Stellar laboratories

II. New Zn IV and Zn V oscillator strengths and their validation in the hot white dwarfs G191–B2B and RE 0503–289*,**,***

T. Rauch¹, K. Werner¹, P. Quinet^{2,3}, and J. W. Kruk⁴

- ¹ Institute for Astronomy and Astrophysics, Kepler Center for Astro and Particle Physics, Eberhard Karls University, Sand 1, 72076 Tübingen, Germany e-mail: rauch@astro.uni-tuebingen.de
- ² Astrophysique et Spectroscopie, Université de Mons UMONS, 7000 Mons, Belgium
- ³ IPNAS, Université de Liège, Sart Tilman, 4000 Liège, Belgium
- ⁴ NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt MD 20771, USA

Received 23 January 2014 / Accepted 3 March 2014

ABSTRACT

Context. For the spectral analysis of high-resolution and high-signal-to-noise (S/N) spectra of hot stars, state-of-the-art non-local thermodynamic equilibrium (NLTE) model atmospheres are mandatory. These are strongly dependent on the reliability of the atomic data that is used for their calculation. In a recent analysis of the ultraviolet (UV) spectrum of the DA-type white dwarf G191–B2B, 21 Zn IV lines were newly identified. Because of the lack of Zn IV data, transition probabilities of the isoelectronic Ge VI were adapted for a first, coarse determination of the photospheric Zn abundance.

Aims. Reliable Zn IV and Zn V oscillator strengths are used to improve the Zn abundance determination and to identify more Zn lines in the spectra of G191–B2B and the DO-type white dwarf RE 0503–289.

Methods. We performed new calculations of Zn IV and Zn V oscillator strengths to consider their radiative and collisional bound-bound transitions in detail in our NLTE stellar-atmosphere models for the analysis of the Zn IV – V spectrum exhibited in high-resolution and high-S/N UV observations of G191–B2B and RE 0503–289.

Results. In the UV spectrum of G191–B2B, we identify 31 Zn IV and 16 Zn V lines. Most of these are identified for the first time in any star. We can reproduce well almost all of them at $\log Zn = -5.52 \pm 0.2$ (mass fraction, about 1.7 times solar). In particular, the Zn IV / Zn V ionization equilibrium, which is a very sensitive $T_{\rm eff}$ indicator, is well reproduced with the previously determined $T_{\rm eff} = 60\,000 \pm 2000\,\rm K$ and $\log g = 7.60 \pm 0.05$. In the spectrum of RE 0503–289, we identified 128 Zn V lines for the first time and determined $\log Zn = -3.57 \pm 0.2$ (155 times solar).

Conclusions. Reliable measurements and calculations of atomic data are a pre-requisite for stellar-atmosphere modeling. Observed Zn IV and Zn V line profiles in two white dwarf (G191–B2B and RE 0503–289) ultraviolet spectra were well reproduced with our newly calculated oscillator strengths. This allowed us to determine the photospheric Zn abundance of these two stars precisely.

Key words. atomic data – line: identification – stars: abundances – stars: individual: G191-B2B – virtual observatory tools – stars: individual: RE 0503-289

1. Introduction

In a recent spectral analysis of the hydrogen-rich DA-type white dwarf G191–B2B, Rauch et al. (2013) identified and reproduced stellar lines of C, N, O, Al, Si, O, P, S, Fe, Ni, Ge, and Sn. In addition, they identified 21 Zn IV lines. The determined Zn abundance (logarithmic mass fraction of -4.89, 7.5 × solar) was uncertain because the unknown Zn IV oscillator strengths were

approximated by values of the isoelectronic Ge VI taken from Rauch et al. (2012).

In this paper, we introduce new oscillator strengths for Zn IV and Zn V (Sect. 2). Then, we describe briefly our observations (Sect. 3), our analysis strategy (Sect. 4), and revisit G191–B2B to perform a precise determination of its Zn abundance (Sect. 5). The white dwarf RE 0503–289 is hotter than G191–B2B and its trans-iron element abundances are strongly oversolar (Werner et al. 2012; Rauch et al. 2013) and, thus, it appears promising to identify Zn lines. In Sect. 6, we describe our search for these and the determination of its Zn abundance. We summarize our results and conclude in Sect. 7.


