Pulse pressure and cardiovascular autonomic neuropathy according to duration of type 1 diabetes
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Abstract

Background To evaluate changes in pulse pressure (PP) and markers of cardiovascular autonomic neuropathy (CAN) according to duration of type 1 diabetes mellitus (T1DM).

Methods This cross-sectional controlled study evaluated 159 diabetic patients during a 3-min posture test (standing-squatting- standing) with continuous measurement of systolic (SBP), diastolic (DBP) and mean (MBP) blood pressure by a Finapres device. Arterial stiffness was indirectly assessed by PP and the slope of PP as a function of MBP calculated during the whole 3-min test. CAN was assessed by the expiration/inspiration pulse interval ratio (E/I R-R ratio) during deep breathing and by three indices measured during the squatting test. Patients were divided into four groups according to diabetes duration (<10 years, 11-20 years, 21-30 years and >30 years from group 1 to group 4, respectively) and compared with age-matched non-diabetic subjects.

Results PP progressively increased \( (p < 0.0001) \) and PP/MBP decreased \( (p < 0.0005) \) according to T1DM duration, whereas these parameters remained almost unchanged in age-matched control subjects. E/I ratio \( (p < 0.0001) \) and baroreflex gain \( (p < 0.0005) \) progressively decreased with T1DM duration. The parasympathetic index (squatting test vagal ratio - SqTv) significantly increased \( (p < 0.0001) \), whereas the sympathetic index (squatting test sympathetic ratio - SqTs) only tended to decrease \( (p = 0.12) \) according to diabetes duration. No such changes in CAN indices were observed in the non-diabetic population.

Conclusions PP increased according to T1DM duration in an age range where PP remained almost stable in controls, in agreement with accelerated arterial stiffening due to chronic hyperglycaemia. The baroreflex gain decreased and other indices of CAN also deteriorated with diabetes duration, more so indices reflecting parasympathetic rather than sympathetic dysfunction.
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Introduction

Arterial pulse pressure (PP) is a surrogate marker of large artery stiffness and is considered as an independent cardiovascular disease (CVD) risk factor in the general population [1], as shown in two epidemiological studies, the Framingham study [2] and the PROCAM study [3]. Increased PP as a marker of CVD risk has been shown to be present in both normotensive and hypertensive individuals [4]. Similar observations have also been reported in three large longitudinal studies of patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM), a population with a well-known high CVD risk [5-7].

The concept of a more pronounced increase in arterial stiffness and the reality of an early vascular ageing in type 1 diabetes mellitus (T1DM) were evoked one more time [8,9]. However, studies regarding PP are rather scarce in patients with T1DM. In the Finnish Diabetic Nephropathy (FinnDiane) study [10], a higher systolic blood pressure (BP) and an earlier decrease in diastolic BP resulted in a higher and more rapidly increasing PP in T1DM patients as compared with non-diabetic control subjects. In the EURODIAB study [11,12], PP was a risk factor for CVD and total mortality in T1DM patients. Taking together, these two large studies suggest that accelerated arterial aging may contribute to the higher CVD morbidity and mortality in patients with T1DM.

Cardiovascular autonomic neuropathy (CAN) is an underestimated complication of diabetes [13-15]. It is usually detected by the assessment of changes in heart rate (HR) and/or BP during various dynamic tests [13,15]. It has been shown to be associated with an increased mortality in a meta-analysis of available studies in patients with
T1DM [16]. CAN was also associated with increased cardiovascular risk factors and increased mortality in the EURODIAB IDDM Complications Study [12,17].

The aim of the present study was to investigate the influence of the duration of T1DM on PP, as indirect marker of arterial stiffness and primary endpoint, and on markers of CAN, as secondary endpoints, in patients who were evaluated during an original squatting test with continuous monitoring of arterial BP and HR. The results were compared with a non-diabetic population matched for age to discriminate between the effect of diabetes duration and normal aging [18].

