Globally significant greenhouse-gas emissions from African inland waters

Alberto V. Borges^{1*}, François Darchambeau¹, Cristian R. Teodoru², Trent R. Marwick², Fredrick Tamooh^{2,3}, Naomi Geeraert², Fredrick O. Omengo², Frédéric Guérin⁴, Thibault Lambert¹, Cédric Morana², Eric Okuku^{2,5} and Steven Bouillon²

Carbon dioxide emissions to the atmosphere from inland waters—streams, rivers, lakes and reservoirs—are nearly equivalent to ocean and land sinks globally. Inland waters can be an important source of methane and nitrous oxide emissions as well, but emissions are poorly quantified, especially in Africa. Here we report dissolved carbon dioxide, methane and nitrous oxide concentrations from 12 rivers in sub-Saharan Africa, including seasonally resolved sampling at 39 sites, acquired between 2006 and 2014. Fluxes were calculated from published gas transfer velocities, and upscaled to the area of all sub-Saharan African rivers using available spatial data sets. Carbon dioxide-equivalent emissions from river channels alone were about 0.4 Pg carbon per year, equivalent to two-thirds of the overall net carbon land sink previously reported for Africa. Including emissions from wetlands of the Congo river increases the total carbon dioxide-equivalent greenhouse-gas emissions to about 0.9 Pg carbon per year, equivalent to about one quarter of the global ocean and terrestrial combined carbon sink. Riverine carbon dioxide and methane emissions increase with wetland extent and upland biomass. We therefore suggest that future changes in wetland and upland cover could strongly affect greenhouse-gas emissions from African inland waters.

limate predictions necessitate a full and robust account of natural and anthropogenic greenhouse-gas (GHG) fluxes, especially for CO₂ (refs 1–3), CH₄ (ref. 4) and N₂O (ref. 5), which together accounted for 94% of the anthropogenic global radiative forcing by well-mixed GHGs in 2011 relative to 1750 (ref. 6). Inland waters (streams, rivers, lakes and reservoirs) are increasingly recognized as important sources of GHGs to the atmosphere, with global CO₂ and CH₄ emissions estimated at 2.1 PgC yr⁻¹ (ref. 3) and 0.7 PgC yr⁻¹ (CO₂-equivalents; CO₂e) (ref. 4) (1 Pg = 10¹⁵ g), respectively. Considering that the oceanic and land carbon (C) sinks correspond to ~1.5 and ~2.0 PgC yr⁻¹ (ref. 7), respectively, the GHG flux from inland waters is significant in the global C budget.

In a recent global compilation of inland CO₂ data³, <20 data points (out of 6,708, that is, <0.3%) represented African inland waters (with the exception of South Africa, which has been densely sampled), even though they account for ~12% of both global freshwater discharge⁸ and riverine surface area³, and include some of the largest rivers and lakes in the world. Equally for the global CH₄ database, there is a strong under-representation of tropical inland waters, whereby a recent synthesis⁴ resorted to extrapolating CH₄ fluxes from temperate rivers.

The prevailing large uncertainty involved in GHG flux estimates for inland waters, essentially due to the paucity of available data, is coupled to a poor understanding of underlying processes, both of which preclude gauging of future fluxes in response to human pressures. In particular, there is a need to further understand the link between inland water GHG fluxes and catchment characteristics, in particular regarding their connectivity with upland terrestrial^{9,10} and wetland¹¹⁻¹³ C production and stocks. The CO₂ emissions from inland waters have been traditionally interpreted as fuelled by organic C from upland terrestrial biomass^{1,14}. In the Amazon basin, CO₂ emissions from floodplain lakes¹¹ and from river channels^{12,13} have been attributed to organic and inorganic C from wetlands (flooded forest and macrophytes). Finally, recently recognized biases in computed CO₂ data traditionally used in inland water studies^{15,16} highlight the requirement for careful data-quality checking and future emphasis on high-quality direct CO₂ measurements.

In this study, we report an extensive compilation of dissolved CO_2 , CH_4 and N_2O concentrations (Supplementary Table 1) gathered in 12 river basins in sub-Saharan Africa (SSA; Fig. 1 and Supplementary Fig. 1). The rivers range from 7.8×10^3 to 3.7×10^6 km² in watershed area and from 1.9 to 1.3×10^3 km³ yr⁻¹ in discharge, including the largest in Africa (Supplementary Table 2). A wide size range was sampled, from <1 m width (headwaters) to ~10 km width (mainstem Congo).

Variability of GHG concentrations and fluxes

The partial pressure of CO₂ ($p_{\rm CO_2}$) values spanned two orders of magnitude, ranging between 300 and 16,942 ppm, CH₄ concentrations varied over five orders of magnitude, ranging between 2 and 62,966 nmoll⁻¹, whereas N₂O spanned three orders of magnitude, ranging between 0.2 and 85.4 nmoll⁻¹ (Supplementary Fig. 2). The Congo River was the most variable in GHG concentrations, with distinct differences between streams (<100 m width) and rivers (>100 m width): $p_{\rm CO_2}$ and CH₄ values were higher in streams than rivers, whereas the opposite was observed for N₂O and oxygen saturation level

¹Université de Liège, Unité d'Océanographie Chimique, Institut de Physique (B5), B-4000, Belgium. ²Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, Celestijnenlaan 200E, B-3001 Leuven, Belgium. ³Kenyatta University, Department of Zoological Sciences, PO Box 16778-80100, Mombasa, Kenya. ⁴Geosciences Environnement Toulouse UMR 5563 & UR 234 IRD, Université Paul-Sabatier, Avenue Edouard Belin 14, F-31400 Toulouse, France. ⁵Kenya Marine and Fisheries Research Institute, PO Box 81651, Mombasa-80100, Kenya. *e-mail: alberto.borges@ulg.ac.be



Figure 1 | **The 12 studied rivers cover a wide range of discharge, catchment size and land cover.** The red numbers correspond to the catchment surface area (10³ km²) and the blue numbers correspond to the annual freshwater discharge (km³ yr⁻¹). Refer to Methods for data sources. AGS, Athi-Galana-Sabaki River.

(%O₂) (Supplementary Fig. 2). The overall average $p_{\rm CO_2}$ and CH₄ in rivers was 6,415 ppm and 2,205 nmoll⁻¹, respectively, corresponding to large over-saturation of surface waters with respect to atmospheric equilibrium of CO₂ and CH₄ (on average ~395 ppm and ~2 nmoll⁻¹, respectively). The N₂O values oscillated between under- and over-saturation, with an overall average of 9.2 nmoll⁻¹, only slightly above the atmospheric equilibrium of ~6.6 nmoll⁻¹.

The fluxes were computed from the gas transfer velocity (k) using two approaches. The Aufdenkampe *et al.* (Auf) approach² relies on constant k values across basins separated into streams and rivers (<100 m and >100 m width, respectively). The Raymond *et al.* (Ray) approach³ uses basin-specific k values computed from hydraulic equations and basin characteristics. We present both estimates to provide a range of flux values, allowing a comparison with published fluxes. An error analysis is provided in the Supplementary Methods and Methods. Among river systems, the air-water CO₂ fluxes computed with the Auf approach (FCO_{2Auf}) ranged between 186 ± 9 and $1,149 \pm 53$ mmol m⁻² d⁻¹, the air-water CH4 fluxes (FCH4Auf) ranged between 0.5 ± 0.1 and $18 \pm 1 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$, and the air-water N₂O fluxes (FN₂O_{Auf}) ranged between 2.0 ± 0.1 and $16 \pm 1 \,\mu\text{mol}\,\text{m}^{-2}\,\text{d}^{-1}$ (Supplementary Table 3). The fluxes computed using the Ray approach were ~ 1.34 times higher, as it accounts for lower-order streams that are more turbulent and have higher k values. The average FCO₂ for all rivers was 11 times higher than FCH₄ (in CO₂e) and 273 times higher than FN₂O (in CO₂e). For individual basins, the FCO₂:FCH₄ ratio

ranged between 4 (Zambezi) and 39 (Tana). This is in contrast to other concurrent FCO₂, FCH₄ and FN₂O estimates in temperate rivers (Supplementary Table 4), where FCO₂:FCH₄ ratios are higher (between 9 and 411, average 108) and FCO₂:FN₂O ratios are lower (between 10 and 71, average 35). This reflects the less extensive flooded areas in these temperate rivers (floodplains promote FCH₄ compared to FCO₂), and higher inputs of fertilizer- or wastewater-derived nitrogen, which promote FN₂O (ref. 5) compared to FCO₂.