2. Transition probabilities in Zn IV and Zn V

Radiative decay rates (oscillator strengths and transition probabilities) have been computed using the pseudo-relativistic Hartree-Fock (HFR) method as described by Cowan (1981).

^{*} Based on observations with the NASA/ESA *Hubble* Space Telescope, obtained at the Space Telescope Science Institute, which is operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Inc., under NASA contract NAS5-26666.

^{**} Based on observations made with the NASA-CNES-CSA Far Ultraviolet Spectroscopic Explorer.

^{***} Tables 1 and 2 are only available at the CDS via anonymous ftp to cdsarc.u-strasbg.fr (130.79.128.5) or via http://cdsarc.u-strasbg.fr/viz-bin/qcat?J/A+A/564/A41






Fig. 1. Grotrian diagrams of our Zn V (top) and Zn V (bottom) model ions. Horizontal bars indicate levels, gray lines represent radiative transitions with known f values, respectively. The dashed lines show the ionization energies.

For Zn IV, configuration interaction has been considered among the configurations $3d^9$, $3d^84s$, $3d^85s$, $3d^84d$, $3d^85d$, $3d^74s^2$, $3d^{7}4p^{2}$, $3d^{7}4d^{2}$, $3d^{7}4f^{2}$, $3d^{7}4s5s$, $3d^{7}4s4d$, and $3d^{7}4s5d$ for the even parity and 3d⁸4p, 3d⁸5p, 3d⁸4f, 3d⁸5f, 3d⁷4s4p, 3d⁷4s5p, 3d⁷4s4f, 3d⁷4s5f, and 3d⁷4p4d for the odd parity. Using experimental energy levels published by Sugar & Musgrove (1995), the average energies (E_{av}) , the Slater integrals (F^k, G^k) , the spin-orbit parameters (ζ_{nl}), and the effective interaction parameters (α, β) corresponding to $3d^9$, $3d^84s$, $3d^84p$ configurations were optimized using a well-established least-squares fitting process minimizing the differences between calculated and experimental energy levels within both configurations. In the case of Zn V, the configurations included in the HFR model were 3d⁸, $3d^{7}4s$, $3d^{7}5s$, $3d^{7}4d$, $3d^{7}5d$, $3d^{6}4s^{2}$, $3d^{6}4p^{2}$, $3d^{6}4d^{2}$, $3d^{6}4s5s$, 3d⁶4s4d, 3d⁶4s5d for the even parity and 3d⁷4p, 3d⁷5p, 3d⁷4f, 3d⁷5f, 3d⁶4s4p, 3d⁶4s5p, 3d⁶4s4f and 3d⁶4p4d for the odd parity. For this ion, the semi-empirical fitting process was performed to optimize the radial integrals corresponding to 3d⁸, 3d⁷4s, and 3d⁷4p configurations using the experimental energy levels compiled by Sugar & Musgrove (1995). The HFR oscillator strengths ($\log af$) and transition probabilities (aA, in s⁻¹) for Zn IV and Zn V spectral lines are reported in Tables 1 and 2, respectively, alongside with the numerical values (in cm⁻¹) of lower and upper energy levels and the corresponding wavelengths (in Å). In the last column of each table, we also give the cancellation factor CF as defined by Cowan (1981). We note that very small values of this factor (typically <0.05) indicate strong cancellation effects in the calculation of line strengths. In these cases, the corresponding gf and gA values could be very inaccurate and so need to be considered with some care. However, very few transitions appearing in Tables 1 and 2 are affected by these effects. Figure 1 shows Grotrian diagrams of Zn IV and Zn V including all levels and transitions from Tables 1 and 2.




Fig. 2. Ionization fractions of Zn II – VI in our G191–B2B model atmosphere. m is the column mass, measured from the outer boundary of our model atmosphere. The formation depths (i.e., $\tau = 1$) of the Zn IV – V line cores are marked.