Methods

Patients

A total of 159 T1DM patients were evaluated. Patients have been recruited among the patients followed in our department. Inclusion criteria were the following: T1DM patients between 20 and 60 years, male and female, body mass index < 30 kg/m², duration of diabetes > 1 year and HbA1c < 12%. Exclusion criteria were the following: history of CVD, including hypertension; any severe illness during the previous 3 months; renal insufficiency defined as an estimated creatinine clearance below 45 mL/min; medications that may significantly influence cardiovascular reflexes (for instance, tricyclic antidepressants, antipsychotics, etc.). Thirty patients were treated with an angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor (ACEI) or an angiotensin AT1 receptor blocker (ARB), as monotherapy. Such pharmacological treatment was initiated since at least 3 months, at a stable dose, because of the presence of microalbuminuria, in absence of hypertension. It has been shown that monotherapy with inhibitors of the renin-angiotensin system only modestly affects arterial stiffness [19]. Furthermore, in a pilot study, we showed that such treatment does not significantly influence CAN indices measured during a squatting test (unpublished; data not shown). Microalbuminuria was present in 23 patients (being treated or not with an inhibitor of the renin-angiotensin system; see further details below). Insulin therapy systematically consisted in a basal-prandial regimen, with multiple daily injections in 135 patients and a continuous subcutaneous insulin infusion with a portable pump in 24 patients.

Subjects were retrospectively separated according to diabetes duration: group 1: ≤10 years (G1; n = 39); group 2: 11-20 years (G2; n = 45); group 3: 21-30 years (G3; n = 57); and group 4: > 30 years (G4; n = 18).

To differentiate the effects of duration of T1DM from the effect of normal aging [18], healthy subjects were used as controls and matched for age, sex and body mass index (n = 28-30 in each subgroup; Table 1). The study was accepted by the ethical committee of our institution.

Orthostatic test

The squatting test (successively 1 min standing, 1 min squatting, 1 min standing in our in-house protocol) is an original active orthostatic test that leads to the most important and fast variations of the hydrostatic level with posture, and consequently, most strongly stimulates the homeostatic loop to maintain BP [20,21]. Squatting produces a prompt increase in cardiac output and arterial BP, essentially attributed to augmented venous return from compression of leg veins. These changes are accompanied by an immediate decrease in HR and forearm vascular resistance, probably due to activation of cardiopulmonary and arterial baroreflexes [22]. We recently reported, using the same diabetic population as in the present study, that squatting amplifies PP increase with diabetes duration [23]. Interestingly, the same squatting test has also been used with success in patients with T1DM or T2DM to derive various vagal and sympathetic indices able to detect CAN (see below) [24,25].

BP and HR monitoring

Changes in systolic and diastolic BP, and thus mean BP (MBP), and in HR were measured continuously with a Finapres (from FINger Arterial PRESsure) instrument (Ohmeda, USA) that allows to carefully study cardiovascular reflexes [26-28]. The Finapres is based on servoplethysmomanometry, employing the volume clamp technique at the finger level. PP was automatically calculated by the difference between systolic BP and diastolic BP.
Table 1. Characteristics of the diabetic patients (D) with type I diabetes (T1DM) and non-diabetic subjects (ND) used as controls in the four subgroups divided according to diabetes duration and age matching, respectively, and average mean blood pressure (MBP), systolic blood pressure (SBP), diastolic blood pressure (DBP) and heart rate (HR) values recorded during the 3-min squatting test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group 1 (≤10 years)</th>
<th>Group 2 (11-20 years)</th>
<th>Group 3 (21-30 years)</th>
<th>Group 4 (≥30 years)</th>
<th>ANOVA G1-G4 (p value)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>34 ± 10</td>
<td>37 ± 10</td>
<td>45 ± 11</td>
<td>44 ± 11</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex ratio</td>
<td>17F/17M</td>
<td>17F/13M</td>
<td>21F/24M</td>
<td>14F/16M</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>22.6 ± 3.3</td>
<td>23.1 ± 2.8</td>
<td>23.5 ± 2.4</td>
<td>23.6 ± 3.3</td>
<td>0.1005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1DM duration (years)</td>
<td>6 ± 3</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>16 ± 3</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR (bpm)</td>
<td>90 ± 12</td>
<td>86 ± 9</td>
<td>88 ± 15*</td>
<td>81 ± 10</td>
<td>0.0518</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results are expressed as mean ± SD. BMI, body mass index; NS, non-significant; NA, not applicable; ACEI, angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor; ARB, angiotensin AT1 receptor blocker; µAlb, microalbuminuria; HbA1c, glycated haemoglobin. Unpaired t test D versus ND: *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01.

