From Bad Models to Good Policies: an Intertwined Story about Energy and Reinforcement Learning

2014 NIPS Workshop « From Bad Models to Good Policies Workshop (Sequential Decision Making under Uncertainty) » Montreal, December 12th, 2014

> Raphael Fonteneau, University of Liège, Belgium @R_Fonteneau

Joint work with Damien Ernst, Susan A. Murphy, Louis Wehenkel, Quentin Louveaux, Bernard Boigelot - thanks to many other people and to F.R.S.-FNRS

Outline



Intertwined Stories

Fiesco via <u>Wikipedia</u>

AND

1

THE PARTY

......

J





Deterministic RL

• Dynamics $x_{t+1} = f(x_t, u_t)$ t = 0, ..., T - 1 $T \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$

$$\mathcal{X} \subset \mathbb{R}^d \qquad \mathcal{U} = \left\{ u^{(1)}, \dots, u^{(m)} \right\}$$

- Reward function $r_t = \rho(x_t, u_t) \in \mathbb{R}$
- Return

$$\forall (u_0, \dots, u_{T-1}) \in \mathcal{U}^T, \qquad J(u_0, \dots, u_{T-1}) \triangleq \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \rho(x_t, u_t)$$

• Optimality $J_T^* \triangleq \max_{(u_0, \dots, u_{T-1}) \in \mathcal{U}^T} J(u_0, \dots, u_{T-1})$

Batch Mode RL

- Dynamics and reward function are **unknown**
- Instead, we have access to trajectories (« bad model »):

$$\mathcal{F}^{(u)} = \left\{ \left(x^{(u),k}, r^{(u),k}, y^{(u),k} \right) \right\}_{k=1}^{n^{(u)}}$$

$$y^{(u),k} = f\left(x^{(u),k}, u\right)$$
 $r^{(u),k} = \rho\left(x^{(u),k}, u\right)$

 $\forall u \in \mathcal{U}, n^{(u)} > 0 \qquad \qquad \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}^{(1)} \cup \ldots \cup \mathcal{F}^{(m)}$

Lipschitz Continuity

 $\forall (x, x') \in \mathcal{X}^2, \forall u \in \mathcal{U}, \qquad \|f(x, u) - f(x', u)\| \le L_f \|x - x'\|$ $|\rho(x, u) - \rho(x', u)| \le L_\rho \|x - x'\|$

 $L_f, L_\rho \in \mathbb{R}$

Lipschitz Compatibility

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F}}^{f} = \left\{ f': \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{X} \middle| \begin{cases} \forall x', x'' \in \mathcal{X}, \forall u \in \mathcal{U}, \\ \|f'(x', u) - f'(x'', u)\| \leq L_{f} \|x' - x''\|, \\ \forall k \in \{1, \dots, n^{(u)}\}, f'(x^{(u), k}, u) = f(x^{(u), k}, u) = y^{(u), k} \end{cases} \right\}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F}}^{\rho} = \left\{ \rho' : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{U} \to \mathbb{R} \middle| \begin{cases} \forall x', x'' \in \mathcal{X}, \forall u \in \mathcal{U}, \\ |\rho'(x', u) - \rho'(x'', u)| \leq L_{\rho} ||x' - x''||, \\ \forall k \in \{1, \dots, n^{(u)}\}, \rho'(x^{(u), k}, u) = \rho(x^{(u), k}, u) = r^{(u), k} \end{cases} \right\}$$

$$\forall (f', \rho') \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F}}^f \times \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F}}^\rho, J_{(f', \rho')}(u_0, \dots, u_{T-1}) = \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \rho'(x'_t, u_t)$$

$$x'_{t+1} = f'(x'_t, u_t)$$

• Define:

$$B^*\left(\mathcal{F}, u0, \dots, u_{T-1}\right) = \min_{(f', \rho') \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F}}^f \times \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F}}^\rho} J_{(f', \rho')(u_0, \dots, u_{T-1})}$$

• The minmax generalization solution is defined as:

$$(u_0, \dots, u_{T-1}) \in \underset{(u_0, \dots, u_{T-1}) \in \mathcal{U}^T}{\arg \max} B^* (\mathcal{F}, u_0, \dots, u_{T-1})$$