The FCO_{2Ray} we report were 1.1–4.7 times (on average 2.6 times) higher than those given by Raymond *et al.*³ for the same basins (Supplementary Fig. 3), suggesting that the reliance of the latter on a very limited African p_{CO_2} database resulted in underestimating the riverine FCO₂ for the African continent. When comparing the surface-area-integrated FCO₂ per basin (Supplementary Table 3) to the flux of total organic C (TOC) and dissolved inorganic C (DIC) to the ocean for the Congo, the Zambezi and the Tana (data are unavailable for other rivers sampled), FCO₂ was, respectively, 8.6, 2.1 and 9.9 times higher than the TOC+DIC export to the ocean, or 13.3, 16.5 and 23.0 times higher than the TOC export to the ocean. By comparison, in the Amazon River, the total FCO₂ is 6.6 and 13.1 times higher than the TOC+DIC and TOC export to the ocean¹⁴. This clearly highlights the significance of vertical C fluxes from inland waters to the atmosphere.

Regional and global significance of GHG fluxes

The FCO₂ integrated for all SSA rivers ranged between 0.27 ± 0.05 (Auf) and 0.37 ± 0.07 (Ray) PgC yr⁻¹. Such values are of the same

NATURE GEOSCIENCE DOI: 10.1038/NGEO2486



Figure 2 | Complex interplay of biogeochemical processes is revealed by GHGs property-property relations. p_{CO_2} as functions of $\%O_2$ (a), log CH₄ (b), N₂O (c) and DOC (d) in six African river systems. The encircled data points correspond to water from springs that are enriched in CO₂, depleted in CH₄ and DOC owing to bacterial removal in groundwaters and at O₂ saturation owing to rapid equilibration with the atmosphere. Lines correspond to a linear regression fit.

order of magnitude as the integrated FCO₂ for the Amazon River of 0.47 PgC yr^{-1} (ref. 14), although the latter estimate included wetlands that accounted for 86% of flooded land whereas river channels only occupied 14% (ref. 13). The FCH₄ integrated for all rivers in SSA ranged between 2.9 ± 0.1 (Auf) and 3.9 ± 0.1 (Ray) TgC yr⁻¹. This is roughly five times higher than the FCH₄ recently attributed for all tropical rivers (0.7 TgC yr⁻¹) in a recent data synthesis⁴, where, in the absence of empirical data from the tropics, the average CH4 flux from temperate rivers was upscaled. The sum of integrated FCO₂ and FCH₄ ranged between 0.29 ± 0.05 (Auf) and 0.39 ± 0.07 (Ray) PgCO₂e yr⁻¹. These values are highly significant when compared to other fluxes at the scale of the African continent given by the most recent overarching synthesis8, such as the C emission from fossil fuel (\sim 1.25 PgC yr⁻¹), terrestrial net ecosystem production (NEP) $(1.2-2.9 \text{ PgC yr}^{-1})$, or TOC+DIC export to the ocean (0.06 PgC yr⁻¹). The emission of CO₂ and CH₄ in CO₂e from SSA rivers would thus balance two-thirds of the reported net C sink for continental Africa $(0.6 \pm 0.6 \text{ PgC yr}^{-1}; \text{ ref. 8})$. Note that the net C sink estimate of the latter did not account for the fluxes from inland waters.

We propose that our emission estimates of CO_2 and CH_4 from SSA rivers may be conservative for two reasons. First, the FCH₄ values correspond only to diffusive emissions and do not account for CH₄ ebullition, which can be highly significant in tropical aquatic environments¹⁷⁻¹⁹. Based on floating chamber flux measurements in the Congo and Zambezi rivers (n=68), we found CH₄ ebullition rates to be on average 0.25 times the diffusive CH₄ flux (Supplementary Fig. 4). This compares well with data from six Amazon river channels, where CH₄ ebullition was 0.33 times the diffusive CH₄ flux¹⁹. Applying a factor of 0.25 to estimate the CH₄ ebullition, the sum of integrated FCO₂ and FCH₄ would then range between 0.30 ± 0.05 (Auf) and 0.40 ± 0.07 (Ray) $PgCO_2e vr^{-1}$. Second, our estimates do not account for extensive tropical wetlands, which in the Amazon are known to show CH₄ fluxes two orders of magnitude higher than river channels¹⁷. The Congolese 'Cuvette Centrale', with a flooded surface area of 360×10^3 km² (ref. 20), is the second largest tropical wetland area after the Amazon. The sum of FCO₂ and FCH₄ from the 'Cuvette Centrale' would correspond to $0.48 \pm 0.08 \text{ PgCO}_2 \text{e yr}^{-1}$ (based on the scaling of a subset of fluxes from the rivers, streams and navigation channels draining the 'Cuvette Centrale' and computed with the k recommended for flooded areas²). The above FCH_4 estimate for the 'Cuvette Centrale' does not include the ebullition of CH₄; using a reported ebullition:diffusion ratio of 0.73 for tropical wetlands¹⁸ would bring the total FCO₂ and FCH₄ from SSA rivers and wetlands to range between 0.85 ± 0.10 (Auf) and 0.95 ± 0.11 (Ray) $PgCO_2e yr^{-1}$. These fluxes are significant beyond the African continental scale, considering that the global net CO₂ sink is at present estimated at 1.5 and 2.0 PgC yr⁻¹ for the oceans and the terrestrial biosphere, respectively⁷.

Processes underlying GHG dynamics

Although it is crucial to quantify GHG fluxes, unravelling the underlying processes is equally important. This can be attempted with a correlation analysis with other biogeochemical variables and catchment characteristics. The p_{CO_2} data were well correlated to $\%O_2$ and to the log of CH₄ (Fig. 2a,b), suggesting that the dynamics of the three variables were driven by net heterotrophy¹. The lowest N₂O values (below atmospheric equilibrium) were observed at the highest p_{CO_2} (Fig. 2c) and lowest $\%O_2$ levels (Supplementary Fig. 5), suggesting the removal of N₂O by denitrification, as also reported in the Amazon floodplains²¹. No distinct relationship could be established between N₂O and either nitrate (NO₃⁻), ammonium (NH₄⁺), or dissolved inorganic nitrogen (DIN = NO₃⁻ + NH₄⁺)



Figure 3 | Wetland presence drives the pattern of GHGs and O₂ in the Congo River. %O₂ (**a**), p_{CO_2} (**b**), N_2 O (**c**) and CH₄ (**d**) in 46 rivers of the Congo basin as a function of wetland fraction of catchment surface. Data were bin-averaged in intervals of 25%. Two extreme CH₄ values (>25,000 nmol l⁻¹) were removed from the analysis. Error bars show mean \pm s.d. Lines correspond to a second-degree polynomial fit.

(Supplementary Fig. 5), unlike in some temperate rivers⁵. In three of the sampled rivers, data sets from long-term fixed station monitoring showed a positive relationship between N_2O and NO_3^- (Supplementary Fig. 6). The sampled rivers had relatively low DIN values²², as fertilizer use is minimal within the catchments studied²³, and samples were not directly impacted by wastewaters from major cities; the only exception being the upper reaches of the Athi River, which are strongly influenced by wastewater inputs from Nairobi²² and where the highest N_2O concentrations were recorded (Supplementary Fig. 3).

No relationship could be established between GHGs and elevation or water temperature (Supplementary Fig. 7). There was a general positive relationship between p_{CO_2} and dissolved organic C (DOC) (Fig. 2d) that has been used to infer the role of terrestrial organic matter inputs in sustaining net heterotrophy and CO_2 production within inland waters⁹, although this can also be interpreted as an indication of concurrent DOC and CO_2 inputs from soils or wetlands. The p_{CO_2} in the Congo River levelled off for DOC concentrations >15 mgl⁻¹, which may indicate a limitation of bacterial growth (and subsequent CO_2 production) by the low pH in these very acidic organic environments (so called 'black waters', with pH between 3.6 and 5.9 and averaged 4.4), O_2 availability (% O_2 ranged between 0.3% and 93.5% and averaged 23.4%; Supplementary Fig. 8), or phosphorus availability as reported in other tropical black water rivers²⁴.

Concentrations of GHGs increased as the wetland fraction of the catchment surface increased for individual catchments (Congo River) and across river systems (Figs 3 and 4). In the Congo River, p_{CO_2} and CH₄ were positively related to wetland fraction, whereas $\%O_2$ and N₂O were negatively related to the latter (Fig. 3). The CO₂, CH₄ and $\%O_2$ patterns in the Congo tributaries along a 1,700 km river stretch (Supplementary Fig. 9) and in the Zambezi River²⁵ also follow the presence of wetlands. Similarly, a relationship between CH₄, p_{CO_2} and $\%O_2$ with wetland fraction was also found across river systems (Fig. 4), consistent with findings in the Amazon basin,

where CO_2 emissions from wetland lakes and river channels have been attributed to organic C from wetlands¹¹⁻¹³ that also sustain intense CH_4 evasion¹⁷.