Assessment of arterial stiffness

Arterial stiffness was indirectly estimated from PP values [1,29]. Average values of PP were calculated for each subject throughout the three phases of the squatting test. Separate values in either the squatting position or in the standing position were reported elsewhere [23]. Here, only overall PP, i.e. PP calculated during the 3-min test while standing-squatting-standing, after exclusion of the transition phases, was used in the analysis. The percentages of subjects with PP > 60 mm Hg, a threshold associated with higher CVD risk in T2DM [6], were calculated in each subgroup of subjects. We also measured the slope of PP as a function of MBP (PP/MBP) obtained from the 3-min BP recordings. This slope reflects the damping capacity of the large arteries that transform the discontinuous flow generated by the left ventricle into a continuous flow [30].

Detection of CAN

Numerous methods have been proposed to detect CAN in diabetic patients [31,32]. The deep-breathing test (6 cycles per minute, in sitting position) allows the calculation of maximum pulse (R-R) interval duration (during expiration = E) and minimum R-R interval duration (during inspiration = I): the so-called E/I R-R ratio is decreasing with CAN (thus being used as a marker of CAN in clinical practice), but also with age (thus imposing a correction in age-matched control group) [31,32]. CAN was also defined as HR change during deep breathing <10 bpm, i.e. a E/I R-R ratio <1.10, which was proposed as abnormal by Ewing et al. [31].

Another marker of CAN could be derived from the measurement of the baroreflex sensitivity [33]. We previously reported that the baroreflex gain can be calculated during the transition phase from squatting to standing [14,34]. The abrupt drop in MBP is associated with a reflex tachycardia, which is followed by a return to baseline values of both parameters (MBP increase and HR decrease). Such haemodynamic changes are similar to those seen when using a vasodilating drug (hypotension with reflex tachycardia) and a vasoconstricting agent (hypertension associated with bradycardia) [33]. The baroreflex gain was calculated by plotting the pulse intervals (R-R) against MBP during the transition phase from squatting to standing. The slope represents the baroreflex sensitivity and corresponds to changes in pulse intervals per millimetre change in arterial BP. In a pilot study [34], we were able to demonstrate that the reduction of baroreflex gain during the squatting test is a sensitive marker of CAN in patients with T1DM.

Finally, we also measured two autonomic indices based on the HR changes during the squatting test [24,25]. Indeed, HR responses observed during squatting and after standing may be useful to assess the functional integrity of cardiovascular autonomic nerves. The bradycardia during squatting is under vagal control, whereas tachycardia after standing from squatting is secondary to sympathetic stimulation [25]. The squatting-induced response was expressed by SqT vagal ratio (SqTv), which is the ratio between the baseline R-R interval (mean of
10 beats just before squatting) and the longest R-R interval in the first 15 s of squatting, and SqT sympathetic ratio (SqTs), which is the ratio between the baseline R-R interval and the shortest R-R interval in the first 10-20 s of standing after squatting, as previously described [24,25].

Other measurements

Blood glucose control was assessed by concomitant HbA1c levels (normal values 4-6%) to have an idea of the recent blood glucose control in the diabetic patients participating to the study.

Incipient nephropathy was defined as the presence of increased albumin excretion rate, either microalbuminuria (30-300 mg/L) or mild proteinuria (300-600 mg/L), measured by standard methods on one single overnight urine sample (data available in 125 out of 159 patients).