• Here, we focus on the min part

 $(\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{F}, L_f, L_\rho, x_0, u_0, \ldots, u_{T-1})):$

$$\min_{\mathbf{\hat{r}}_0 \dots \mathbf{\hat{r}}_{T-1} \in \mathbb{R}} \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \mathbf{\hat{r}}_t,$$
$$\mathbf{\hat{x}}_0 \dots \mathbf{\hat{x}}_{T-1} \in \mathcal{X}$$

subject to

$$\left\| \hat{\mathbf{r}}_{t} - r^{(u_{t}),k_{t}} \right\|^{2} \leq L_{\rho}^{2} \left\| \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{t} - x^{(u_{t}),k_{t}} \right\|^{2}, \forall (t,k_{t}) \in \{0,\ldots,T-1\} \times \left\{ 1,\ldots,n^{(u_{t})} \right\},$$
(3.1)

$$\left\| \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{t+1} - y^{(u_t),k_t} \right\|^2 \le L_f^2 \left\| \hat{\mathbf{x}}_t - x^{(u_t),k_t} \right\|^2, \forall (t,k_t) \in \{0,\dots,T-1\} \times \left\{ 1,\dots,n^{(u_t)} \right\},$$
(3.2)

$$\left|\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{t} - \hat{\mathbf{r}}_{t'}\right|^{2} \leq L_{\rho}^{2} \left\|\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{t} - \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{t'}\right\|^{2}, \forall t, t' \in \{0, \dots, T-1 | u_{t} = u_{t'}\},$$
(3.3)

$$\|\mathbf{\hat{x}}_{t+1} - \mathbf{\hat{x}}_{t'+1}\|^2 \le L_f^2 \|\mathbf{\hat{x}}_t - \mathbf{\hat{x}}_{t'}\|^2, \forall t, t' \in \{0, \dots, T-2 | u_t = u_{t'}\},$$
(3.4)

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}}_0 = x_0. \tag{3.5}$$

Jacob van Ruisdael via Wikipedia

Hendrick Cornelis Vroom via Wikipedia









Eric Kounce via Wikipedia

 $(\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{F}, L_f, L_\rho, x_0, u_0, \ldots, u_{T-1})):$

$$\min_{\mathbf{\hat{r}}_{0} \dots \mathbf{\hat{r}}_{T-1} \in \mathbb{R}} \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \mathbf{\hat{r}}_{t},$$
$$\mathbf{\hat{x}}_{0} \dots \mathbf{\hat{x}}_{T-1} \in \mathcal{X}$$

subject to

$$\left\| \hat{\mathbf{r}}_{t} - r^{(u_{t}),k_{t}} \right\|^{2} \leq L_{\rho}^{2} \left\| \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{t} - x^{(u_{t}),k_{t}} \right\|^{2}, \forall (t,k_{t}) \in \{0,\ldots,T-1\} \times \left\{ 1,\ldots,n^{(u_{t})} \right\},$$
(3.1)

$$\left\| \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{t+1} - y^{(u_t),k_t} \right\|^2 \le L_f^2 \left\| \hat{\mathbf{x}}_t - x^{(u_t),k_t} \right\|^2, \forall (t,k_t) \in \{0,\dots,T-1\} \times \left\{ 1,\dots,n^{(u_t)} \right\},$$
(3.2)

$$\left\|\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{t} - \hat{\mathbf{r}}_{t'}\right\|^{2} \le L_{\rho}^{2} \left\|\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{t} - \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{t'}\right\|^{2}, \forall t, t' \in \{0, \dots, T-1 | u_{t} = u_{t'}\},$$
(3.3)

$$\|\mathbf{\hat{x}}_{t+1} - \mathbf{\hat{x}}_{t'+1}\|^2 \le L_f^2 \|\mathbf{\hat{x}}_t - \mathbf{\hat{x}}_{t'}\|^2, \forall t, t' \in \{0, \dots, T-2|u_t = u_{t'}\},$$
(3.4)
$$\mathbf{\hat{x}}_0 = x_0.$$
(3.5)