Table 3. Statistics of our N, O, and Zn model atoms for G191-B2B.

Ion	NLTE levels	LTE levels	Lines
N II	1	246	0
III	9	57	10
IV	9	85	10
V	10	52	20
VI	1	0	0
O II	1	46	0
III	9	63	6
IV	9	85	11
V	9	117	12
VI	10	75	19
VII	1	0	0
Zn II	6	0	8
III	13	0	17
IV	63	13	399
V	157	0	1878
VI	1	0	0

3. Observations

In this analysis, we use the FUSE¹ spectrum of RE 0503–289 and the FUSE and HST/STIS² spectra G191–B2B that are described in detail by Werner et al. (2012) and Rauch et al. (2013), respectively.

Both FUSE spectra are co-added from all available observations of RE 0503–289 and G191–B2B. They cover the wavelength range 910 Å < λ < 1188 Å. Their resolving power is $R = \lambda/\Delta\lambda \approx 20\,000$. The HST/STIS spectrum of G191–B2B is co-added from 105 observation with the highest resolution (grating E140H, $R \approx 118\,000$, 1145 Å < λ < 1700 Å) available via MAST.

4. Model atmospheres and atomic data

To determine the Zn abundance of G191–B2B, it would be straightforward to use the final model of Rauch et al. (2013) as well as their model atoms with the only exception that the Zn IV and Zn V model ions were replaced by the extended versions that consider the newly calculated transition probabilities

¹ Far Ultraviolet Spectroscopic Explorer.

² *Hubble* Space Telescope/Space Telescope Imaging Spectrograph, for our high-resolution spectrum of G191-B2B, see http://www.stsci.edu/hst/observatory/crds/calspec.html




Fig. 3. Zn IV lines (*left panel*, marked with their wavelengths in Å, blue in the online version) and Zn V lines (*right panel*, marked in green) in the FUSE (for lines at $\lambda < 1150$ Å) and HST/STIS ($\lambda > 1150$ Å) observations of G191–B2B compared with our theoretical line profiles. For the identification of other lines, see Rauch et al. (2013). The vertical bar shows 10% of the continuum flux.

Table 4. Identified Zn lines in the UV spectrum of G191-B2B.

	Wavelength/Å			
Ion		Theoretical	Observed	Comments
Zn	IV	1272. 202		
		1272. 990	1272.975	prev. unid.
		1277. 080	1277.130	$\Delta \lambda > 0.02 \text{Å}$
		1283. 478	1283.525	$\Delta \lambda > 0.02 \text{Å}$, prev. unid.
		1284. 721	1284.740	
		1291. 826	1291.810	
		1296. 652	1296.620	
		1320. 704	1320.725	
		1321. 215		
		1322. 316	1322.320	0
		1326. 774	1326.735	$\Delta \lambda > 0.02 \text{Å}$
		1329. 110		
		1330. 302	1330.325	
		1333. 180		
		1340. 156	1340.190	
		1342. 716	1342.755	$\Delta \lambda > 0.02 \text{Å}$
		1343. 750	1343.815	$\Delta \lambda > 0.02 \text{Å}$
		1344. 122	1344.090	$\Delta \lambda > 0.02 \text{Å}$
		1347. 954	1347.970	
		1349. 876	1349.895	4.3. 0.00 %
		1352. 883	1352.905	$\Delta \lambda > 0.02 \text{Å}$
		1356. 171	1356.090	$\Delta \lambda > 0.02 \text{ Å, blend with}$
				Zn IV λ 1356.195 Å
		1357. 801	1357.810	
		1359. 477	1359.490	
		1363. 432	1363.420	$\Delta \lambda > 0.02 \text{Å}$
		1363. 912 1365. 253	1363.940 1365.260	$\Delta \lambda > 0.02 \mathrm{A}$
		1369. 510	1369.515	
		1375. 325	1309.313	
		1373. 323	1377.635	
		1387. 694	1377.033	
Zn	v	1116. 842	1116.860	
2.11	•	1120. 325	1120.330	
		1133. 031	1133.060	$\Delta \lambda > 0.02 \text{Å}$, prev. unid.
		1147. 020	1147.040	2.1.7 010211, provi ama:
		1152. 985	1152.980	
		1155. 027	1155.045	
		1158. 759	1158.750	prev. unid.
		1174. 346	1174.325	prev. unid.
		1176. 122		weak, prev. unid.
		1179. 969	1180.005	$\Delta \lambda > 0.02 \text{Å}$
		1185. 898	1185.905	
		1185. 948	1185.955	
		1186. 057		
		1186. 447		weak, prev. unid.
		1195. 745		weak
		1202. 906		