Statistical analysis

The required sample size to have an 80% chance of detecting as significant (at the two sided 5% level), a 10 mm Hg difference in PP between two different subgroups, with an assumed standard deviation of PP of 14 mm Hg, was 16 patients in each subgroup. A difference of 10 mm Hg was chosen as clinically significant because it has been shown to be associated with increased CVD (i.e. R-R of 1.11-95% CI 1.01-1.21-per 10mm Hg increase in PP) [5] and a higher mortality [12] in the large EURODIAB cohort of T1DM patients. Diabetes duration-related (in the diabetic population) and age-related (in the non-diabetic population) changes in parameters were compared between groups with two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and, where overall differences existed, post hoc analyses were conducted with the Bonferroni correction for multiple unpaired t tests. Results were expressed as mean ± SD values for continuous variables. Comparisons of prevalence of categorical variables (E/I R-R ratio < 1.10, baroreflex gain < 2.5 and PP> 60 mm Hg) used \( \chi^2 \) tests both for comparing two corresponding subgroups and for evaluating a significant trend from Gl to G4. A \( p \) value of less than 0.05 was considered significant.

Results

BP continuous monitoring during the squatting test

HR was significantly higher in diabetic than in non-diabetic patients (Figure 1, Table 1). This difference tended to be greater from Gl to G4. Especially, the relative bradycardia in the squatting position, observed in all subgroups of non-diabetic subjects, progressively vanished in diabetic patients from Gl to G4 (Figure 1, left panels). Furthermore, the overall reflex tachycardia accompanying the transition from squatting to standing position tended to be progressively dampened from Gl to G4 in the T1DM population.

Almost similar changes in MBP were observed in diabetic and non-diabetic subjects as far as the first three groups G1-G3 were concerned (Figure 1, middle panels). In contrast, G4 including patients with longer T1DM duration was characterized by lower MBP in the initial standing position, a quite similar MBP rise during squatting, a marked and almost comparable early MBP drop during the active transition phase from squatting to standing, but a delayed return to baseline values in theorthostatic position (a marker of the presence of CAN, as previously reported) [27].

PP (Figure 1, right panels) was almost stable during the initial 1 min standing position. Whereas it was similar in diabetic and non-diabetic patients in Gl and G2, it was higher in diabetic than in non-diabetic subjects in G3 and G4. This difference appeared even more marked in the squatting position during which a significant PP increase was observed in all groups but of greater amplitude in patients with diabetes duration more than 20 years (G3 and G4) [23]. After the transition from squatting to standing, the PP return to baseline initial values was quite similar in all groups.

Effects of diabetes duration on arterial stiffness markers

MBP remained almost stable across the four diabetic G1-G4 groups. Indeed, the progressive increase in systolic BP was compensated by a graduate concomitant decrease in diastolic BP (Table 1). This resulted in a progressive increase in overall PP according to diabetes duration (\( p < 0.0001; \) Table 2). There was a marked difference between G1-G2 and G3-G4 in the diabetic population (49 ± 14 vs 59 ± 14 mm Hg; \( p < 0.00002 \)), but not in the control group matched for age (51 ± 12 vs 50 ± 15 mm Hg; NS). Percentages of subjects with PP > 60 mm Hg steadily increased in the diabetic population (21% vs 24% vs 37% vs 61% from Gl to G4, respectively;
The slope of PP as a function of MBP (PP/MBP) progressively increased from Gl to G4 in the diabetic population ($p < 0.0005$), whereas it did not change significantly across the four subgroups of control individuals ($\rho = 0.3954$; Table 2).

**Effects of diabetes duration on CAN markers**

The E/I R-R ratio progressively decreased with the duration of T1DM ($\rho < 0.0001$; Table 2). No significant differences were observed neither between Gl and G2 nor between G3 and G4. In contrast, the differences were highly significant between pooled G1-G2 values and pooled G3-G4 values: $1.32 \pm 0.17$ versus $1.19 \pm 0.13$; $p < 0.0001$. The proportion of diabetic patients with E/I R-R ratio $< 1.10$ increased gradually from Gl to G4 ($p = 0.006$), whereas no such increase was observed in non-diabetic individuals (Figure 2).