$$\mathbf{x}_0 = x_0.$$

• One can show that constraint (3.3) are redundant

LEMMA 4.1. Consider $(\hat{\mathbf{r}}^*, \hat{\mathbf{x}}^*) \in \mathbb{R}^T \times \mathcal{X}^T$ an optimal solution to $\bar{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{F}, u_0, \dots, u_{T-1})$. Then, for all t, t' such that $u_t = u_{t'}$,

$$\|\mathbf{\hat{r}}_{t}^{*} - \mathbf{\hat{r}}_{t'}^{*}\|^{2} \leq L_{\rho}^{2} \|\mathbf{\hat{x}}_{t}^{*} - \mathbf{\hat{x}}_{t'}^{*}\|^{2}.$$

 In particular, this implies that optimal reward for the first stage (t=0) can also be computed

LEMMA 4.2. The solution of the problem $(\mathcal{P}'(\mathcal{F}, u_0))$ is

$$\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{0}^{*} = \max_{k_{0} \in \{1, \dots, n^{(u_{0})}\}} r^{(u_{0}), k_{0}} - L_{\rho} \left\| x_{0} - x^{(u_{0}), k_{0}} \right\|.$$

 $(\mathcal{P}''(\mathcal{F}, u_0, \ldots, u_{T-1})):$ $\sum_{t=1}^{-1} \mathbf{\hat{r}}_t,$ \min $\mathbf{\hat{r}}_1 \quad \ldots \quad \mathbf{\hat{r}}_{T-1} \in \mathbb{R}$ $\mathbf{\hat{x}}_0 \quad \ldots \quad \mathbf{\hat{x}}_{T-1} \in \mathcal{X}$ subject to $\left\| \hat{\mathbf{r}}_{t} - r^{(u_{t}),k_{t}} \right\|^{2} \leq L_{\rho}^{2} \left\| \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{t} - x^{(u_{t}),k_{t}} \right\|^{2}, \forall (t,k_{t}) \in \{1,\ldots,T-1\} \times \{1,\ldots,n^{(u_{t})}\},$ (5.1) $\left\| \mathbf{\hat{x}}_{t+1} - y^{(u_t),k_t} \right\|^2 \le L_f^2 \left\| \mathbf{\hat{x}}_t - x^{(u_t),k_t} \right\|^2, \forall (t,k_t) \in \{0,\dots,T-1\} \times \left\{ 1,\dots,n^{(u_t)} \right\},$ (5.2) $\|\mathbf{\hat{x}}_{t+1} - \mathbf{\hat{x}}_{t'+1}\|^2 \le L_f^2 \|\mathbf{\hat{x}}_t - \mathbf{\hat{x}}_{t'}\|^2, \forall t, t' \in \{0, \dots, T-2 | u_t = u_{t'}\},\$ (5.3) $\mathbf{\hat{x}}_0 = x_0$. (5.4)

- We show that this problem is NP-hard
 - Reduction from {0,1}-programming feasibility problem
- We then decide to look for relaxation schemes of polynomial complexity
- We want these relaxation schemes to preserve the philosophy of the original problem
 - Lower bounds

 First approach: remove constraints until the problem becomes polynomial



• We get the « Intertwined Trust-Region » scheme:

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\mathcal{P}_{TTR}''(\mathcal{F}, u_{0}, \dots, u_{T-1}, \bar{k}_{0}, \dots, \bar{k}_{T-1})\right): \\ & \min_{\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{1}, \dots, \hat{\mathbf{r}}_{T-1} \in \mathbb{R}} \sum_{t=1}^{T-1} \hat{\mathbf{r}}_{t} \\ \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{0}, \dots, \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{T-1} \in \mathcal{X} \end{aligned}$$
subject to
$$\left\|\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{t} - r^{(u_{t}), \bar{k}_{t}}\right\|^{2} \leq L_{\rho}^{2} \left\|\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{t} - x^{(u_{t}), \bar{k}_{t}}\right\|^{2} \qquad t \in \{1, \dots, T-1\} \quad (5.5) \\ \left\|\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{t} - y^{(u_{t-1}), \bar{k}_{t-1}}\right\|^{2} \leq L_{f}^{2} \left\|\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{t-1} - x^{(u_{t-1}), \bar{k}_{t-1}}\right\|^{2} \qquad t \in \{1, \dots, T-1\} \quad (5.6) \\ \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{0} = x_{0} \qquad (5.7) \end{aligned}$$

