$$ [1]

From our previous analyses, we have a criterion for Type I excitability, namely $g_s(V_h) = 0$. Examining the terms on the right-hand side of Eq. 1, this implies either the slope of the $w$ nullcline is almost zero at $V_h$ ($\partial w / \partial V \approx 0$) or that the derivative of membrane current with respect to the slow gating variable is around zero at $V_h$ ($\partial V / \partial w \approx 0$). From the phase planes in Fig. 7B, we see that the former case is not possible in the Connor–Stevens model because the bifurcation occurs at a steep point of the $w$ nullcline. Thus, the condition for the SNIC bifurcation differs from the canonical account, which typically shows a SNIC bifurcation occurring in the flat region of the slow recovery variable (see, for example, ref. 5).

Our present analysis therefore illustrates a subtle but important point: The SNIC bifurcation responsible for Type I excitability can occur via multiple mechanisms, and the canonical mechanism may not be representative of all neurons. In particular, any transition to Type I from Type II that is caused by a change in maximal conductances alone can only affect the first term on the right-hand side of Eq. 1 and is therefore likely to occur via the $V$-nullcline bottleneck mechanism described in Fig. 7B as opposed to the canonical mechanism.

**Discussion**

A key step in understanding neuronal membrane potential dynamics is finding a way to isolate and characterize the contributions of the many different ionic conductances present in a typical neuron. Despite the power of conductance-based models for understanding neurophysiology, a clear picture of how individual conductances contribute to features that are physiologically...
meaningful, such as spiking threshold and minimum firing frequency, can be difficult to achieve. In this work, we leverage recently developed theoretical tools to show that a classic result in neurophysiology has a hidden and significant component that is missing from previous work.

$I_s$ is classically thought to linearize an FI curve from Type II to Type I. The implications of this transition for circuit function are widely appreciated. However, as we have shown here, the original model that reproduces this transition has a previously undescribed transition from Type I back to Type II-like behavior (Type II*). We also showed how this transition can be readily understood in terms of components of a summary quantity, the DIC (18). Furthermore, the analysis provides a route to identifying this second transition empirically, by modifying an FI curve protocol to uncover hysteresis.

An important feature of the DIC analysis is its generality. The identity of a membrane conductance, including whether it is inward or outward, does not fully determine a specific physiological phenomena, such as the transition from Type II to Type I. Thus, an inward (calcium) current is able to induce the same transitions as an outward current like $I_s$. This allows neurons to compensate or tune physiologically relevant features of neuronal firing, such as current threshold and minimum firing frequency. Interestingly, as revealed in Fig. 5, these kinds of features can be tuned while keeping other features fixed if maximal conductances are covaried along approximately linear paths in parameter space. This provides a link to recent experimental observations (45-47) and theoretical models of activity-dependent ion channel regulation (48, 49) in which linear correlations between conductance densities are seen.

DIC analysis also reveals and explains paradoxical effects of membrane conductance models in the literature. For example, as we saw in Fig. 6, not all $I_s$ currents in the literature exert the same effect on firing properties of neurons. Depending on their kinetic properties and the model in which they are implemented, A-type currents are capable of inducing opposite effects on the shape of an FI curve. This fact does not challenge the traditional view that $I_s$ linearizes FI curves, but rather, it adds nuance: $I_s$ currents that exert a specific positive shift in the slow component of the DIC at threshold can induce a transition from Type II to Type I. Some, but not all, $I_s$ currents fit into this class.

Type I behavior is difficult to achieve with a minimal set of membrane currents such as the voltage-gated sodium and delayed-rectifier potassium currents in the classical Hodgkin–Huxley model (27), whereas Type II behavior is easier to achieve. Nonetheless, Type I behavior is essential in neural circuits that encode information in firing rate (14), or in situations where slow pacemaking is important physiologically (32, 50). The fact that Type I is a bounded and sometimes small region in parameter space presents a potential regulation problem for a neuron that has only a few different membrane currents. Tuning membrane conductances to achieve Type I behavior can be made easier if a neuron expresses many kinds of ion channels that all contribute to $g_s$. It therefore seems more than a coincidence that there is an abundance of subtypes of A-type channels in many, if not most, nervous system genomes (51–53).

Together, these results point to a clear role for degeneracy in the regulation of intrinsic neuronal properties: Although a minimal set of channel types is sufficient in principle, fine-tuning their expression to achieve precise firing behavior might be biologically unfeasible in practice. On the other hand, a larger palette of currents with some differing properties as well as some overlapping properties makes specific behaviors more accessible and robust.

