

Université

de Liège

# Optical Study of a Spectrum Splitting Solar Concentrator based on a Combination of a Diffraction grating and a Fresnel lens

Céline Michel<sup>\*</sup> (FRIA PhD Student), Jérôme Loicq, Tanguy Thibert & Serge Habraken Centre Spatial de Liège – Hololab – Université de Liège

\* cmichel@ulg.ac.be

#### There are two solutions in order to reduce satellites high cost and space environment degradation Total power = Σ powers

(I) Solar concentration :# solar cells/m<sup>2</sup>  $\downarrow \rightarrow cost \downarrow$ 

Space environment

 $\rightarrow$  specific thermal conditions

 $\rightarrow$  desired solar concentration <10x

(2) Spectrum splitting

 $I \begin{vmatrix} Current matching \\ condition \\ \rightarrow power limited \\ by the worst cell \end{vmatrix}$ 

Lattice matching condition at the interfaces

 $\rightarrow$  less sensitive to ageing, incident spectral changes, etc.



Free choice of materials

Two designs based on a diffraction grating/Fresnel lens combination are proposed:



## IR $C_{geo}$ increase through a variation of grating period along the lens

From I<sup>st</sup> order optimized lens, the distribution of grating period along the lens is reoptimized in order to focus I<sup>st</sup> order diffracted light in the same focal plane as the non-diffracted light, see adjacent picture

Blazed configuration  $\rightarrow$  IR C<sub>geo</sub> increases from 10x to 16x, without reducing VIS C<sub>geo</sub> nor output power. Tracking tolerance is reduced from ±0.9° to ±0.85°. Lamellar configuration  $\rightarrow$  IR C<sub>geo</sub> increases from 3x to >8x and VIS C<sub>geo</sub> increases from 11x to >16x increasing also output power.

 $\rightarrow$  This method is essential for the lamellar configuration to reach interesting C<sub>geo</sub>

### **Results with perfect EQEs**

Configurations with light splitting are theoretically more performing than a concentrator focusing on a simple SJ cell





### **Results with more realistic EQEs**

	No splitting	Blazed configuration	Lamellar configuration
	GaAs	3 Mono-Junctions	3 Mono-Junctions
Band-gaps [eV]	GaAs	1 GaAs (1.4) & 2 InGaAs (0.7)	2 Si (1.11) & 1 $In_{0.49}Ga_{0.51}P$ (1.83)
$C_{ m geo} [ imes]$	11	12  and  16  (global  6.85)	8.3  and  16.3  (global  5.49)
Average $T_{\text{cells}}$ [°C]	80	70	65
$P_{\rm outmax} [W/m^2]$	282	$287 \ (2 \times 16.7 + 253.5)$	$299 \ (2 \times 58 + 183)$
$\lambda_{\rm blaze} \ [{\rm nm}]$	/	650	450
$\Theta_{90\%}$ [°]	0.85	0.8	0.7

Results can be improved by cells combination closer to the optimum found from ideal optimization.

The spectrum splitting light concentrator with two types of cells is theoretically advantageous compared to a classical concentrator focusing on a SJ. But, output power is still lower than a TJ cell. Advantages are then to be found in EOL output power, since the spectral splitting allows the use of SJ having a lower sensitivity to ageing or spectral change due to contamination etc. than MJ (cf. current matching condition). Moreover, the choice of cell is free: lighter, more radiation resistance, or cheaper cells can be chosen, specific coating to enhance performance can also been used on each cell. EOL output power can then be larger thanks to the light splitting! The panel cost is decreased thanks to the C<sub>geo</sub>.