• This problem can be solved by induction. Define:

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\mathcal{Q}_{ITR}''(\mathcal{F}, u_0, \dots, u_j, \bar{k}_0, \dots, \bar{k}_j) \right) : \\ \max_{\hat{\mathbf{r}}_1, \dots, \hat{\mathbf{r}}_j \in \mathbb{R}} & \left\| \hat{\mathbf{x}}_j - x^{(u_j), \bar{k}_j} \right\| \\ \hat{\mathbf{x}}_0, \dots, \hat{\mathbf{x}}_j \in \mathcal{X} \end{aligned}$$
subject to
$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \hat{\mathbf{r}}_t - r^{(u_t), \bar{k}_t} \right\|^2 &\leq L_{\rho}^2 \left\| \hat{\mathbf{x}}_t - x^{(u_i), \bar{k}_t} \right\|^2 & t \in \{1, \dots, j\} \qquad (5.8) \\ \left\| \hat{\mathbf{x}}_t - y^{(u_{t-1}), \bar{k}_{t-1}} \right\|^2 &\leq L_{f}^2 \left\| \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{t-1} - x^{(u_{t-1}), \bar{k}_{t-1}} \right\|^2 & t \in \{1, \dots, j\} \qquad (5.9) \\ \hat{\mathbf{x}}_0 &= x_0 & (5.10) \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 5.2. The optimal solution $D''_{ITR}(u_0, u_1, \bar{k}_0, \bar{k}_1)$ to $(\mathcal{Q}''_{ITR}(\mathcal{F}, u_0, u_1, \bar{k}_0, \bar{k}_1))$ is given by

$$D''_{ITR}(u_0, u_1, \bar{k}_0, \bar{k}_1) = \left\| \mathbf{\hat{x}}_1^*(\bar{k}_0, \bar{k}_1) - x^{(u_1), \bar{k}_1} \right\|,$$

where

$$\mathbf{\hat{x}}_{1}^{*}(\bar{k}_{0},\bar{k}_{1}) \doteq y^{(u_{0}),\bar{k}_{0}} + L_{f} \frac{\left\| x_{0} - x^{(u_{0}),\bar{k}_{0}} \right\|}{\left\| y^{(u_{0}),\bar{k}_{0}} - x^{(u_{1}),\bar{k}_{1}} \right\|} \left(y^{(u_{0}),\bar{k}_{0}} - x^{(u_{1}),\bar{k}_{1}} \right) \text{ if } y^{(u_{0}),\bar{k}_{0}} \neq x^{(u_{1}),\bar{k}_{1}}$$

and, if $y^{(u_0),\bar{k}_0} = x^{(u_1),\bar{k}_1}$, $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_1^*(\bar{k}_0,\bar{k}_1)$ can be any point of the sphere centered in $y^{(u_0),\bar{k}_0} = x^{(u_1),\bar{k}_1}$ with radius $L_f ||x_0 - x^{(u_0),\bar{k}_0}||$.



A simple geometric algorithm to solve $(\mathcal{Q}''_{ITR}(\mathcal{F}, u_0, u_1, \overline{k}_0, \overline{k}_1))$

LEMMA 5.3. The optimal solution to $\left(\mathcal{Q}''_{ITR}(\mathcal{F}, u_0, \ldots, u_j, \overline{k}_0, \ldots, \overline{k}_j)\right)$ is given by:

$$\forall t \in \{1, \dots, j\}, \quad \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{t}^{*}(\bar{k}_{0}, \dots, \bar{k}_{t}) \doteq y^{(u_{t-1}), \bar{k}_{t-1}} \\ + L_{f} \frac{\left\| \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{t-1}^{*}(\bar{k}_{0}, \dots, \bar{k}_{t-1}) - x^{(u_{t-1}), \bar{k}_{t-1}} \right\|}{\left\| y^{(u_{t-1}), \bar{k}_{t-1}} - x^{(u_{t}), \bar{k}_{t}} \right\|} \left(y^{(u_{t-1}), \bar{k}_{t-1}} - x^{(u_{t}), \bar{k}_{t}} \right) \\ if y^{(u_{t-1}), \bar{k}_{t-1}} \neq x^{(u_{t}), \bar{k}_{t}}$$