Methods

Connor–Stevens Model. Model equations are described in ref. 3. Briefly, the model is composed of a leak current $I_{leak}$, a transient sodium current $I_{Na}$, a delayed-rectifier potassium current $I_{Kd}$, and a transient A-type potassium current $I_{Kt}$. In addition, we added nonactivating calcium current $I_{Ca}$ of the form $I_{Ca} = g_{Ca} m_{Ca}^2 (V - V_{Ca})$

where

$$\frac{dm_{Ca}}{dt} = \frac{m_{Ca}(V) - m_{Ca}}{1 + \exp(-0.15(V + 50))};$$

$$m_{Ca}(V) = \frac{1}{\exp(V/V_F) + 1}.$$

Parameters used in simulations are as follows: $C_m = 1 \mu F \cdot cm^{-2}$, $V_{Na} = 55$ mV, $V_{Kd} = -75$ mV, $V_{Ca} = 120$ mV, $V_{th} = -17$ mV, $g_{Na} = 120$ mS cm$^{-2}$, $g_{Kd} = 20$ mS cm$^{-2}$, $g_{Ca} = 0.3$ mS cm$^{-2}$, $g_{Na}(t) = 0, 210$ mS cm$^{-2}$, and $g_{Kd}(t) = 0, 1$ mS cm$^{-2}$. $g_{Na}$ and $g_{Ca}$ are never simultaneously nonzero, with the exception of Fig. 5.

The values of the current steps shown in Fig. 1 are $I_{leak} = 2 \mu A \cdot cm^{-2}$ (black trace) and $I_{app} = 6 \mu A \cdot cm^{-2}$ (blue trace) in the three cases ($g_{Na} = 0$ mS cm$^{-2}$).

Initial applied currents are $I_{app} = 12 \mu A \cdot cm^{-2}$ for $g_{Na} = 0$ mS cm$^{-2}$, $I_{app} = 20$ mS cm$^{-2}$ for $g_{Na} = 90$ mS cm$^{-2}$, and $I_{app} = 60$ mS cm$^{-2}$ for $g_{Na} = 180$ mS cm$^{-2}$. The step responses shown in Fig. 4A are for $g_{Na} = 210$ mS cm$^{-2}$ (current values are depicted on the figure).

The FI curves shown in Figs. 1, 2A, and 4B, Left, are computed using steps of 0.1 mS cm$^{-2}$ of applied current and the initial condition $V(0) = -65$ mV, all other variables being initially set at their steady-state value ($m = m_{app}(V)$).

The FI curves shown in Fig. 4B, Right, are computed similarly using the initial condition $V(0) = 25$ mV.

The IV curves shown in Fig. 2B correspond to the membrane equation with all variables set at their steady-state values [$m = m_{app}(V)$, $...$]. The different IV curves are shifted vertically to achieve similar resting potentials for the three values of $g_{Na}$ (Fig. 2B, Left) or $g_{Ca}$ (Fig. 2B, Right).

DICs in all cases are computed using the method described in ref. 18 using two timescales (fast and slow).

In Fig. 3, the fast timescale, $r_f$, corresponds to the sodium activation time constant $r_s(V) = m_{app}(V)$, and the slow timescale, $r_s$, corresponds to the potassium activation time constant $r_k(V) = m_{app}(V)$. The threshold potential $V_{th}$ is estimated to be -50 mV.

Plots showing the relationship between the minimum frequency and the value of the slow DIC at spike threshold, $g_{Na}(V_F)$ (Fig. 3B, Right), are generated for values of $g_{Na}$ ranging from 0 mS cm$^{-2}$ to 210 mS cm$^{-2}$ by steps of 2 mS cm$^{-2}$, or for values of $g_{Na}$ ranging from 0 mS cm$^{-2}$ to 1 mS cm$^{-2}$ by steps of 0.01 mS cm$^{-2}$. The minimum frequency is extracted using steps of 0.001 mS cm$^{-2}$ off of applied current and the initial condition $V(0) = -65$ mV, all other variables being initially set at their steady-state value. The diagram shown in Fig. 4D is computed similarly using the two initial conditions $V(0) = -65$ mV and $V(0) = -25$ mV. The value of $g_{Na}(V_F)$ is computed for each case as described above.

Parameter maps shown at the top of Fig. 5 A and B are computed as above by independently varying $g_{Na}$ and $g_{Ca}$. The $g_{Na}$ ranges from 0 mS cm$^{-2}$ to 60 mS cm$^{-2}$ in steps of 2 mS cm$^{-2}$, and $g_{Ca}$ ranges from 0 mS cm$^{-2}$ to 0.5 mS cm$^{-2}$ in steps of 0.02 mS cm$^{-2}$.

