

Please ensure that your abstract fits into one column on one page and complies with the *Instructions to Authors* available from the Abstract Submission web page.

Biostratigraphy constraints on Chemostratigraphy of the Mbuji-Mayi Supergroup, Democratic Republic of Congo: Evidence for a Late Mesoproterozoic-Early Neoproterozoic age

B.K. BALUDIKAY^{1*}, J.-Y. STORME¹, D. BAUDET², C.
FRANÇOIS¹ AND E.J. JAVAUX¹

¹ PPP, Department of Geology, University of Liege, 4000
Liège, Belgium (*correspondence:
bkbaludikay@ulg.ac.be)

² Earth Sciences Department, Royal Museum for Central
Africa, Tervuren, Belgium

The Mbuji-Mayi Supergroup is a sedimentary sequence in DRC unaffected by regional metamorphism [1]. It consists of two distinct successions: a lower, ~500 m thick siliciclastic sequence of the BI Group (dated at 1125 Ma [2] or between *ca.* 1175 Ma and 882 Ma [3]) and an upper, ~1000 m thick carbonate sequence with stromatolitic build-ups and black shales of the BII Group directly overlain by basaltic lavas dated at 948 ± 20 Ma [4]. Five boreholes from Sankuru – Mbuji-Mayi region have been sampled in detail. Siliciclastic rocks were investigated for microfossils. The typical late Mesoproterozoic - early Neoproterozoic acritarch, *Trachyhystricosphaera aimika*, is reported herein for the first time in central Africa, and co-occurs with other eukaryotes and prokaryotes forming an exceptionally diverse (55 taxa) and well-preserved microfossil assemblage. However the absence of the pre-Sturtian index species *Cerebrosphaera buickii* [5] and of other taxa typical of pre-Ediacaran Neoproterozoic deposits such vase-shaped microfossils *VSM* [6] suggest that the Mbuji-Mayi Supergroup was deposited before *ca.* 820 Ma. Moreover, $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{carb}}$ positive and negative excursions in the BIe - BIIc interval [3] are similar to variations in late Mesoproterozoic - early Neoproterozoic carbonate successions [7, 8], with no evidence so far for the Bitter Springs Stage as previously suggested [2, 9]. This is consistent with the previous age constraints and with the preliminary results on dating diagenetic monazites from the BI Group, which gave an age of *ca.* 1155 Ma [10].

[1] Raucq (1957) *Ann. MRAC, série 8, Sc. géol.* **18**, 427. [2] Cahen & Snelling (1966) *Publ. C.*, Amsterdam. [3] Delpomdor et al. (2013) *Pal.* **389**, 4–34. [4] Cahen et al. (1984) *Clarendon Press*, Oxford. [5] Grey et al. (2011) *Geol. Soc. of London Mem.* **36** 113-134. [6] Strauss et al. (2014) *Geology*, **42**, 659-662. [7] Bartley & Kah (2004) *Geology* **32**, 129-132. [8] Halverson et al. (2010) *Prec. Res.* **182**, 337-350. [9] Baludikay et al. (2014) *Geoph. Res. Abstract* **16**. [10] François et al. (2015) *Goldschmidt Conf. Abstracts*, this volume.