The baroreflex gain progressively decreased from Gl to G4 in diabetic patients ($p = 0.0005$), but not in control subjects ($p = 0.1747$; Table 2). The proportion of subjects with a baroreflex gain $< 2.5$ increased from Gl to G4 in diabetic patients ($p = 0.0149$), whereas it did not change significantly across the four subgroups of control subjects ($\rho = 0.2933$; Figure 2).

**Figure 1.** Changes in heart rate (HR), mean arterial blood pressure (MBP) and pulse pressure (PP) during the orthostatic test (1-min standing ST - 1-min squatting (SQ) - 1-min ST) in the four groups of diabetic (open circles) versus non-diabetic (full circles) subjects. Results correspond to mean values (18 to 57 subjects in diabetic patients and 28 to 30 subjects in non-diabetic subjects). This figure is available in colour online at www.interscience.wiley.com/journal/dmrr

Finally, the HR-derived indices during squatting and post-squatting standing showed a progressive increase in SqTv from Gl to G4 in patients with T1DM ($p = 0.0001$), reflecting the dampened bradycardia during squatting (see above; Figure 1), but not in controls ($p = 0.1507$). In contrast, SqTs did not change significantly across the four subgroups, neither in diabetic ($p = 0.1218$) nor in non-diabetic ($p = 0.4099$) subjects (Table 2).
Effects of the presence of microalbuminuria or inhibitors of the renin-angiotensin system

Diabetic patients with microalbuminuria had a longer duration of T1DM and a higher HbA1c level as compared with patients without microalbuminuria (Table 3). PP was only slightly (NS) higher in T1DM patients with microalbuminuria, whereas PP/MBP was significantly increased ($p = 0.05$) compared with the corresponding value in patients without microalbuminuria. Patients with microalbuminuria had a significantly lower E/I R-R ratio and a trend to a lower baroreflex gain. SqTv and SqTs were similar in both subgroups. However, the significance of the difference regarding PP/MBP disappeared and that regarding E/I R-R ratio became borderline ($p = 0.049$) after adjusting for diabetes duration.

Patients receiving an inhibitor of the renin-angiotensin system were significantly older and with a longer duration of T1DM than patients not receiving such therapy (Table 3). Again, PP/MBP, but not PP, was significantly increased in patients treated with ACEi/ARB. These patients had also positive indices of CAN, i.e. lower E/I R-R ratio, decreased baroreflex gain and increased SqTv index. However, the statistical significance of between-group differences vanished and was not significant anymore after adjustment for diabetes duration.

Table 2. Markers of arterial stiffness (upper part) and of cardiovascular autonomic neuropathy (CAN, lower part) in the diabetic patients (D) with type 1 diabetes and non-diabetic subjects (ND) used as controls in the four subgroups divided according to diabetes duration and age matching, respectively. Results are expressed as mean ± SD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group 1 (&lt;10 years)</th>
<th>Group 2 (10-19 years)</th>
<th>Group 3 (21-30 years)</th>
<th>Group 4 (≥30 years)</th>
<th>ANOVA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(D ≤ 10 years)</td>
<td>(D 11-20 years)</td>
<td>(D 21-30 years)</td>
<td>(D ≥ 30 years)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP (mm Hg)</td>
<td>48 ±16</td>
<td>49 ±11</td>
<td>52 ±13</td>
<td>53 ±13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP/MBP</td>
<td>0.45 ±0.25</td>
<td>0.42 ±0.28</td>
<td>0.51 ±0.38</td>
<td>0.35 ±0.24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E/I R-R ratio</td>
<td>1.34 ±0.15</td>
<td>1.39 ±0.19</td>
<td>1.30 ±0.18</td>
<td>1.36 ±0.15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baroreflex gain</td>
<td>4.1 ±2.4</td>
<td>4.3 ±2.9</td>
<td>3.9 ±3.1</td>
<td>3.9 ±2.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SqTv</td>
<td>0.79 ±0.11</td>
<td>0.80 ±0.10</td>
<td>0.82 ±0.12</td>
<td>0.83 ±0.12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SqTs</td>
<td>1.20 ±0.15</td>
<td>1.28 ±0.18</td>
<td>1.17 ±0.23</td>
<td>1.24 ±0.13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ANOVA results:
- PP: F(3, 214) = 6.8, p < 0.001.
- E/I R-R ratio: F(3, 214) = 4.7, p = 0.009.
- Baroreflex gain: F(3, 214) = 3.2, p = 0.02.
- SqTv: F(3, 214) = 2.1, p = 0.1.
- SqTs: F(3, 214) = 1.4, p = 0.2.