Notes. Observed wavelengths are given only in case that they deviate from the theoretical wavelengths (cf. Tables 1 and 2). "prev. unid." denotes lines that were previously listed as unidentified by Rauch et al. (2013).

(Sect. 2). Unfortunately, the employed Tübingen non-local thermodynamic equilibrium (NLTE) model-atmosphere package (Werner et al. 2003; Rauch & Deetjen 2003, TMAP³), which




Fig. 4. Theoretical line profiles of the strongest Zn IV lines (*left*) and Zn V lines (*right*) (marked with their wavelengths from Tables 1 and 2) calculated from our model of G191–B2B with a Zn abundance of 3.0×10^{-6} (mass fraction) located in the STIS wavelength range compared with the observation. The lines are shifted to the observation, see Table 4.




Fig. 5. Theoretical line profiles of the strongest Zn V lines calculated from our model of RE 0503–289 with a Zn abundance of 2.7×10^{-4} (mass fraction) located in the FUSE wavelength range compared with the observation. The lines are shifted to the observation, see Table 5.

is used to calculate plane-parallel, chemically homogeneous, metal-line blanketed NLTE model atmospheres, overcharged our FORTRAN compilers. The program would not compile if the array sizes were increased further according to the much higher number of atomic levels treated in NLTE and the respective higher number of radiative and collisional transitions.

Thus, we decided to reduce the number of N and O levels treated in NLTE (Table 3) to create a TMAP executable. Test calculations have shown that the deviations in temperature and density structure between the final model of Rauch et al. (2013) and a model with reduced N and O model atoms are negligible. Then, the Zn occupation numbers are determined in a line-formation calculation, i.e., at fixed temperature and density structure. Since Zn opacities were already considered in our start model, the atmospheric structure and the background opacities are well modeled.

http://astro.uni-tuebingen.de/~TMAP




Fig. 6. Zn V lines in the FUSE observation of RE 0503–289 compared with our theoretical line profiles.

All model atoms (including Zn) are provided via the Tübingen Model-Atom Database (TMAD 4 , Rauch & Deetjen 2003), that has been set up within a project of the German Astrophysical Virtual Observatory (GAVO 5). All SEDs that were calculated for this analysis are available via the registered Theoretical Stellar Spectra Access (TheoSSA 6) VO service.

5. The photospheric Zn abundance in G191-B2B

Zn IV and Zn V are the dominant ionization stages of Zn in the atmosphere of G191–B2B (Fig. 2). Therefore, we closely inspected the available spectra for lines of these ions.

In the FUSE and HST/STIS observations of G191–B2B (cf. Rauch et al. 2013) we identified 31 Zn IV (10 new identifications) and 16 Zn V (all new) lines. The observed wavelength positions (a radial velocity of $v_{\rm rad} = 22.1 \, {\rm km \, s^{-1}}$ was applied according to Holberg et al. 1994; Rauch et al. 2013) deviate partly from

⁴ http://astro.uni-tuebingen.de/~TMAD

⁵ http://www.g-vo.org

⁶ http://dc.g-vo.org/theossa

Table 5. Like Table 4, for RE 0503–289.

Table 5. continued.