and, if $y^{(u_{t-1}),\bar{k}_{t-1}} = x^{(u_t),\bar{k}_t}$, $\mathbf{\hat{x}}_t^*(\bar{k}_0,\ldots,\bar{k}_t)$ can be any point of the sphere centered in $y^{(u_{t-1}),\bar{k}_{t-1}} = x^{(u_t),\bar{k}_t}$ with radius $L_f \|\mathbf{\hat{x}}_{t-1}^*(\bar{k}_0,\ldots,\bar{k}_{t-1}) - x^{(u_{t-1}),\bar{k}_{t-1}}\|$.

THEOREM 5.4. The solution to $\left(\mathcal{P}''_{ITR}(\mathcal{F}, u_0, \ldots, u_{T-1}, \bar{k}_0, \ldots, \bar{k}_{T-1})\right)$ is given by:

$$B_{ITR}''(\mathcal{F}, u_0, \dots, u_{T-1}, \bar{k}_0, \dots, \bar{k}_{T-1}) = \sum_{t=1}^{T-1} \mathbf{\hat{r}}_t^*$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathbf{r}}_{t}^{*} &= r^{(u_{t}),\bar{k}_{t}} - L_{\rho} \left\| \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{t}^{*}(\bar{k}_{0},\ldots,\bar{k}_{t}) - x^{(u_{t}),\bar{k}_{t}} \right\|, \\ \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{t}^{*}(\bar{k}_{0},\ldots,\bar{k}_{t}) &\doteq y^{(u_{t-1}),\bar{k}_{t-1}} \\ &+ L_{f} \frac{\left\| \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{t-1}^{*}(\bar{k}_{0},\ldots,\bar{k}_{t-1}) - x^{(u_{t-1}),\bar{k}_{t-1}} \right\|}{\left\| y^{(u_{t-1}),\bar{k}_{t-1}} - x^{(u_{t}),\bar{k}_{t}} \right\|} \left(y^{(u_{t-1}),\bar{k}_{t-1}} - x^{(u_{t}),\bar{k}_{t}} \right) \\ &if y^{(u_{t-1}),\bar{k}_{t-1}} \neq x^{(u_{t}),\bar{k}_{t}} \end{aligned}$$

and, if $y^{(u_{t-1}),\bar{k}_{t-1}} = x^{(u_t),\bar{k}_t}$, $\mathbf{\hat{x}}_t^*(\bar{k}_0,\ldots,\bar{k}_t)$ can be any point of the sphere centered in $y^{(u_{t-1}),\bar{k}_{t-1}} = x^{(u_t),\bar{k}_t}$ with radius $L_f \|\mathbf{\hat{x}}_{t-1}^*(\bar{k}_0,\ldots,\bar{k}_{t-1}) - x^{(u_{t-1}),\bar{k}_{t-1}}\|$.

 One can look for the best bound among all possible configurations

DEFINITION 5.5 (Intertwined Trust-region Bound $B_{ITR}(\mathcal{F}, u_0, \ldots, u_{T-1})$).

$$B_{ITR}(\mathcal{F}, u_0, \dots, u_{T-1}) \triangleq \hat{\mathbf{r}}_0^* + \max_{\bar{k}_{T-1} \in \{1, \dots, n^{(u_{T-1})}\}} B_{ITR}''(\mathcal{F}, u_0, \dots, u_{T-1}, \bar{k}_0, \dots, \bar{k}_{T-1}). \dots \\\bar{k}_0 \in \{1, \dots, n^{(u_0)}\}$$