PP, pulse pressure; MBP, mean blood pressure; E/I R-R, expiration/inspiration pulse interval ratio; SqTv, squatting test vagal ratio; SqTs, sympathetic index.

**Discussion**

The main findings of the present study are the following: (1) the demonstration of a progressive PP increase in T1DM patients according to disease duration, especially after 20 years of diabetes, in an age range (<50 years) where such a PP rise was not observed in non-diabetic individuals; (2) the validation of the squatting test to dynamically measure PP and assess CAN, particularly by the calculation of a baroreflex gain, which progressively increased and decreased, respectively, according to diabetes duration; and (3) the more pronounced perturbation of CAN indices of parasympathetic rather than of sympathetic function with T1DM duration.

In the cross-sectional case-control FinnDiane study, comparing 2988 consecutively selected T1DM patients and 5486 control subjects, PP was higher in diabetic patients of all age categories, including in patients less than 45 years. Multiple regression analysis indicated that disease duration per se has a considerable impact on PP, independent of age and renal involvement [10]. Other observations from several more limited studies showed no [35] or only marginally increased PP values (<5 mm Hg) in T1DM patients aged around 25-30 years as compared with corresponding PP values recorded in age-matched non-diabetic individuals [8,36-38]. Similarly, only modest PP increases were observed in the present study in patients with <20 years T1DM duration. In contrast, a marked PP increase (difference of almost 10 mm Hg) was observed in diabetic patients aged >40 years with disease duration more than 20 years compared with age-matched non-diabetic individuals. In the EURODIAB Prospective Complications Study, a 12 mm Hg higher PP was observed in T1DM patients who died compared with those who survived, and PP was significantly associated with all-cause mortality [12]. In our subgroup of patients with long-duration T1DM, almost twofold more subjects had PP >60 mm Hg, a threshold associated with a higher cardiovascular risk in T2DM [6]. In a recent study, the PP difference compared with non-diabetic age-matched controls was more marked in T1DM patients with microvascular complications (<14 mm Hg) than in those without complications (<5 mm Hg), but the former had a 10-year longer diabetes duration than the latter (29 vs 19 years) [38]. In our diabetic population, PP increase occurred
earlier as compared with the non-diabetic control group, in agreement with the FinnDiane study results that showed PP changes shifted to a 15- to 20-year younger age in T1DM subjects [10]. These PP results are in agreement with accelerated vascular aging in the T1DM population [39], confirming previous observations obtained with various other methods [9,35,36,40,41]. The earlier stiffening of arterial wall appeared to be more marked in women with T1DM than in men [10,42,43]. This was confirmed in the present cohort where we previously reported that the amplification of PP increase with squatting according to diabetes duration was more pronounced in women than in men with T1DM [23]. This may be of importance for the increased susceptibility to cardiovascular complications in diabetic women.

**Figure 2.** Percentages of subjects with pulse pressure (PP) >60 mm Hg, E/I R-R ratio <1.10 and baroreflex gain <2.5 in the four groups of diabetic (black bars) versus non-diabetic (white bars) individuals. Statistical analysis used chi-square tests for trends in each population. This figure is available in colour online at www.interscience.wiley.com/journal/dmrr