Ion	Wavele	ngth/Å	- Comments I	Ion	Wavelength/Å		- Comments
Ion	Theoretical	Observed		1011	Theoretical	Observed	Comments
Zn v		00001100		-	1138. 248		
	1035. 859				1138. 497		
	1035. 887				1139. 278	1139.220	$\Delta \lambda > 0.02 \text{Å}$
	1043. 353				110). 2/0	1107.220	blend with Ge V
	1055. 878				1140. 703		
	1063. 979				1141. 003	1141.015	
	1068. 284				1141. 095		
	1069. 674		blend with O v, Ga v, Ge v		1141. 344		
	1069. 764		, ,		1142. 792		
	1071. 501				1142. 925		
	1072. 992	1072.950	$\Delta \lambda > 0.02 \text{Å}$		1142. 938		prev. unid.
	1074. 241	1074.265	$\Delta \lambda > 0.02 \text{Å}$		1143. 196		prev. uma.
	1075. 171	1075.050	$\Delta \lambda > 0.02 \text{Å}$		1143. 403		
	1076. 239				1144. 136	1144.160	$\Delta \lambda > 0.02 \text{Å}$
	1076. 878	1076.895			1145. 151	1144.100	$\Delta R > 0.02 \text{ A}$
	1090. 831	1090.800	$\Delta \lambda > 0.02 \text{Å}$		1145. 151		
	1094. 088	1094.110	blend with N IV		1146. 037		too atuuma in madal
	1095. 774	1071.110	blend with Ge V			1147.040	too strong in model
	1095. 797		orena with Ge v		1147. 020	1147.040	
	1095. 961	1095.945			1147. 371	1147.425	$\Delta \lambda > 0.02 \text{Å}$
	1109. 078	1109.110	$\Delta \lambda > 0.02 \text{Å}$		1148. 922	1148.915	
	110). 070	1107.110	blend with C IV		1149. 398	1149.370	$\Delta \lambda > 0.02 \text{Å}$
	1109. 166		blend with C IV		1149. 486		
	1110. 821	1110.810	weak		1149. 608		
	1111. 530	1110.010	blend with C III, O IV		1149. 873	1149.855	
	1111. 603		ciona wim c m, c i		1150. 743		
	1112. 829				1151. 368		
	1114. 482				1152. 985	1152.980	
	1115. 266	1115.295	$\Delta \lambda > 0.02 \text{Å}$		1153. 160		
	1115. 668	1115.695	$\Delta \lambda > 0.02 \text{Å}$		1155. 027	1155.045	
	1116. 630	1113.075	too strong in model		1155. 725	1158.750	
	1116. 842	1116.860	too strong in moder		1156. 520		
	1119. 950	1119.940			1156. 885		
	1120. 101	1120.080	$\Delta \lambda > 0.02 \text{Å}$		1158. 122		
	1120. 325	1120.000	$\Delta \lambda > 0.02 \mathrm{A}$		1158. 475		
	1120. 323	1121.095			1158. 759	1158.750	
	1121. 524	1121.073	weak		1160. 091		weak
	1121. 524		blend with Si IV		1160. 221		
	1122. 302		blend with Ga V		1161. 971		
	1125. 127	1125.050	$\Delta \lambda > 0.02 \text{Å}$		1162. 281		
	1125. 048	1125.060	$\Delta \lambda > 0.02 \mathrm{A}$		1162. 401		
	1129. 898	1123.000	blend with Ga V		1164. 090		blend of
	1130. 051		biend with Ga v				Zn V λλ 1165.082, 1164.101
	1130. 031				1164. 632		blend with O IV
	1130. 242	1131.250	prev. unid.		1165. 189		
	1131. 788	1131.230	prev. uma.		1165. 706		blend with C III
	1131. 768				1165. 716		blend with C III
	1131. 803	1132.290			1165. 880		blend with Xe VI
	1132. 271	1132.290	blend with N IV		1170. 105		olelia with he vi
		1122 060			1170. 105	1171.130	
	1133. 031	1133.060	$\Delta \lambda > 0.02 \text{Å}$		1171. 100	11/1.130	
	1133. 128	1122 200	A 1 > 0.02 Å			1174 225	
	1133. 278	1133.300	$\Delta \lambda > 0.02 \text{Å}$		1174. 346	1174.325	bland with Car
	1133. 498				1174. 945		blend with C III
	1135. 324				1176. 122		blend with C III
	1135. 588				1178. 759		
	1136. 603	1107.000			1179. 145		
	1136. 986	1137.000			1179. 179		
	1137. 625				1179. 969	1180.005	$\Delta \lambda > 0.02 \text{Å}$

Table 5. continued.

