

Assessment of drift potential of sprays produced from tilted shielded rotary atomizers compared to hydraulic nozzles

Sofiene Ouled Taleb Salah, Mathieu Massinon, Nicolas De Cock, Bruno Schiffers and Frederic Lebeau

University of Liege, Gembloux Agro-Bio Tech









Controlled Droplet Application (CDA)... !









Rotary atomiser (GRASP)

Sofiene Ouled Taleb Salah



Compromise in termes of droplet sizes ...

Ug

Rotary atomiser (Micromax 120)

- VMD=270
- Span=0.6





Anti-drift nozzle (Hardi Injet 015)

- VMD=325
- Span=1.1







To investigate whether a tilted shielded rotary atomizer can reduce drift potential to acceptable levels.



Simulating the behaviour of droplets in the atmosphere

Combined ballistic and random-walk models

Evaporation



Wind profile









Rotary atomiser: VMD=270 µm; Span=0.6 ; Emitted droplet velocity= 25 m/s



<u>Hydraulic nozzle:</u> VMD=270 μm; Span=1.1 Emitted droplet velocity= 10 m/s







Rotary atomiser: VMD=270 µm; Span=0.69 ; Emitted droplet velocity= 25 m/s



Hydraulic nozzle: VMD=270 µm; Span=1.1; Emitted droplet velocity= 10 m/s



9/11

Rotary atomiser: VMD=270 ; Span=0.69 ; Emitted droplet velocity= 25 m/s



Hydraulic nozzle: VMD=270; Span=1.1; Emitted droplet velocity= 10 m/s



10/11





- Forward tilted rotary atomisers increased drift relative to vertical orientations.
- Vertical rotary atomisers with a narrow droplet size distribution centred around a VMD of $300\mu m$ reduce drift comparatively to hydraulic nozzles.
- A monodisperse droplet size distribution may avoid spray drift.





THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION

Sofiene Ouled Taleb Salah







▲ adhesion, • rebond , x fragmentation

Sofiene Ouled Taleb Salah