**Table 3.** Clinical characteristics, markers of arterial stiffness and indices of cardiovascular autonomic neuropathy (CAN) in type 1 diabetic patients (T1DM) without or with microalbuminuria and in T1DM without or with an inhibitor of the renin-angiotensin system. Results are expressed as mean ± SD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Microalbuminuria</th>
<th>ACEI/ARB therapy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Without (n = 129)</td>
<td>With (n = 30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>40 ± 11</td>
<td>42 ± 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex ratio</td>
<td>54F/48M</td>
<td>8F/15M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>23.7 ± 3.8</td>
<td>23.1 ± 2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1DM duration (years)</td>
<td>19 ± 10</td>
<td>26 ± 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA₁c (%)</td>
<td>8.5 ± 1.2</td>
<td>9.3 ± 1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MBP (mm Hg)</td>
<td>82 ± 11</td>
<td>81 ± 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP (mm Hg)</td>
<td>120 ± 19</td>
<td>122 ± 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP (mm Hg)</td>
<td>66 ± 10</td>
<td>64 ± 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR (bpm)</td>
<td>87 ± 13</td>
<td>88 ± 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arterial stiffness</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP (mm Hg)</td>
<td>54 ± 14</td>
<td>58 ± 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP/MBP</td>
<td>0.54 ± 0.35</td>
<td>0.70 ± 0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E/I R-R ratio</td>
<td>1.28 ± 0.17</td>
<td>1.16 ± 0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baroreflex gain</td>
<td>3.4 ± 2.7</td>
<td>2.6 ± 2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SqTv</td>
<td>0.79 ± 0.11</td>
<td>0.80 ± 0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SqTs</td>
<td>1.20 ± 0.15</td>
<td>1.28 ± 0.18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: ACEI, angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor; ARB, angiotensin AT1 receptor blocker; NS, non-significant; BMI, body mass index; HbA₁c, glycated haemoglobin; MBP, mean blood pressure; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; HR, heart rate; PP, pulse pressure; E/I R-R, expiration/inspiration pulse interval ratio; SqTv, squatting test vagal ratio; SqTs, sympathetic index.
The present study confirms the validity of the use of the index PP/MBP previously proposed as a surrogate marker of arterial stiffness [30]. Indeed, we demonstrated that this index prematurely increased in patients with T1DM according to disease duration, in contrast to what was observed in an age-matched control population. However, the add-on value of this index, as compared with crude PP values, does not appear clearly in our study and further studies would probably be necessary to support the extensive use of this index [30].

All together, our findings and those from the literature are in agreement with earlier increased arterial stiffness in not well-controlled patients with T1DM. In the diabetic population, both in T2DM [5-7] and in T1DM [11,12], higher brachial PP is associated with complications and PP is an independent marker of cardiovascular mortality. However, although some drug therapies may prove useful in the treatment of patients with altered arterial mechanics [29], the therapeutic implications of PP measurement remain poorly documented in diabetes [39]. Nevertheless, a recent study showed that lowering of brachial PP in more than 9000 hypertensive patients with T2DM was associated with a significant reduction of CV events [7].

The present data were obtained in patients with a rather poor glucose control as mean HbA1c value was around 8.5%. We were not able to show differences between patients with HbA1c < or >8% (data not shown). However, as in most previous studies, we had only access to the last HbA1c values and not to repeated measurements from the diagnosis of T1DM, which would provide a much better picture of the overall exposure to hyperglycaemia in diabetic patients. Nevertheless, in a recent study in hypertensive patients with T2DM, multivariate analysis of the determinants of PP reduction with BP-lowering therapy showed a negative association with HbA1c [7]. In T1DM patients, it has been shown that HbA1c does not correlate with vascular compliance [35,37]. In the FinnDiane, the ambient level of glycaemic control was not associated with increased PP, but the time of exposure to hyperglycaemia appeared to play a fundamental role in the process of premature arterial stiffening in T1DM [10]. In the EURODIAB Prospective Complications Study in patients with T1DM, again PP was not associated with HbA1c [41]. However, it was strongly associated with the advanced glycation end products N\textsuperscript{-}ε-(carboxymethyl)lysine and N\textsuperscript{-}ε-(carboxyethyl)lysine [44], suggesting that the formation of advanced glycation end products is an important pathway in the development of arterial stiffness in young T1DM individuals [9].

CAN incidence increases with diabetes duration, especially in T1DM [31,45]. Classically, parasympathetic dysfunction precedes sympathetic dysfunction [15,31,32].