 $(\mathcal{P}_{LD}^{\prime\prime}(\mathcal{F}, u_0, \ldots, u_{T-1})):$

$$\max \qquad \min_{\nu_{t,t'} \in \mathbb{R}} \quad \hat{\mathbf{r}}_1, \dots, \hat{\mathbf{r}}_{T-1} \in \mathbb{R} \\ \lambda_{t,k_t} \in \mathbb{R} \quad \hat{\mathbf{x}}_1, \dots, \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{T-1} \in \mathcal{X} \\ \mu_{t,k_t} \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathbf{r}}_{1} + \cdots + \hat{\mathbf{r}}_{T-1} + \\ &+ \sum_{(t,k_{t})\in\{1,\dots,T-1\}\times\{1,\dots,n^{(u_{t})}\}} \mu_{t,k_{t}} \left(\left\| \hat{\mathbf{r}}_{t} - r^{(u_{t}),k_{t}} \right\|^{2} - L_{\rho}^{2} \left\| \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{t} - x^{(u_{t}),k_{t}} \right\|^{2} \right) \\ &+ \sum_{(t,k_{t})\in\{1,\dots,T-1\}\times\{1,\dots,n^{(u_{t})}\}} \lambda_{t,k_{t}} \left(\left\| \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{t+1} - y^{(u_{t}),k_{t}} \right\|^{2} - L_{f}^{2} \left\| \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{t} - x^{(u_{t}),k_{t}} \right\|^{2} \right) \\ &+ \sum_{t,t'\in\{0,\dots,T-2|u_{t}=u_{t'}\}} \nu_{t,t'} \left(\left\| \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{t+1} - \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{t'+1} \right\|^{2} - L_{f}^{2} \left\| \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{t} - \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{t'} \right\|^{2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

 The Lagrangian Relaxation provides a lower bound on the optimal bound, in a polynomial time

DEFINITION 5.7 (Lagrandian Bound $B_{LD}(\mathcal{F}, u_0, \ldots, u_{T-1})$). Let $B''_{LD}(\mathcal{F}, u_0, \ldots, u_{T-1})$ be the optimal Lagrangian dual of $(\mathcal{P}''_{LD}(\mathcal{F}, u_0, \ldots, u_{T-1}))$. Then,

$$B_{LD}(\mathcal{F}, u_0, \dots, u_{T-1}) = \mathbf{r}_0^* + B_{LD}''(\mathcal{F}, u_0, \dots, u_{T-1}).$$

Bounds Tightness & Convergence

• Tightness

THEOREM 5.18. $\forall (u_0, \ldots, u_{T-1}) \in \mathcal{U}^T$,

$$B_{CGRL}(\mathcal{F}, u_0, \dots, u_{T-1}) \leq B_{ITR}(\mathcal{F}, u_0, \dots, u_{T-1})$$

$$\leq B_{LD}(\mathcal{F}, u_0, \dots, u_{T-1})$$

$$\leq B^*(\mathcal{F}, u_0, \dots, u_{T-1})$$

$$\leq J(u_0, \dots, u_{T-1}).$$

 Convergence as the sample dispersion of the sample of trajectories goes to 0

THEOREM 5.21. $\forall (u_0, \ldots, u_{T-1}) \in \mathcal{U}^T$, $\forall \beta \in \{B_{CGRL}(\mathcal{F}, u_0, \ldots, u_{T-1}), B_{ITR}(\mathcal{F}, u_0, \ldots, u_{T-1}), B_{LD}(\mathcal{F}, u_0, \ldots, u_{T-1})\},\$

$$\lim_{\alpha^*(\mathcal{F})\to 0} \quad J(u_0,\ldots,u_{T-1})-\beta=0.$$

Trajectories of Societies

Johanna Pung via Wikipedia

Trajectories of Societies



From Bad Models to Good Policies

The Energy Transition Case

1. World primary energy consumption

Non renewable

> 80% - < 20% Renewable

2. Energy <-> Economy

- Recent research in Economics has shown that:
 - The empirical elasticity (measured from time series among OECD countries over the last 50 years) of the consumption of primary energy into the GDP is about 60%, which is 10 times higher that what is predicted by the Cost Share Theorem

Elasticity can be quantified as the ratio of the percentage change in one variable to the percentage change in another variable

 There is a causality link between the consumption of primary energy and the GDP in the direction Energy -> GDP





Variation of the world oil consumption (red) and GDP per inhabitant (blue) - Data from the the World Bank for GDP and BP stat for energy