Reduced Connor–Stevens Model. We reduced the Connor–Stevens model following the method described in ref. 42. Sodium channel activation and A-type potassium channel activation are merged in the fast timescale [$m_{Na} = m_{Na,app}(V)$ and $m_{Ca} = m_{Ca,app}(V)$]. Delayed-rectifier potassium channel activation, sodium channel inactivation, and A-type potassium channel inactivation variables are merged into a single slow variable $w [m_{Na} = w, h_{Na} = h_{Na,app}(V), h_s = h_{Na,app}(V)]$. We set $w_{Na}(V) = m_{Na,app}(V)$. Because $m_{Ca,app}(V)$ is not invertible in closed form in the original CS model, we use the exponential fit

$$m_{Ca,app}(V) = \frac{1}{1.05 + \exp(-0.055(41.6 + V))},$$

which gives

$$m_{Ca,app}(V) = \frac{-200 \log(1 - 21)/(210)}/13 - 208/5.$$

Parameters for the phase portraits of Fig. 7B are $g_{Na} = 0$ mS cm$^{-2}$ and $I_{app} = -9.81 \mu A \cdot cm^{-2}$ (Fig. 7B, Left), $g_{Na} = 25$ mS cm$^{-2}$ and $I_{app} = -1.09 \mu A \cdot cm^{-2}$ (Fig. 7B, Middle), and $g_{Na} = 0$ mS cm$^{-2}$ and $I_{app} = 8.66 \mu A \cdot cm^{-2}$ (Fig. 7B, Right). All other parameters are as described in the full model.
STG Neuron Model. Full model equations are described in ref. 34. Briefly, the model is composed of a leak current $I_{leak}$, a transient sodium current $I_{Na}$, a delayed rectifier potassium current $I_{K_d}$, a transient A-type potassium current $I_{K}$, two high-threshold transient calcium currents $I_{Ca_T}$ and $I_{Ca_L}$, and voltage-gated calcium-activated potassium current $I_{K_Ca}$. Parameters used in simulations are as follows: $C_m = 0.628 \text{pF \cdot cm}^{-2}$, $V_m = 60 \text{mV}$, $V_h = 80 \text{mV}$, $V_{Na_k} = -50 \text{mV}$, $V_{K_d} = 90 \text{mV}$, $V_{K_2} = 0.01 \text{mV}$, $g_{Ca_T} = 0 \text{mS \cdot cm}^{-2}$, $g_{Ca_L} = 0 \text{mS \cdot cm}^{-2}$, $g_{K_Ca} = 0.78 \text{mS \cdot cm}^{-2}$, $g_{K_d} = 0.5 \text{mS \cdot cm}^{-2}$ or 40 $\text{mS \cdot cm}^{-2}$. The threshold potential $V_{th}$ is estimated around $-50 \text{mV}$.

The $g_0$ sensitivity is computed by taking the derivative of the slow DIC at spike threshold over the A-type potassium current maximal conductance $\frac{\partial g_0(V_t)}{\partial g_{K_d}}$ or over the transient calcium current maximal conductance $\frac{\partial g_0(V_t)}{\partial g_{Ca_L}}$ as appropriate. DIC timescales are chosen as follows: $t_{f1}(V) = \tau_{f1}(V)$, $t_{f2}(V) = \tau_{f2}(V)$, and $t_{r1}(V) = \tau_{r1}(V)$. For the fast action potentials of 0.01 $\mu\text{A \cdot cm}^{-2}$ of applied current. Initial conditions are $V_{m0} = -60 \text{mV} \text{ and } V_{h0} = 30 \text{mV}$, with all other variables set to their state-steady value. The values of the conductances in each case are $g_{Ca_T} = 0.78 \text{mS \cdot cm}^{-2}$ and $g_{Ca_L} = 0 \text{mS \cdot cm}^{-2}$ or $g_{Ca_L} = 40 \text{mS \cdot cm}^{-2}$ (blue curve), and $g_{K_Ca} = 1.2 \text{mS \cdot cm}^{-2}$ and $g_{K_d} = 0 \text{mS \cdot cm}^{-2}$ (orange curve).