When evaluating HR variations during the squatting test, and calculating indices reflecting mainly parasympathetic activity (SqTv) and sympathetic activity separately (SqTs) [24,25], we showed that SqTv index was markedly and significantly affected by diabetes duration, whereas changes in SqTs did not reach the level of statistical significance in our population with T1DM. CAN may be found early in the course of diabetes and might be considered as a prognostic marker of microangiopathic complications [14,15,45,46]. Increased systolic BP (but not diastolic BP, thus presumably higher PP, but not mentioned in the article) was identified as a factor associated with an increased risk of developing CAN in a multivariate regression model applied to the cohort of T1DM patients of the EURODIAB Prospective Complications Study [47]. In normoalbuminuric patients with T1DM, deterioration of autonomic nervous function (E/I R-R ratio and lying-to-standing test) was associated with an increase in arterial stiffness, assessed by aortic pulse wave velocity, which, in turn, was associated with, and may cause, increased SBP and PP [48]. In patients with T1DM, there was a correlation between increased aortic stiffness and parasympathetic dysfunction, and this relationship persisted when taking into account for diabetes duration. However, this was observed only in women and not in men, a finding that might be of importance for the increased susceptibility to cardiovascular complications in diabetic women [43].

In the present study, the differences in PP/MBP (increased level, marker of arterial stiffness), E/I R-R ratio (decreased level, marker of CAN) and baroreflex gain (trend to lower value) in patients with microalbuminuria were mostly explained by the greater duration of diabetes as compared with T1DM patients without microalbuminuria. In the FinnDiane study [10], T1DM patients with macro albuminuria had the highest PP. Higher PP values were also observed in microalbuminuric than in normoalbuminuric T1DM patients, but only in limited age categories. In contrast, control subjects had significantly lower PP than normoalbuminuric diabetic subjects in all age categories. The authors concluded that even if the premature rise in PP is strongly related to the development of diabetic kidney disease, it also characterizes T1DM patients with a persistently normal urinary albumin excretion rate. In another study, baroreflex sensitivity (defined as the mean gain between BP variability and HR variability) was shown to be depressed in microalbuminuric T1DM patients (but without CAN) at rest and during sympathetic manoeuvres [49].

There are some limitations in our study. First, the cross-sectional design did not allow following patients prospectively. However, it is almost impossible to perform such a longitudinal study that should last several
decades to detect the trend observed here as most important changes in PP occurred after more than 20 years of diabetes duration. Second, glucose control of T1DM patients evaluated in the present study was not optimal, despite intensified insulin therapy, so that results could not be necessarily extrapolated to patients with near normoglycaemia for many years. In addition, the quality of previous glucose control should be better assessed than by using only concomitant HbA1c levels as already mentioned. Third, finger is an unusual site to measure PP, and different from the brachial site used in the FinnDiane study [9], for instance. Several studies have demonstrated that absolute brachial and finger PP measurements are not identical with larger differences in systolic BP. However, the differences were generally small as compared with the magnitude of the responses during dynamic tests and therefore not considered of clinical relevance [50]. Most importantly, in a study comparing PP in radial artery and finger, changes in PP were almost similar during a head-up tilt in young healthy volunteers [51]. Finally, it is not clear whether PP should be seen as an independent risk factor requiring correction or simply as a marker to be taken into account when evaluating overall vascular risk [39]. Direct measures of arterial stiffness are likely to be better candidates than indirect ones for refining interventions to improve outcomes in diabetes [52].

In conclusion, the original squatting test with continuous monitoring of BP and HR allows simultaneous evaluation of PP, an indirect measure of arterial stiffness, and baroreflex gain, a marker of CAN. T1DM was associated with a progressive increase in PP according to the duration of the disease, in an age range where no significant influence of age was observed in a non-diabetic population. This is suggestive of accelerated arterial stiffening. Markers of CAN, especially those of parasympathetic dysfunction, also steadily progressed according to the duration of T1DM. The combination of both haemodynamic (increased PP) and autonomic disturbances may explain the higher cardiovascular risk and the increased total mortality of patients with T1DM of long duration, as recently shown in the EURODIAB Prospective Complications Study [12].
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