Ion	Wavelength/Å		Comments	
1011	Theoretical	Observed	Comments	
	1180. 018		blend of	
			Zn V λλ 1180.018, 1180.025 Å	
	1182. 019			
	1182. 567			
	1183. 041			
	1183. 100			
	1183. 158			
	1183. 314			
	1185. 619			
	1185. 645			
	1185. 676			
	1185. 898	1185.905		
	1185, 948	1185.955	blend of	
			Zn v λλ 1185.948, 1185.961 Å	
	1186. 057			
	1186. 447	1186.420	$\Delta \lambda > 0.02 \text{Å}$	
	1187. 664		too strong in model	
	1187. 706		too strong in model	

those given in Tables 1 and 2 by some hundredths of an Å. The good agreement of the strongest, unshifted lines in our model⁷ (Fig. 3) with the observations permits to shift the lines to observed absorption features in their closest vicinity. The reason for this uncertainty is most likely the limited accuracy of the Zn IV and Zn V energy levels from which the wavelengths of the line transitions were calculated. The identified lines are summarized in Table 4.

Our calculations have shown that the Zn IV/Zn V ionization equilibrium at $T_{\rm eff} = 60\,000\,\rm K$ and $\log g = 7.6$ (cf. Rauch et al. 2013) is well reproduced (Figs. 3, 4). On the other hand, the Zn abundance given by Rauch et al. (2013, $1.3\times10^{-5}\pm0.5\,\rm dex$ by mass) is too high. We reduced it to $3.0\times10^{-6}\pm0.2\,\rm dex$ (about 1.7 times solar, following Asplund et al. 2009) to reproduce the observed Zn lines best. This agrees with the previous value within the error limits. Even a solar Zn abundance, however, is possible within the error limits.

6. The photospheric Zn abundance in RE 0503-289

Our inspection of all UV spectra that were already used by Werner et al. (2012) has shown that only RE 0503–289 exhibits prominent Zn lines (Fig. 5). We find that in its FUSE spectrum ($v_{\rm rad}=26.3\,{\rm km\,s^{-1}}$), a rich Zn V spectrum of 128 lines (Fig. 6, Table 5) is present. The synthetic spectrum of our final model shows more, weak lines that do not have an unambiguous line identification due to the signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) of the observation. The model's prediction of their relative line strengths facilitates to distinguish between noise and "real" lines in the observation and, hence, to identify even such weak lines. In general, all lines with oscillator strengths $gf\gtrsim0.01$ can be detected.

Dreizler & Werner (1996) determined $T_{\rm eff}$ = 70 000 ± 4000 K and log g = 7.50 ± 0.25 for RE 0503–289. This was recently verified by well-matched ionization equilibria of Kr and Xe




Fig. 7. Like Fig. 2, for our RE 0503–289 model atmosphere.

(Werner et al. 2012, Kr VI/Kr VII, Xe VI/Xe VII) and Ge (Rauch et al. 2012, Ge V/Ge VI). We adopt these values and start our calculation based on the final model of Werner et al. (2012) that considers opacities of He, C, N, O, Ge, Kr, Xe, and of the irongroup elements (Ca - Ni). We follow the same strategy described in Sect. 4, but this time, we reduced the size of the Ge model atom in the line-formation calculations.

The higher $T_{\rm eff}$ compared to that of G191–B2B shifts the Zn ionization equilibrium strongly towards higher ionization (Fig. 7). Zn V remains dominant while Zn IV is less occupied by a factor of about 100 at all depths. The ionization fraction of Zn VI is also much below that of Zn V and we only expect weak lines. The strongest Zn VI lines⁸ are located in the soft X-ray to EUV⁹ wavelength range where we do not have high-quality observations to evaluate.