However, basins that are virtually devoid of wetlands, such as the Tana (<0.5% of the catchment), were also found to be sources of CO₂, although admittedly lower than other SSA rivers. This suggests that part of the CO₂ emissions from SSA rivers are partly sustained by upland biomass. The increase of CO2 and CH4 and decrease of %O₂ in the Congo tributaries also follow the downstream increase of aboveground biomass and of the relative dense forest cover (Supplementary Figs 9 and 10). These patterns were also observed across river systems, although the Rianila catchment deviated from the general pattern owing to much steeper slopes within the basin (Supplementary Fig. 11). This highlights the role of relief, whereby gentle slopes increase water residence time and the extent of flooded areas, and steeper slopes enhance k, which drives dissolved GHG concentrations closer to saturation²⁶. Precipitation has been previously used to model river CO₂ regionally²⁶. We indeed found a positive relationship between p_{CO_2} and CH₄ and precipitation across SSA rivers, with the exception of the two Malagasy rivers (Supplementary Fig. 11). For the Rianila, this might also be related to the steeper slope. In the Betsiboka, nearly all of the yearly precipitation occurs from December to March, the rest of the year being extremely dry, leading to vegetation typical of a semi-arid climate. This suggests that models to predict p_{CO_2} from precipitation should also account for the regional differences in seasonal patterns.

Lateral inputs versus in situ respiration as CO₂ drivers

In addition to our GHG concentration measurements, we acquired 812 aquatic community respiration (*R*) data (Supplementary Fig. 12). The *R* values ranged between 0.3 and 404.0 mmolC m⁻³ d⁻¹, with a median of 20.0 mmolC m⁻³ d⁻¹, which is close to the median value of pelagic bacterial respiration reported in a recent data synthesis in tropical inland waters²⁷. On individual paired mea-



Figure 4 | Wetland presence drives the pattern of CO₂ and CH₄ concentrations across sub-Saharan African Rivers. p_{CO_2} (a), CH₄ (b) and $\%O_2$ (c), as a function of the wetland fraction of catchment surface (%) in seven African rivers. Only the data sets that capture spatial variations were included in the analysis (excluding fixed time series in the mainstem of rivers). Lines correspond to a second-degree polynomial fit.

surements, the FCO₂ was on average 8 times (Auf) to 12 times (Ray) higher than vertically integrated R (n = 602). The median R was 99.4 mmolC m⁻² d⁻¹, which, when upscaled to all SSA rivers, can account only for 11% of the FCO₂ (0.27 PgC yr⁻¹). Although benthic respiration was not measured during our study, using the average value from a recent data synthesis for tropical rivers and streams (50.8 mmolC m⁻² d⁻¹; ref. 28), we find that pelagic and benthic R combined still account for only <14% of the FCO₂. Furthermore, these estimates do not account for pelagic and benthic aquatic primary production, which would decrease the dissolved CO₂ concentration. These calculations imply that lateral inputs of CO₂ from soils, groundwaters and wetlands would be the largest contributors of the CO₂ emitted from rivers and streams.

Implications for understanding global C fluxes

Large CO₂ fluxes from inland waters have significant implications for our understanding of overall C fluxes and cycling at the landscape or catchment scale. The CO₂ emitted to the atmosphere from inland waters can be considered as a component of the respiration of upland and wetland vegetation, whether it is related to lateral transport of soil/wetland CO₂ (that is, respiration taking place in terrestrial or wetland habitats) or lateral transport of soil or wetland DOC and POC that is mineralized to CO2 within the aquatic domain. Hence, the lateral transport of C from the upland terrestrial biosphere and wetlands to inland waters and its subsequent emission to the atmosphere offsets the estimates of terrestrial NEP. Furthermore, lateral transport of soil DOC leads to an underestimation of NEP based on biomass accumulation techniques, whereas the lateral transport of soil CO2 leads to an overestimation of NEP derived from atmospheric measurements (eddy-covariance or flux towers). The significant FCO₂ from African inland waters at the continental scale is particularly important in the context of the mitigation of, and accounting for, GHG emissions, as C emissions related to tropical deforestation are only partially offset by C sequestration from forest regrowth²⁹. Accounting for the additional C emissions from inland waters could further offset this balance. Alternatively, if most of the CO₂ emissions from SSA river channels are derived from wetland C, the net balance would be nearly neutral as it is balanced by the atmospheric CO₂ fixation by the emerged vegetation, as shown in the Amazon lowland areas¹¹⁻¹³. Untangling the relative contributions of wetland and upland C in sustaining CO2 and CH4 emissions from inland waters is essential to better understand the role of tropical inland waters in the global C cycle and related potential feedbacks on a warming climate. Our results in SSA rivers show that this relative contribution is variable both within individual catchments and across catchments.

Methods

Methods and any associated references are available in the online version of the paper.

Received 19 February 2015; accepted 10 June 2015; published online 20 July 2015

References

- 1. Cole, J. J. *et al.* Plumbing the global carbon cycle: Integrating inland waters into the terrestrial carbon budget. *Ecosystems* **10**, 171–184 (2007).
- Aufdenkampe, A. K. *et al.* Riverine coupling of biogeochemical cycles between land, oceans, and atmosphere. *Front. Ecol. Environ.* 9, 53–60 (2011).
- 3. Raymond, P. A. *et al.* Global carbon dioxide emissions from inland waters. *Nature* **503**, 355–359 (2013).
- Bastviken, D., Tranvik, L. J., Downing, J. A., Crill, P. M. & Enrich-Prast, A. Freshwater methane emissions offset the continental carbon sink. *Science* 331, 50 (2011).
- Baulch, H. M., Schiff, S. L., Maranger, R. & Dillon, P. J. Nitrogen enrichment and the emission of nitrous oxide from streams. *Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles* 25, GB4013 (2011).
- IPCC Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis (eds Stocker, T. F. et al.) (Cambridge Univ. Press, 2013).
- Le Quéré, C. et al. Global carbon budget 2014. Earth Syst. Sci. Data Discuss. 7, 521–610 (2014).
- Valentini, R. et al. A full greenhouse gases budget of Africa: Synthesis, uncertainties, and vulnerabilities. *Biogeosciences* 11, 381–407 (2014).
- Lapierre, J.-F. & del Giorgio, P. A. Geographical and environmental drivers of regional differences in the lake p_{CO2} versus DOC relationship across northern landscapes. *J. Geophys. Res.* **117**, G03015 (2012).
- Maberly, S. C., Barker, P. A., Stott, A. W. & De Ville, M. M. Catchment productivity controls CO₂ emissions from lakes. *Nature Clim. Change* 3, 391–394 (2013).
- Melack, J. M. & Engle, D. L. An organic carbon budget for an Amazon floodplain lake. Verh. Int. Verein. Limnol. 30, 1179–1182 (2009).