Source (in French): Jean-Marc Jancovici, « L'économie aurait-elle un vague rapport avec l'énergie? », LH Forum, 27 septembre 2013

3. ERoEl

 EROEI for « Energy Return over Energy Investment » (also called EROI) is the ratio of the amount of usable energy acquired from a particular energy resource to the amount of energy expended to obtain that energy resource:

$$EROI = \frac{Usable \ Acquired \ Energy}{Energy \ Expended}$$

- The highest this ratio, the more energy a technology brings back to society
- Notation : 1:X



Submitted - 2 November 2012 DFID - 59717

Añoto Bad Model

- A discrete-time model of the deployment of « renewable energy » production capacities
- Budget of non-renewable energy

$$\forall t \in \{0, \dots, T-1\}, B_t \ge 0$$

$$\exists r > 0, \exists \tau > 0, \exists t_0 \in \mathbb{R} : \forall t \in \{0, \dots, T-1\},\ B_t = \frac{1}{r} \frac{e^{\frac{-(t-t_0)}{\tau}}}{\left(1 + e^{\frac{-(t-t_0)}{\tau}}\right)^2}$$

N, $\forall t \in \{0, ..., 0, t \rightarrow 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, t \rightarrow 0, ..., 0, .$

- Set of renewable energy production technologies: $\forall n \in \{1, \dots, N\}, \forall t \in \{0, \dots, T-1\}, R_{n,t} \ge 0$
- Characteristics $\Delta_{n,t} \ge 0$,..., N}, $\forall t \in \{0, \dots, T-1\}, R_{n,t+1} = (1 + \alpha_{n,t})R_{n,t}$ $ERoEI_{n,t} \ge 0$
 - Prelowment strategy $\in \{0, \ldots, T-1\}, \quad \Delta_{n,t} \ge 0.$

 $\alpha_{n,t} \stackrel{E'RoE'I}{\in} [-1, \infty]^0.$

 $R_{n,t+1} = (1 + \alpha_{n,t})R_{n,t}$

$\{1, \dots, N\}, \forall t \in \{0, \dots, T-1\}, M_{n,t} \ge 0 \\ \{1, \dots, N\}, \forall t \in \{0, \dots, T-1\}, C_{n,t}(R_{n,t}, \alpha_{n,t}) \ge 0 \\ \text{Another Bad Model}$

Energy costs for growth and long-term replacement

$$\forall n \in \{1, \dots, N\}, \forall t \in \{0, \dots, T-1\}, \\ C_{n,t} (R_{n,t}, \alpha_{n,t}) \ge 0 \quad N \qquad M_{n,t} \ge 0 \\ \forall t \in \{0, \dots, T-1\}, E_t = B_t + \sum_{n,t} R_{n,t} \\ \bullet \text{ Total energy and net energy}^n \overline{to}^1 \text{society} \\ \forall \forall t \in \{\{0, \dots, N\}, \forall t \}, \{ E_t = , E_t + 1 \sum_{n=1}^N M_{n,t} \ge 0 \\ \{0, \dots, T_t = 1\}, M_t (t \sum_{n=1}^N 0_{C_{n,t}}(R_{n,t}, \alpha_{n,t}) + M_{n,t}) \\ \end{cases}$$

Another Bad Model

 Constraint on the quantity of energy invested for energy production

$$\forall t \in \{0, \dots, T-1\},\$$
$$\exists \sigma_t : C_{n,t}(R_{n,t}, \alpha_{n,t}) + M_{n,t} \le \frac{1}{\sigma_t} E_t$$

$$C_{n,t}(R_{n,t},\alpha_{n,t}) = \begin{cases} \gamma_{n,t}\alpha_{n,t}R_{n,t} & \text{if } \alpha_{n,t} \ge 0\\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$
Another Bad Model

• Further assumptions

• Energy cost for growth is proportional to growth, and $\in \{0, \dots, T^{\operatorname{dong}}\}, \exists \mu_{n,t}^{n} > 0 : M_{n,t}(R_{n,t}) = \mu_{n,t}R_{n,t}$ $C_{n,t}(R_{n,t}, \alpha_{n,t}) = \frac{\Delta_{n,t}}{ERoEI_{n,t}} \alpha_{n,t}R_{n,t} \text{ if } \alpha_{n,t} \ge 0$

> Long-term replacement cost is (i) proportional and (ii) annualized

$$M_{n,t}\left(R_{n,t}\right) = \frac{1}{ERoEI_{n,t}}R_{n,t}$$





Fig. 3. Scenario "plateau at time t=0"



Fig. 5. Scenario "plateau at time t=20"

Another Bad Model

• Increasing the ERoEI parameter



Good Policies?