We determine a Zn abundance of $2.7 \times 10^{-4} \pm 0.2$ dex (about $155 \times \text{solar}$) to reproduce the observed Zn V line profiles best (Fig. 5).

7. Results and conclusions

The identified Zn IV and Zn V lines in the high-resolution UV spectra of G191-B2B and RE 0503-289 are well reproduced with our newly calculated oscillator strengths by our NLTE model-atmosphere calculations.

We determined photospheric abundances of log Zn = -5.52 ± 0.2 (mass fraction, $1.9-4.8 \times 10^{-6}$, 1.1-2.8 times the solar abundance) and log Zn = -3.57 ± 0.2 ($1.7-4.3 \times 10^{-4}$, 98–248 times solar) for the DA-type white dwarf G191–B2B and the DO-type white dwarf RE 0503–289, respectively. The highly supersolar Zn abundance is in line with the high abundances of trans-iron elements Ge ($650 \times$ solar, Rauch et al. 2012), Kr ($450 \times$ solar), Xe ($3800 \times$ solar, Werner et al. 2012) in RE 0503–289.

The identification of new lines due to trans-iron elements, e.g., Ga, Ge, As, Se, Kr, Mo, Sn, Te, I, and Xe (Werner et al. 2012) and Zn (Rauch et al. 2013, and in this paper) in G191–B2B and RE 0503–289 promises to help enhance the understanding of extremely metal-rich white dwarf photospheres and their relation to AGB and post-AGB stellar evolution. Their reproduction, i.e., the precise abundance determination, e.g., of Kr and Xe (Werner et al. 2012), Ge and Sn (Rauch et al. 2012), and Zn (this paper) is strongly dependent on the available atomic data. This remains a challenge for atomic and theoretical physicists.

Acknowledgements. T.R. is supported by the German Aerospace Center (DLR, grant 05 OR 0806). Financial support from the Belgian FRS-FNRS is also acknowledged. PQ is research director of this organization. This research has made use of the SIMBAD database, operated at CDS, Strasbourg, France. Some of the

⁷ All synthetic spectra shown in this paper are convolved with Gaussians to match the spectral resolution (FUSE: FWHM = 0.06 Å, STIS: FWHM = 0.01 Å).

⁸ http://www.pa.uky.edu/~peter/atomic

Extreme ultraviolet.

data presented in this paper were obtained from the Mikulski Archive for Space Telescopes (MAST). STScI is operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Inc., under NASA contract NAS5-26555. Support for MAST for non-HST data is provided by the NASA Office of Space Science via grant NNX09AF08G and by other grants and contracts.

References

Asplund, M., Grevesse, N., Sauval, A. J., & Scott, P. 2009, ARA&A, 47, 481 Cowan, R. D. 1981, The theory of atomic structure and spectra (Berkeley, CA: University of California Press) Dreizler, S., & Werner, K. 1996, A&A, 314, 217

Holberg, J. B., Hubeny, I., Barstow, M. A., et al. 1994, ApJ, 425, L105
Rauch, T., & Deetjen, J. L. 2003, in Stellar Atmosphere Modeling, eds.
I. Hubeny, D. Mihalas, & K. Werner, ASP Conf. Ser., 288, 103

Rauch, T., Werner, K., Biémont, É., Quinet, P., & Kruk, J. W. 2012, A&A, 546, A55

Rauch, T., Werner, K., Bohlin, R., & Kruk, J. W. 2013, A&A, 560, A106 Sugar, J., & Musgrove, A. 1995, J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data, 24, 1803

Werner, K., Deetjen, J. L., Dreizler, S., et al. 2003, in Stellar Atmosphere Modeling, eds. I. Hubeny, D. Mihalas, & K. Werner, ASP Conf. Ser., 288, 31

Werner, K., Rauch, T., Ringat, E., & Kruk, J. W. 2012, ApJ, 753, L7