- NATURE GEOSCIENCE DOI: 10.1038/NGEO2486
- Engle, D. L., Melack, J. M., Doyle, R. D. & Fisher, T. R. High rates of net primary production and turnover of floating grasses on the Amazon floodplain: Implications for aquatic respiration and regional CO₂ flux. *Glob. Change Biol.* 14, 369–381 (2008).
- Abril, G. et al. Amazon River carbon dioxide outgassing fuelled by wetlands. Nature 505, 395–398 (2014).
- Richey, J. E., Melack, J. M., Aufdenkampe, A. K., Ballester, V. M. & Hess, L. Outgassing from Amazonian rivers and wetlands as a large tropical source of atmospheric CO₂. *Nature* 416, 617–620 (2002).
- Wang, Z. A. et al. Inorganic carbon speciation and fluxes in the Congo River. Geophys. Res. Lett. 40, 511–516 (2013).
- Abril, G. *et al.* Technical Note: Large overestimation of calculated p_{CO2} in acidic, organic-rich freshwaters. *Biogeosciences* 12, 67–78 (2015).
- Melack, J. M. *et al.* Regionalization of methane emissions in the Amazon Basin with microwave remote sensing. *Glob. Change Biol.* 10, 530–544 (2004).
- Bastviken, D. *et al.* Methane emissions from Pantanal, South America, during the low water season: Toward more comprehensive sampling. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 44, 5450–5455 (2010).
- Sawakuchi, H. O. *et al.* Methane emissions from Amazonian Rivers and their contribution to the global methane budget. *Glob. Change Biol.* 20, 2829–2840 (2014).
- Bwangoy, J.-R. B., Hansen, M. C., Roy, D. P., De Grandi, G. & Justice, C. O. Wetland mapping in the Congo Basin using optical and radar remotely sensed data and derived topographical indices. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 114, 73–86 (2010).
- Richey, J. E., Devol, A. H., Wofy, S. C., Victoria, R. & Riberio, M. N. G. Biogenic gases and the oxidation and reduction of carbon in Amazon River and floodplain waters. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 33, 551–561 (1988).
- Marwick, T. R. *et al.* Dynamic seasonal nitrogen cycling in response to anthropogenic N loading in a tropical catchment, Athi–Galana–Sabaki River, Kenya. *Biogeosciences* 11, 1–18 (2014).
- Yasin, J. A., Kroeze, C. & Mayorga, E. Nutrients export by rivers to the coastal waters of Africa: Past and future trends. *Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles* 24, GB0A07 (2010).
- Castillo, M. M., Kling, G. W. & Allan, J. D. Bottom-up controls on bacterial production in tropical lowland rivers. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 48, 1466–1475 (2003).
- Teodoru, C. *et al.* Spatial variability and temporal dynamics of greenhouse gas (CO₂, CH₄, N₂O) concentrations and fluxes along the Zambezi River mainstem and major tributaries. *Biogeosciences* 12, 2431–2453 (2015).
- Butman, D. & Raymond, P. A. Significant efflux of carbon dioxide from streams and rivers in the United States. *Nature Geosci.* 4, 839–842 (2011).

- 27. Amado, A. M. *et al.* Tropical freshwater ecosystems have lower bacterial growth efficiency than temperate ones. *Front. Microbiol.* **4**, 167 (2014).
- Cardoso, S. J., Enrich-Prast, A., Pace, M. L. & Roland, F. Do models of organic carbon mineralization extrapolate to warmer tropical sediments? *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 59, 48–54 (2014).
- 29. Pan, Y. *et al.* A Large and persistent carbon sink in the World's forests. *Science* **333**, 988–993 (2011).

Acknowledgements

This work was funded by the European Research Council (ERC-StG 240002 AFRIVAL), the Fonds National de la Recherche Scientifique (FNRS, CAKI 2.4.598.07, TransCongo, 14711103), the Belgian Federal Science Policy (BELSPO) (projects COBAFISH SD/AR/05A and EAGLES SD/AR/02A), the Research Foundation Flanders (FWO-Vlaanderen), the Research Council of the KU Leuven, the IRD and INSU/CNRS (SOERE BVET and LIMON projects). The Boyekoli-Ebale-Congo Expedition (2010) was funded by the Belgian Development Cooperation, BELSPO, and Belgian National Lottery. A.V.B. and T.L. are a senior research associate and a postdoctoral researcher at the FNRS, respectively. We are very grateful for help in sampling from A. Yambélé (Direction de la Météorologie Nationale, Central African Republic), J.-D. Mbega (Institut de Recherches Agronomiques et Forestières, Gabon), B. Alhou (Université de Niamey, Niger), F. C. Nyoni and I. Nyambe (University of Zambia, Zambia), B. Ogwoka (Kenya Wildlife Service, Kenya), T. Mambo Baba and E. Tambwe Lukosha (Université de Kisangani, DRC), T. Kisekelwa (Institut Supérieur Pédagogique de Bukavu, DRC), J. N. Wabakanghanzi (Congo Atomic Energy Commission, DRC), C. M. Balagizi (Goma Volcano Observatory, DRC) and J. L. Boeglin (Géosciences Environnement Toulouse - GET, France), for analytical support from M.-V. Commarieu, S. Hoornaert, S. Petrovic (University of Liège (ULg)) and C. Deshmukh (GET), for advice and help in setting up the GCs at ULg from J. Barnes, G. Abril, B. Delille and W. Champenois, and for feedback and input on modelled basin-wide k values from P. Raymond.

Author contributions

A.V.B. and S.B. conceived and designed the study and coordinated the project and fieldwork. Field data collection was carried out by all co-authors. T.L. carried out the geographical system information (GIS) analysis. A.V.B. drafted the manuscript, which was substantially commented upon and amended by S.B., C.R.T., T.R.M., N.G., T.L. and F.G. All co-authors approved the manuscript.

Additional information

Supplementary information is available in the online version of the paper. Reprints and permissions information is available online at www.nature.com/reprints. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to A.V.B.

Competing financial interests

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Methods

We acquired 1,880 data for CH₄, 1,625 data for N₂O and 693 data for p_{CO_2} from June 2006 to September 2014 in 12 river basins (Supplementary Table 1). The dissolved gases were measured with a uniform method based on the headspace technique, either directly in the field by infrared gas analysis (IRGA; for CO₂), or on return in the laboratory by gas chromatography (GC; for CH₄ and N₂O). Our analysis excludes p_{CO_2} data computed from pH and total alkalinity, because of strong biases due to the interference from organic acids, in particular in organic C rich black waters^{15,16}.

Sampling and field measurements. Two approaches were used during this study (Supplementary Table 1). First, a 'survey' approach aimed at sampling the mainstem of a river network over the longest possible stretch, as well as a maximum number of contributing tributaries, typically during a short period of time (2–60 d). In most cases, the surveys were done by car, with sampling from boats, bridges, or from the shore. In other cases, travel and sampling were carried out by boat (Congo River). Second, a 'monitoring' approach was applied at various fixed stations during a 1–2 yr period, with sampling taking place at monthly or fortnightly intervals in the mainstem of one or several rivers. The two approaches are highly complementary as the 'survey' approach provides a snapshot of the spatial variability, whereas the 'monitoring' approach provides the range of seasonal variability, but with little or no description of the spatial heterogeneity.

Water was collected with a Niskin bottle just below the surface (<0.5 m) and two serum bottles (50 ml) for the determination of CH4 and N2O were filled through tubing, allowed to overflow, poisoned with a saturated solution of HgCl₂ (50-100 µl), sealed with butyl stoppers, crimped with aluminium caps, and stored at ambient temperature in the dark. Samples for the determination of p_{CO_2} were directly collected in surface waters in four plastic 60 ml syringes and 30 ml of sample water was equilibrated with 30 ml of ambient air (5-10 min of vigorous shaking). The p_{CO_2} in ambient air and in the equilibrated gas phase were determined with a portable IRGA, from which the *in situ* p_{CO} , was computed using the temperature values *in situ* and in the equilibrated water (that is, in the syringe), and Henry's constant. The IRGAs were calibrated with N2 and a CO2:N2 commercial mixture (Air Liquide Belgium) with a mixing ratio of 1,017 ppm of CO2 (PP Systems EGM-4 and Li-Cor Li-820) or with N2 and a suite of CO2:N2 commercial mixtures (Air Liquide Belgium) with mixing ratios of 388, 813, 3,788 and 8,300 ppm CO2 (Li-Cor Li-840). The Li-840 was used on the Congo in December 2013 and June 2014, the EGM-4 was used on the Congo in December 2012 and September 2013 and on the Zambezi, whereas the Li-820 was used on the Malagasy, Tana and Athi-Galana-Sabaki rivers. In the Congo in March 2013, the headspace was injected in pre-evacuated 12 ml Exetainer (Labco) vials and the CO2 content was analysed by GC back in the laboratory (see hereafter). The overall precision of p_{CO_2} measurements was $\pm 2.0\%$ (n = 447 replications of three to four measurements).

Water temperature, conductivity, $\%O_2$ and pH were measured *in situ* with portable field probes calibrated using standard protocols (in most cases using an YSI Proplus probe). Pelagic *R* was determined from the decrease of O_2 in 60 ml biological oxygen demand bottles over ~24 h incubation periods. The bottles were kept in the dark and close to *in situ* temperature in a cool box filled with *in situ* water. The O_2 decrease was determined from triplicate measurements at the start and the end of the incubation with an optical O_2 probe (YSI ProODO), and *R* data were converted into carbon units using a respiratory quotient of 1.3 (ref. 30). Samples for the DOC determination were preserved in 40 ml borosilicate vials with polytetrafluoroethylene-coated stoppers and preserved with H₃PO₄ (85%) after filtration through 0.2 µm pore size polytethersulphone (PES) syringe filters. Samples for nitrate (NO₃⁻) and ammonium (NH₄⁺) were filtered on a 0.2 µm PES syringe filter, collected in 50 ml plastic vials, to which was added 200 µl of H₂SO₄ 5N.