- What kind of « good policy » can be suggested by such a « bad model »?
 - Energy efficiency: « do better with less »
 - -> Lots of decision making under uncertainty problems to solve here
- For people interested in Smart Grids: below is link toward a simulator for Active Network Management (ANM) developed by my colleagues at the University of Liège:

http://www.montefiore.ulg.ac.be/~anm/

Epilogue



References

[1] Wikipedia, Feu, Domestication par l'Homme

[2] Auzanneau, M. (2011). L'empire romain et la société d'opulence énergétique : un parallèle via lemonde.fr

[3] Tainter, J. (1990). The Collapse of Complex Societies.

[4] Gimel, J. - The Medieval Machine : the industrial Revolution of the Middle Ages, Penguin Books, 1976 (ISBN 978-0-7088-1546-5)

[5] Maddison, A. « When and Why did the West get Richer than the Rest ? »

[6] Wikipedia, Dutch Golden Age, Causes of the Golden Age

[7] Wikipedia, Histoire de la production de l'acier

[8] Wikipedia, Houille

[9] Giraud, G. & Kahraman, Z. (2014). On the Output Elasticity of Primary Energy in OECD countries (1970-2012). Center for European Studies, Working Paper.

[10] Stern, D.I. (2011). From correlation to Granger causality. Crawford School Research Papers. Crawford School Research Paper No 13.

[11] Stern, D.I. & Enflo, K. (2013). Causality Between Energy and Output in the Long-Run. Energy Economics, 2013 - Elsevier.

[12] Auzanneau, M. (2014). Gaël Giraud, du CNRS : « Le vrai rôle de l'énergie va obliger les économistes à changer de dogme » via <u>lemonde.fr</u>
 [13] Jancovici, J.M. (2013). Transition énergétique pour tous ! ce que les politiques n'osent pas vous dire, Éditions Odile Jacob, avril 2013. See also J.M. Jancovici's website.

[14] Meilhan, N. (2014). Comprendre ce qui cloche avec l'énergie (et la croissance économique) en 7 slides et 3 minutes.

[15] Wikipedia, Decline of the Roman Empire

[16] Lambert, J., Hall, C., Balogh, S., Poisson, A. and Gupta, A. (2012). EROI of Global Energy Resources - Preliminary Status and Trends - J
 State University of New York, College of Environmental Science and Forestry Report 1 - Revised Submitted - 2 November 2012 DFID - 59717
 [17] Jancovici, J.M. « L'économie aurait-elle un vague rapport avec l'énergie? »(2013), LH Forum, 27 septembre 2013

[18] Fonteneau, R., Murphy, S.A., Wehenkel, L. and Ernst, D. Towards min max generalization in reinforcement learning, in Agents and Artificial Intelligence: International Conference, ICAART 2010, Valencia, Spain, January 2010, Revised Selected Papers. Series: Communications in Computer and Information Science (CCIS), vol. 129, Springer, Heidelberg, 2011, pp. 61–77

[19] Fonteneau, R., Ernst, D., Boigelot, B. and Louveaux, Q. (2013). Min Max Generalization for Deterministic Batch Mode Reinforcement Learning: Relaxation Schemes. SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization

[20] Fonteneau, R. and Ernst, D. On the Dynamics of the Deployment of Renewable Energy Production Capacities. Submitted

[21] Kümmel, R., Ayres, R.U. and Linderberger, D. (2010). Thermodynamic Laws, Economic Methods and the Productive Power of Energy. Journal of Non-Equilibrium Thermodynamics, in press

[22] Gemine, Q., Ernst, D. and Cornelusse, B. (2015). Active network management for electrical distribution systems: problem formulation and benchmark. In press