DCN Neuron Model. Model equations are described in ref. 35. Briefly, the model is composed of a leak current $I_{leak}$, a transient sodium current $I_{Na}$, a nonactivating potassium current $I_{K_1}$, two inactivating potassium currents $I_{K_2}$ (called $I_{K_2}$ in the present paper) and $I_{K_3}$ (called $I_{K_3}$ in the present paper), and a hyperpolarization-activated cation current $I_{h}$. Parameters used in simulations are as follows: $C_m = 12.5 \text{pF}$, $g_{Na} = 50 \text{mS}$, $V_h = 81.5 \text{mV}$, $V_{Na_k} = -43 \text{mV}$, $V_{K_d} = -57.7 \text{mV}$, $g_{K_d} = 350 \text{mS}$, $g_{K_1} = 80 \text{mS}$, $g_{K_2} = 2.8 \text{mS}$, $g_{K_3} = 3 \text{mS}$, $g_{h} = 40 \text{mS}$ or 60 $\text{mS}$, and $g_{h} = 150 \text{mS}$ or 60 $\text{mS}$. The threshold potential $V_{th}$ is estimated to be $-50 \text{mV}$. The $g_0$ sensitivity is computed by taking the derivative of the slow DIC at spike threshold with respect to the fast-inactivating potassium current maximal conductance $\frac{\partial g_0(V_t)}{\partial g_{K_2}}$ and with respect to the slowly inactivating potassium current maximal conductance $\frac{\partial g_0(V_t)}{\partial g_{K_3}}$ in each case. DIC timescales are chosen as follows: $t_{f1}(V) = \tau_{f1}(V)$, $t_{f2}(V) = \tau_{f2}(V)$, and $t_{r1}(V) = \tau_{r1}(V)$. FI curves are computed using steps of 0.1 $\mu\text{A \cdot cm}^{-2}$ of applied current and the initial condition $V_m = -50 \text{mV}$, all other variables being initially set at their state-steady value. The values of the conductances in each case are $g_{K_2} = 0 \text{mS \cdot cm}^{-2}$ and $g_{K_3} = 200 \text{mS \cdot cm}^{-2}$ (blue curve), $g_{K_2} = 0 \text{mS \cdot cm}^{-2}$ and $g_{K_3} = 400 \text{mS \cdot cm}^{-2}$ (blue curve), and $g_{K_1} = 3 \text{mS \cdot cm}^{-2}$ and $g_{K_3} = 200 \text{mS \cdot cm}^{-2}$ (orange curve).

The FI curves are computed using steps of 1 $\mu\text{A \cdot cm}^{-2}$ of applied current and the initial condition $V_m = -50 \text{mV}$, all other variables being initially set at their state-steady value. The values of the conductances in each case are $g_{K_2} = 0 \text{mS \cdot cm}^{-2}$ and $g_{K_3} = 200 \text{mS \cdot cm}^{-2}$ (blue curve), and $g_{K_1} = 3 \text{mS \cdot cm}^{-2}$ and $g_{K_3} = 200 \text{mS \cdot cm}^{-2}$ (orange curve).

VCN Neuron Model. Model and equations are described in ref. 36. The model is composed of a leak current $I_{leak}$, a transient sodium current $I_{Na}$, a low-threshold potassium current $I_{h}$ (called $I_{h}$ in the present paper), a high-threshold potassium current $I_{h}$ (called $I_{h}$ in the present paper), and a hyperpolarization-activated cation current $I_{h}$. Parameters used in simulations are as follows: $C_m = 12 \text{pF}$, $V_m = 55 \text{mV}$, $V_{Na_k} = -70 \text{mV}$, $V_{K_2} = -43 \text{mV}$, $V_{K_3} = -65 \text{mV}$, $g_{Na} = 1,000 \text{mS}$, $g_{h} = 0 \text{mS}$. The threshold potential $V_{th}$ is estimated around $-50 \text{mV}$. The $g_0$ sensitivity is computed by taking the derivative of the slow DIC at spike threshold with respect to the A-type potassium maximal conductance $\frac{\partial g_0(V_t)}{\partial g_{K_d}}$ or the low-threshold potassium maximal conductance $\frac{\partial g_0(V_t)}{\partial g_{K_2}}$ as appropriate. DIC timescales are chosen as follows: $t_{f1}(V) = \tau_{f1}(V)$, $t_{f2}(V) = \tau_{f2}(V)$, and $t_{r1}(V) = \tau_{r1}(V)$. FI curves are computed using steps of 0.1 $\mu\text{A \cdot cm}^{-2}$ of applied current and the initial condition $V_m = -50 \text{mV}$, all other variables being initially set at their state-steady value. The values of the conductances in each case are $g_{K_2} = 0 \text{mS \cdot cm}^{-2}$ and $g_{K_3} = 200 \text{mS \cdot cm}^{-2}$ (blue curve), $g_{K_2} = 0 \text{mS \cdot cm}^{-2}$ and $g_{K_3} = 400 \text{mS \cdot cm}^{-2}$ (blue curve), and $g_{K_1} = 3 \text{mS \cdot cm}^{-2}$ and $g_{K_3} = 200 \text{mS \cdot cm}^{-2}$ (orange curve).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. The authors acknowledge constructive discussions with Bruce Bean (Harvard University), Alessio Franci (Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, UNAM), Juliana G Jorgevics (Brandeis University), and members of the E.M. laboratory. Funding was provided by National Institutes of Health PO1 NS 079149 and the Charles A. King Trust (to T.O.D.). G.D. is a Marie-Curie COFUND postdoctoral fellow at the University of Liège. Cofunding was provided by the European Union.


10 of 10 | www.pnas.org/cgi/doi/10.1073/pnas.1516400112