Laboratory chemical analysis. Concentrations of CH4 and N2O were determined via the headspace equilibration technique (20 ml N₂ headspace in 50 ml serum bottles) and measured by GC (ref. 31) with flame ionization detection (GC-FID) and electron capture detection (GC-ECD) with a SRI 8610C GC-FID-ECD calibrated with CH4:CO2:N2O:N2 mixtures (Air Liquide Belgium) of 1, 10 and 30 ppm CH_4 and of 0.2, 2.0 and 6.0 ppm N_2O , and using the solubility coefficients of CH4 (ref. 32) and N2O (ref. 33). For the Nyong, the CH4 was determined with a SRI 8610C GC-FID calibrated with CH4:N2 mixtures (Air Liquide France) of 2, 10 and 100 ppm CH₄. For the Ivory Coast rivers, the CH₄ was determined by GC-FID, as described elsewhere34. The overall precision of measurements was $\pm 3.9\%$ (*n*=1,057 duplicate measurements) and $\pm 3.2\%$ (*n*=900 duplicate measurements) for CH4 and N2O, respectively. DOC was analysed according either on a Thermo HiperTOC-isotope ratio mass spectrometer (IRMS) or with an Aurora1030 TOC analyser (OI Analytical) coupled to a Delta V Advantage IRMS, with a precision better than $\pm 5\%$ (ref. 35). NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺ concentrations were estimated by spectrophotometry, using the dichloroisocyanurate-salicylate-nitroprussiate colorimetric method for NH4+ (ref. 36) and the sulphanilamide colorimetric method for NO_3^- (refs 37,38). The detection limits were 0.30 and 0.15 µmol l⁻¹ for NH4⁺ and NO3⁻, respectively.

ARTICLES

 $F = k \Delta C$

where k is the gas transfer velocity and ΔC is the air–water gas concentration gradient³⁹, whereby a positive value corresponds by convention to an emission of gas from the water to the atmosphere.

We used the monthly average corresponding to time of sampling of atmospheric p_{CO_2} from Mount Kenya (Kenya, -0.05° N 37.80° E), retrieved from the GLOBALVIEW-CO2 database (Carbon Cycle Greenhouse Gases Group of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Earth System Research Laboratory (ESRL)) and of N2O from Mauna Loa (Hawaii, 19.54° N-155.85° E) from the NOAA/ESRL Chromatograph for Atmospheric Trace Species (CATS) Program. For atmospheric CH4, a constant mixing ratio of 1.9 ppm was used. Atmospheric mixing ratios were converted from dry air to wet air using the water vapour computed from temperature³³ and into corresponding dissolved concentrations using solubility coefficients of CO₂ (ref. 40), CH₄ (ref. 32) and N2O (ref. 33). Fluxes of CH4 and N2O were converted into CO2 equivalents based on the assumption that over a 100-year period the emissions of 1 kg of CH₄ and 1 kg of N₂O correspond to 34 kg and 298 kg of CO₂, respectively⁶. For k, we used two approaches. The first approach 2 uses a constant k normalized to a Schmidt number (Sc) of 600 (k_{600}) of 17.2 cm h⁻¹ for streams and small rivers (<100 m width), of 12.3 cm h^{-1} for larger rivers (>100 m width) and of 2.4 cm h^{-1} for wetlands. The second approach³ provides k_{600} values per river basin corresponding to the average value for the whole river network up to stream order 1 derived from hydraulic equations⁴¹ and a geographical information system (GIS) description of corresponding input hydraulic variables for each catchment. The Sc numbers of CO₂, N₂O and CH₄ were computed from water temperature⁴². The F data were aggregated to derive one value per tributary and per river mainstem, before averaging for a given river system. The global F values were computed as averages weighted by water body surface area for each river catchment derived from the percentage of river/stream effective surface area per catchment given in ref. 3. The F values were similarly upscaled to SSA using the river/stream surface areas given in ref. 3.

FCO₂ and FCH₄ were measured in parallel with a floating chamber in 25 stations in the Congo basin, and 43 stations in the Zambezi basin. The floating chamber consisted of an opaque polyvinyl chloride cylinder 15 cm in height with a 38 cm internal diameter holding a volume of 17 l, and a 7-cm-long underwater skirt. The chamber was deployed for 30 min and the $p_{\rm CO_2}$ change inside the chamber was determined directly with an IRGA (30 s logging), whereas 30 ml gas samples for CH₄ extracted from inside the chamber at 0, 5, 10, 20 and 30 min interval were injected into 50 ml serum vials full of a hyper-saline solution (saturated solution of NaCl) for analysis in the laboratory by GC-FID. The fluxes were computed from the temporal change of the partial pressure of the gases, the law of perfect gases, and the geometry of the chamber⁴³. The k_{600} of CO₂ was computed based on the measured FCO2 and the $p_{\rm CO2}$ in water and air. Assuming that the FCO₂ is exclusively diffusive (that is, that the CO₂ ebullition flux is negligible), this k_{600} of CO₂ allows the computation of the diffusive CH₄ flux from the dissolved CH4 concentration. This in turn allows the computation of the ebullition CH4 flux from the chamber CH4 measurement that captures both ebullition and diffusive CH4 fluxes. Chamber measurements have been assumed to provide biased k values⁴⁴, although often comparing satisfactorily with atmospheric flux measurements^{45,46} or infrared imaging of the water surface⁴⁷. The obtained average k_{600} in the Congo $(12 \pm 11 \text{ cm h}^{-1})$ was not significantly different from the average in the Zambezi $(10 \pm 11 \text{ cm h}^{-1})$ (unpaired *t*-test, *p* < 0.05). These values are distinctly lower than those computed by Raymond et al.3 of 22 and 20 cm h⁻¹ for the Congo and Zambezi, respectively. This is related to the fact that Raymond *et al.*³ provide k_{600} values for the whole basin, including low-order streams that are more turbulent than higher-order streams and rivers, and characterized by higher k_{600} values⁴¹. Our data were acquired in the mainstem of rivers and adjacent large tributaries, typically high-order systems. The k_{600} values in the highest stream order in the Congo (9) and Zambezi (8) given by Raymond et al.3 are, respectively, 14.5 and 13.3 cm h⁻¹—closer to those derived from our chamber measurements. The Congo and Zambezi streams (<100 m width) had k_{600} values (11 ± 10 cm h⁻¹) that were not significantly different from those $(10 \pm 7 \text{ cm h}^{-1})$ in rivers (>100 m width) (unpaired *t*-test, *p* < 0.05). These values were close to the k_{600} value for rivers (>100 m width) of 12 cm h⁻¹, but lower than the value for streams (<100 m width) of 17 cm h⁻¹ given by Aufdenkampfe and colleagues2. This reflects the fact that our data in the Congo and Zambezi were obtained in high-order streams, mainly in regions with gentle slopes (lowlands). Nevertheless, the actual accuracy of the chamber k values is irrelevant in the present case because we aimed at determining the CH4 ebullition from the chamber measurements. Yet, these CH₄ ebullition estimates are probably conservative and provide underestimates, as for logistical reasons there was no replication of chambers and the deployment was short relative other studies, where it varies between 1 h (ref. 19) and 24 h (ref. 18). Indeed, CH₄ ebullition is notoriously heterogeneous both in space and time (across different timescales from

daily to seasonal)48-51, and adequately capturing this heterogeneity would require a sampling effort and design incompatible with other aims of our research.

GHG flux error analysis. An error analysis on the GHG flux computation and upscaling was carried out by error propagation of the GHG concentration measurements, the k value estimates, and the estimate of surface areas of river channels to scale the areal fluxes, using a Monte Carlo simulation with 1,000 iterations. The uncertainty on the GHG concentrations led to an uncertainty of a real fluxes of $\pm 1.2\%, \pm 2.3\%$ and $\pm 5.2\%$ for $\rm CO_2, CH_4$ and N2O, respectively. Whereas no information is available in Aufdenkampe et al.² regarding the uncertainty on k values, the uncertainty on k given by Raymond *et al.*³ was estimated to be $\pm 10.0\%$, based on the errors on slope and constant of the parameterization⁴¹. This leads to a cumulated uncertainty of areal fluxes of ±4.6%, ±4.9% and ±6.7% for $\rm CO_2, CH_4$ (diffusive) and $\rm N_2O$, respectively. Ebullition of CH₄ is highly heterogeneous spatially and temporally⁴⁸⁻⁵¹, and it is difficult to quantify this uncertainty. We arbitrarily assigned an uncertainty of $\pm 50\%$ for CH₄ ebullition. This leads to a cumulated uncertainty of total areal fluxes of $\pm 29.4\%$ for CH₄ (diffusive + ebullitive). The river/stream surface areas reported by Raymond et al.3 were estimated using two different hydraulic equations, which allow one to estimate an uncertainty of $\pm 31.0\%$. The overall uncertainty of integrated fluxes in river channels is $\pm 18.8\%$, $\pm 18.3\%$, $\pm 34.2\%$ and $\pm 19.2\%$ for CO₂, CH₄ (diffusive only), CH₄ (diffusive + ebullitive) and N₂O, respectively. The comparison of the wetland mapping of Bwangoy et al.²⁰ and of the Africover vegetation map, allows one to evaluate the uncertainty of the surface area of Congolese 'Cuvette Centrale' to $\pm 10\%$. The overall uncertainty of integrated fluxes in the Congolese 'Cuvette Centrale' is $\pm 7.0\%$ and $\pm 30.6\%$ for CO $_2$ and CH₄ (diffusive + ebullitive), respectively.

Other sources of uncertainty that are not straightforward to quantify relate to seasonal and spatial representativeness. For all spatial surveys, we paid particular attention to cover the widest possible spectrum of systems from mainstem to headwaters, to capture spatial variations in the most comprehensive way given logistical constraints. Data from all basins cover different hydrologic conditions and average fluxes capture seasonality. Spatial and seasonal variations have been presented and discussed for some basins in site-specific studies^{22,25,34,52-54}.

GIS analysis of catchment characteristics. Mean slope and drainage area were extracted from the HYDRO1K global hydrologic data set55. The fractional land cover type of the watershed was extracted from the Global Land Cover (GLC) 2000 database of Africa⁵⁶. In total, 27 different land sub-classes are defined in the GLC database, taking into account the dominant vegetation class (namely trees, shrubs and grasses), phenology, seasonality, flooding regime and altitude. These sub-classes were aggregated in seven first-level classes based on vegetation structural categories: dense forest, mosaic forest/other, woodlands and shrublands, grasslands, agricultural lands, bare soils and others (water bodies, urban). Above ground biomass in Mg km⁻² (Mg = 10⁹ g) was extracted from the Woods Hole Research Center (WHRC) pantropical national level C stock data set57. The extent of wetland and floodplain areas was extracted from the Global Lakes and Wetlands Database⁵⁸, except for the Nyong⁵⁹ and the Tana (Omengo, F. O. Based on A GIS Analysis of Landsat Images). Annual precipitation was extracted from the WorldClim Global Climate Database⁶⁰.

Data availability. The full data set of CO₂, CH₄ and N₂O concentrations is available as Supplementary Information.

Data sources. Several publicly available data sources were used: HYDRO1K global hydrologic data set: https://lta.cr.usgs.gov/HYDRO1K GLC 2000 database of Africa: http://forobs.jrc.ec.europa.eu/products/glc2000/products.php

- WHRC pantropical national level C stock data set: http://www.whrc.org/mapping/pantropical/carbon_dataset.html
- Global Lakes and Wetlands Database:
- https://www.worldwildlife.org/pages/global-lakes-and-wetlands-database WorldClim—Global Climate Data: http://www.worldclim.org

Data on river/stream surface and k values from: http://www.nature.com/nature/ journal/v503/n7476/abs/nature12760.html#supplementary-information

References

30. Richardson, D. C., Newbold, J. D., Aufdenkampe, A. K., Taylor, P. G. & Kaplan, L. A. Measuring heterotrophic respiration rates of suspended particulate organic carbon from stream ecosystems. Limnol. Oceanogr. 11, 247-261 (2013).

- 31. Weiss, R. F. Determinations of carbon dioxide and methane by dual catalyst flame ionization chromatography and nitrous oxide by electron capture chromatography. J. Chromatogr. Sci. 19, 611-616 (1981).
- 32. Yamamoto, S., Alcauskas, J. B. & Crozier, T. E. Solubility of methane in distilled water and seawater. J. Chem. Eng. Data 21, 78-80 (1976).
- 33. Weiss, R. F. & Price, B. A. Nitrous oxide solubility in water and seawater. Mar. Chem. 8, 347-359 (1980).
- 34. Koné, Y. J. M., Abril, G., Delille, B. & Borges, A. V. Seasonal variability of methane in the rivers and lagoons of Ivory Coast (West Africa). Biogeochemistry 100, 21-37 (2010).
- 35. Bouillon, S., Korntheuer, M., Baeyens, W. & Dehairs, F. A new automated setup for stable isotope analysis of dissolved organic carbon. Limnol. Oceanogr. 4, 216-226 (2006).
- 36. Standing Committee of Analysts Methods for the Examination of Waters and Associated Materials (HMSO, 1981).
- 37. Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater (APHA, 1998).
- 38. Miranda, K. M. et al. A rapid, simple spectrophotometric method for simultaneous detection of nitrate and nitrite. Nitric Oxide 5, 62-71 (2001).
- 39. Liss, P. S. & Slater, P. G. Flux of gases across the air-sea interface. Nature 247, 181-184 (1974).
- 40. Weiss, R. F. Carbon dioxide in water and seawater: The solubility of a non-ideal gas. Mar. Chem. 2, 203-215 (1974).
- 41. Raymond, P. A. et al. Scaling the gas transfer velocity and hydraulic geometry in streams and small rivers. Limnol. Oceanogr. 2, 41-53 (2012)
- 42. Wanninkhof, R. Relationship between wind speed and gas exchange over the ocean. J. Geophys. Res. 97, 7373-7382 (1992).
- 43. Frankignoulle, M. Field measurements of air-sea CO2 exchange. Limnol. Oceanogr. 33, 313-322 (1988).
- 44. Raymond, P. A. & Cole, J. J. Gas exchange in rivers and estuaries: Choosing a gas transfer velocity. Estuaries 24, 312-317 (2001).
- 45. Guérin, F. et al. Gas transfer velocities of CO2 and CH4 in a tropical reservoir and its river downstream. J. Mar. Syst. 66, 161-172 (2007).
- 46. Huotari, J., Haapanala, S., Pumpanen, J., Vesala, T. & Ojala, A. Efficient gas exchange between a boreal river and the atmosphere. Geophys. Res. Lett. 40, 5683-5686 (2013).
- 47. Gålfalk, M., Bastviken, D., Fredriksson, S. & Arneborg, L. Determination of the piston velocity for water-air interfaces using flux chambers, acoustic Doppler velocimetry, and IR imaging of the water surface. J. Geophys. Res. 118, 770-782 (2013).
- 48. Crawford, J. T. et al. Ebullitive methane emissions from oxygenated wetland streams. Glob. Change Biol. 20, 3408-3422 (2014).
- 49. DelSontro, T. et al. Spatial Heterogeneity of methane ebullition in a large tropical reservoir. Environ. Sci. Technol. 45, 9866-9873 (2011).
- 50. Deshmukh, C. et al. Physical controls on CH4 emissions from a newly flooded subtropical freshwater hydroelectric reservoir: Nam Theun 2. Biogeosciences 11, 4251-4269 (2014).
- 51. Maeck, A., Hofmann, H. & Lorke, A. Pumping methane out of aquatic sediments - ebullition forcing mechanisms in an impounded river. Biogeosciences 11, 2925-2938 (2014).
- 52. Bouillon, S. et al. Organic matter sources, fluxes and greenhouse gas exchange in the Oubangui River (Congo River basin). Biogeosciences 9, 2045-2062 (2012).
- 53. Bouillon, S. et al. Contrasting biogeochemical characteristics of right-bank tributaries and a comparison with the mainstem Oubangui River, Central African Republic (Congo River basin). Sci. Rep. 4, 5402 (2014).
- 54. Bouillon, S. et al. Distribution, origin and cycling of carbon in the Tana River (Kenya): A dry season basin-scale survey from headwaters to the delta. Biogeosciences 6, 2475-2493 (2009).
- 55. HYDRO1K Elevation Derivative Database (US Geological Survey, 2000).
- 56. Mayaux, P., Bartholomé, E., Fritz, S. & Belward, A. A new land-cover map of Africa for the year 2000. J. Biogeogr. 31, 861-877 (2004).
- 57. Baccini, A., Laporte, N., Goetz, S. J., Sun, M. & Dong, H. A first Map of tropical Africa's above-ground biomass derived from satellite imagery. Environ. Res. Lett. 3, 045011 (2008).
- 58. Lehner, B. & Döll, P. Development and validation of a global database of lakes, reservoirs and wetlands. J. Hydrol. 296, 1-22 (2004).
- 59. Olivry, J. C. Fleuves et Rivières du Cameroun (MESCES ORSTOM, 1986).
- 60. Hijmans, R. J., Cameron, S. E., Parra, J. L., Jones, P. G. & Jarvis, A. Very high resolution interpolated climate surfaces for global land areas. Int. J. Clim. 25, 1965-1978 (2005).



Globally significant greenhouse-gas emissions from African inland waters

Alberto V. Borges, François Darchambeau, Cristian R. Teodoru, Trent R. Marwick, Fredrick Tamooh, Naomi Geeraert, Fredrick O. Omengo, Frédéric Guérin, Thibault Lambert, Cédric Morana, Eric Okuku and Steven Bouillon



Figure S1. Sampling stations, catchment and river network of the studied Sub-Saharan rivers.

Figure S2. Box and whisker plots of pCO_2 , CH_4 , N_2O and $\%O_2$ in the studied Sub-Saharan rivers. Data were categorized into small (<100 m width) and larger (>100 m width) rivers/streams. The horizontal line corresponds to the median, the cross to the average, error bars correspond to the 5 and 95 percentile, symbols to outliers.



Figure S3. Comparison of the basin-wide averages of pCO_2 and FCO_2 reported in the present study and by³ for the Congo, Zambezi, Tana, Rianila and Betsiboka Rivers. The strongest deviations in pCO_2 between data from this study and the modelled data from³ were observed for the rivers (Zambezi and Congo) with extensive wetland coverage (5 and 10% of the total catchment, respectively).



Figure S4. Comparison of the CH₄ flux calculated from the k_{600} derived from the corresponding chamber FCO₂ (that should correspond exclusively to the diffusive CH₄ flux) and the CH₄ flux measured with the floating chamber (that integrates the ebullition CH₄ flux and the diffusive CH₄ flux). The difference between both approaches corresponds to the ebullition CH₄ flux. The highest ebullition flux (2575 times higher than the diffusive CH₄ flux) was measured in a *Vossia cuspidata* patch in Congo River.





Figure S5. N₂O as a function of $%O_2$, NO₃⁻, NH₄⁺ and DIN in the studied African rivers.

Figure S6. N_2O as a function of NO_3^- from seasonal monitoring on the mainstem (fixed station) in the Oubangui (Congo River), Niger and Ogooué.



Figure S7. CH_4 , pCO_2 , N_2O and $\%O_2$ as a function of water temperature and altitude in the studied African rivers.



Figure S8. pH and %O₂ as a function of DOC in the studied African rivers.



Fig. S9. Longitudinal variations in the Congo mainstem and tributaries along a transect in December 2013 (high water) from Kisangani to Kinshasa of pCO_2 (ppm), CH_4 (nmol L⁻¹) and $\%O_2$ (%), and aboveground woody biomass (Mg km⁻²)⁵⁷, % of dense forest cover (%)⁵⁶, and wetland surface (cumulated surface and fraction of catchment)⁵⁸. The strong increase in cumulated wetland surface between 200 and 700 km upstream of Kinshasa corresponds to the core of the "Cuvette Centrale". About 200 km upstream of Kinshasa, the catchment becomes dominated by shrubby savannah. Aboveground biomass and dense forest cover increase gradually along the transect and decrease 200 km above Kinshasa with savannahs becoming more prevalent. The pCO₂ and CH₄ concentration increase downstream towards the "Cuvette Centrale" and collapse in the savannah area upstream of Kinshasa. These patterns are mirrored by %O₂ (%).



Fig. S10. pCO_2 (ppm), CH_4 (nmol L⁻¹), N_2O (nmol L⁻¹) and $\%O_2$ (%) in 46 rivers of the Congo basin as function of dense forest cover⁵⁶ and aboveground woody biomass (Mg km⁻²)⁵⁷ on the catchment⁵⁵. Data were bin-averaged by intervals of 25% of dense forest cover and by intervals of 400 Mg km⁻² of biomass. Two extreme CH₄ values (>25000 nmol L⁻¹) were removed from the analysis. Error bars correspond to the standard deviation on the mean.



Figure S11. pCO_2 (ppm), CH_4 (nmol L⁻¹) and %O₂ (%) as function of dense forest cover (%)⁵⁶, of aboveground living biomass (Mg km⁻²)⁵⁷, average catchment slope⁵⁵, and precipitation⁶¹. Only the data-sets that capture spatial variations were included in the analysis (excluding fixed time-series in the mainstem of rivers).



Figure S12. Frequency distribution of the pelagic R measurements gathered in the studied Sub-Saharan rivers.



Table S1. Summary of sample collection for GHGs and pelagic respiration (R) in African rivers and streams. Unpubl. = unpublished

	Approach	Dates	Longitude (°E)	Latitude (°N)	n pCO ₂	n CH ₄	n N₂O	<i>n</i> R	Reference
Congo	Survey	03/12/2013 - 19/12/2013	15.350 ; 25.187	-4.307 ; 2.206	75	75	75	67	Unpubl.
Congo	Survey	10/06/2014 - 30/06/2014	15.357 ; 25.187	-4.306 ; 2.217	89	89	89	69	Unpubl.
Congo	Survey	13/03/2014 - 21/03/2014	24.170 ; 24.604	0.493 ; 0.784	20	20	20	2	Unpubl.
Congo	Survey	17/09/2013 - 26/09/2013	24.169 ; 24.599	0.494 ; 0.775	6	7	7	7	Unpubl.
Congo	Survey	20/11/2012 - 08/12/2012	24.170 ; 25.196	0.490 ; 0.795	32	32	32	21	Unpubl.
Congo	Monitoring	10/12/2012 - 29/05/2014	25.200	0.499		37	37		Unpubl.
Congo	Monitoring	11/12/2012 - 29/05/2014	25.186	0.542		36	36		Unpubl.
Congo	Survey	20/12/2010 - 31/12/2010	27.803 : 28.574	-3.310 : -1.283		15	15		Unpubl
Congo	Survey	21/03/2010 - 23/03/2010	17.025 : 18.469	3.945 : 4.919		7	7		[52:53]
Congo	Survey	20/03/2011 - 23/03/2011	17.110 : 17.529	3.754 : 4.105		16	16		[52:53]
Congo	Survey	20/11/2012 - 24/11/2012	17.157 : 17.535	3,755 : 4,081		14	14		[52:53]
Congo	Monitoring	20/03/2010 - 31/03/2012	18 567	4 350		54	54		[52.53]
Congo	Survey	01/05/2010 - 06/06/2010	22.458 : 25.181	0.475 : 2.172		52	52	41	Unpubl
Congo	Monitoring	22/07/2011 - 23/11/2012	15 276	-4 296		29	28		Unnubl
Congo	Survey	02/05/2010 - 22/07/2011	15 276 · 25 181	-4 296 · 2 172		52	52	40	Unpubl
Congo	Survey	22/06/2012 - 24/06/2012	12 705 · 12 733	-5 964 : -5 956		2	2	40	Unpubl
Congo	Survey	22/06/2012 24/06/2012	12 705 : 12 733	-5 964 : -5 964		2	2		Unpubl
Virunga Rivers (12)	Monitoring	18/12/2010 - 20/02/2012	29 004 · 29 478	-3.304 , -3.304		201	200		Unpubl
Kivu Rivers	Survey	17/03/2007 - 22/03/2007	29.004 ; 29.470 28.788 · 29.411	-2 /70 - 1 991		12	250		Unpubl
Kivu Rivers	Survey	28/08/2007 - 10/09/2007	28.788 · 29.411	-2.470 ; -1.991		12			Unpubl
Kivu Rivers	Survey	28/08/2007 - 10/09/2007	28.788 , 29.411	-2.505 ; -1.992		13			Unpubl
Kivu Rivers	Survey	21/00/2008 - 20/00/2008	28.788 , 29.411	-2.505 ; -1.992		12	12		Unpubl
Kivu Rivers	Survey	22/04/2009 - 03/03/2009	28.788, 29.411	-2.505 ; -1.591		0	0		Unpubl
Kivu Rivers	Survey	25/06/2011 - 25/06/2011	28.789,29.072	-2.303 , -1.374		5	5	5	Unpubl
Kivu Rivers	Survey	25/00/2011 - 25/00/2011	20.700,20.037	-2.470 ; -2.302		5	5	5	Unpubl
kivu kivers	Monitoring	27/01/2012 - 27/01/2012	20.700 , 20.037	-2.470 , -2.302		5 21	J	J	[24]
lvory Coast (Collide)	Monitoring	22/00/2000 - 21/05/2007	-3.009	5.241		20			[34]
Ivory Coast (Bia)	Monitoring	12/06/2006 - 08/03/2007	-3.210	5.570		30			[34]
	Monitoring	13/06/2006 - 13/03/2007	-2.920	0.706		25	52		[34]
Nigor	Manitaring	19/04/2012 - 18/04/2014	10.225	-0.700		22	23		Unpubl.
Niger	Nonitoring	03/04/2011 - 17/03/2013			50	49	49	4.4	Unpubl.
Zambezi	Survey	04/02/2012 - 27/04/2012	22.688 ; 36.193	-18.549 ; -11.122	50	52	52	44	[25]
Zambezi	Survey	12/01/2013 - 15/03/2013	22.689 ; 36.445	-18.5/9;-8.596	55	45	45	37	[25]
Zambezi	Survey	19/10/2013 - 24/11/2013	22.685 ; 30.414	-17.887;-11.350	32	30	30	16	[25]
Zambezi (2 rivers)	ivionitoring	19/02/2012 - 24/11/2013	28.858 ; 28.875	-16.035 ; -15.946	16	55	55	57	Unpubi.
Madagascar	Survey	04/07/2010 - 03/09/2010	46.547; 49.268	-19.295 ; -16.233	26	121	121	56	Unpubl.
Madagascar	Survey	21/01/2012 - 01/03/2012	46.547; 49.026	-19.295 ; -16.233	36	49	49	43	Unpubi.
Tana -	Survey	03/09/2012 - 09/09/2012	39.340 ; 39.472	-4.422 ; -4.158	9	10	10	9	Unpubl.
Tana -	Survey	12/02/2008 - 24/02/2008	36.708;40.352	-2.410 ; -0.062		24			[54]
Tana	Survey	03/06/2010 - 22/07/2010	36.674 ; 40.352	-2.410 ; 0.269		98	98		Unpubl.
Tana (1 river)	Monitoring	14/12/2010 - 16/07/2013	37.269	-0.787		51	51		Unpubl.
Tana (5 sites)	Monitoring	25/06/2010 - 02/01/2012	38.311 ; 40.115	-1.851 ; -0.055		78	76		Unpubl.
Tana (2 sites)	Monitoring	11/09/2011 - 16/12/2013	39.636 ; 40.115	-1.851 ; -0.464		106	102		Unpubl.
Tana (2 sites)	Monitoring	13/10/2012 - 20/05/2014	39.636 ; 40.117	-2.269 ; -0.464	247			245	Unpubl.
Athi-Galana-Sabaki	Survey	01/11/2011 - 21/11/2011	37.253 ; 40.108	-3.391 ; -1.078	28	29	30	26	[22]
Athi-Galana-Sabaki	Survey	17/04/2012 - 01/05/2012	37.253 ; 40.108	-3.144 ; -1.078	16	22	22	22	[22]
Athi-Galana-Sabaki	Monitoring	08/09/2011 - 14/12/2013	40.108	-3.144		57	53		Unpubl.
Nyong (6 sites)	Monitoring	26/02/2009 - 15/05/2010	11.283 ; 12.533	3.167 ; 3.900		100			Unpubl.

	AGS	Betsiboka	Bia	Comoé	Congo	Niger	Nyong	Ogooué	Rianila	Tana	Tanoé	Zambezi
Catchment area (km ²) ⁵⁵	40300	68311	11917	78474	3705222	2098640	27415	215216	7844	100608	15608	1378102
Slope (°) ⁵⁶	1.23	1.02	0.36	0.48	0.60	0.44	0.50	0.49	3.22	0.95	0.58	0.86
Discharge (km³yr ⁻¹)	2.30	9.49	1.86	7.06	1292.98	200.00	12.30	148.22	12.87	4.92	4.16	130.37
Precipitation (mm) ⁵⁹	769	1527	1545	1174	1527	646	1809	1794	2397	696	1529	940
River-stream surface (km ²) ³	359	1105	105	690	26517	4118	222	4261	1125	895	137	7325
Wetland surface (km ²) ^{58,59,60}	1181	1354	0	150	358756	119734	5483	12612	128	402	126	69254
Aboveground biomass (Mg km ⁻²) ⁵⁷	67	98	479	279	7488	59	1022	984	736	77	489	262
Land cover ⁵⁶												
Dense Forest (%)	12.8	7.9	18.6	2.7	49.1	0.4	78.2	89.8	52.0	12.9	20.7	20.4
Mosaic Forest (%)	0.1	0.9	77.4	32.3	17.8	2.2	19.5	4.4	43.2	0.1	75.6	0.0
Woodland and shrubland (%)	10.2	15.5	0.8	58.7	26.6	21.1	1.6	2.2	1.0	8.1	3.0	54.6
Grassland (%)	59.5	75.3	0.0	0.0	2.9	23.4	0.2	3.2	0.4	71.2	0.0	8.7
Cropland / Bare soil (%)	16.5	0.0	0.0	6.3	1.7	52.2	0.0	0.1	0.0	7.4	0.0	13.4

 Table S2. Characteristics of the 12 studied African rivers. AGS = Athi-Galana-Sabaki River.

Table S3. Average values per river of pCO_2 , CH_4 , N_2O , FCO_2 , FCH_4 and FN_2O computed according to² (Auf) and ³ (Ray), integrated at basin and Sub-Saharan continental scaled using the river/stream surface areas reported by³, and the export of TOC and DIC reported in literature for the Congo, the Zambezi and the Tana. AGS = Athi–Galana–Sabaki River

		Congo	Ivory Coast	Ogooué	Niger	Zambezi	Betsiboka	Rianila	Tana	AGS	Nyong	Total
pCO ₂	(ppm)	8092				2612	1665	1861	1571	2197		6415
CH₄	(nmol L ⁻¹)	3184	223	606	149	2235	305	469	140	277	3922	2205
N ₂ O	$(nmol L^{-1})$	10		10	8	7	8	9	8	10		9.2
FCH ₄ (Aufd)	(µmol m ⁻² d ⁻¹)	14296	1003	2115	502	8348	1305	1923	568	1156	18019	9607
FCH ₄ (Ray)	$(\mu mol m^2 d^1)$	18534	1667	4668	583	13597	3493	4537	604	1374	28579	13164
FN ₂ O (Aufd)	$(\mu mol m^2 d^{-1})$	15		13	4	2	4	5	6	16		11
FN ₂ O (Ray)	$(\mu mol m^2 d^{-1})$	19		28	5	2	9	12	6	19		15
FCO ₂ (Aufd)	$(mmol m^{-2} d^{-1})$	1149				283	194	214	186	274		894
FCO ₂ (Ray)	$(mmol m^{-2} d^{-1})$	1520				421	523	509	198	306		1205
FCO ₂ (Aufd)	(TgC yr ⁻¹)	133.41				9.08	0.94	1.06	1.02	0.04		145.5
FCO ₂ (Ray)	(TgC yr ⁻¹)	176.52				13.52	2.53	2.51	1.09	0.05		196.2
TOC export to sea	(TgC yr⁻¹)	10.00-14.40 [62;63]				0.55 [25]			0.04 [65]			
DIC export to sea	(TgC yr ⁻¹)	3.20-3.46 [15;64]				3.69 [25]			0.06 [65]			
TOC+DIC export to sea	(TgC yr⁻¹)	15.53				4.24			0.10			

Table S4. FCO₂, FCH₄ and FN₂O in CO₂ equivalents in temperate rivers, the Ohio⁶⁶ and four Belgian⁶⁷ (BE) rivers. The sampled African rivers (Fig. 1) were characterized by lower FCO₂:FCH₄(CO₂ equ) ratios and higher FCO₂:FN₂O(CO₂ equ) than temperate rivers.

	FCO ₂	FCH ₄	FN₂O	FCO ₂	FCH_4	FN ₂ O
	mmol $m^{-2} d^{-1}$	μ mol m ⁻² d ⁻¹	μ mol m ⁻² d ⁻¹	$gC m^{-2} d^{-1}$	$gC m^{-2} d^{-1}$	$gC m^{-2} d^{-1}$
					(CO ₂ equ)	(CO ₂ equ)
Ohio (USA)	194	1188	23	2.33	0.12	0.08
Ourthe (BE)	67	110	7	0.80	0.01	0.03
Meuse (BE)	224	1060	22	2.69	0.11	0.08
Geer (BE)	1662	22460	550	19.94	2.25	1.97
Blanc Gravier (BE)	275	80	13	3.30	0.01	0.05

Full data-set available from :

http://www.nature.com/ngeo/journal/vaop/ncurrent/extref/ngeo2486-s2.xlsx