AP Journal of Applied Physics

Growth and texture of spark plasma sintered Al2O3 ceramics: A combined analysis of X-rays and electron back scatter diffraction

D. Pravarthana, D. Chateigner, L. Lutterotti, M. Lacotte, S. Marinel et al.

Citation: J. Appl. Phys. **113**, 153510 (2013); doi: 10.1063/1.4802439 View online: http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4802439 View Table of Contents: http://jap.aip.org/resource/1/JAPIAU/v113/i15 Published by the American Institute of Physics.

Additional information on J. Appl. Phys.

Journal Homepage: http://jap.aip.org/ Journal Information: http://jap.aip.org/about/about_the_journal Top downloads: http://jap.aip.org/features/most_downloaded Information for Authors: http://jap.aip.org/authors

ADVERTISEMENT



Explore AIP's open access journal: • F

- Rapid publication
- Article-level metrics
- Post-publication rating and commenting



Growth and texture of spark plasma sintered Al₂O₃ ceramics: A combined analysis of X-rays and electron back scatter diffraction

D. Pravarthana,¹ D. Chateigner,^{1,2} L. Lutterotti,³ M. Lacotte,¹ S. Marinel,¹ P. A. Dubos,¹ I. Hervas,¹ E. Hug,¹ P. A. Salvador,⁴ and W. Prellier¹ ¹Laboratoire CRISMAT, Normandie Université, CNRS UMR 6508, ENSICAEN, 6 Boulevard Maréchal Juin,

14050 Caen Cedex, France
²Institut Universitaire de Technologie (IUT), 6 Boulevard Maréchal Juin, 14032 Caen Cedex, France
³Department of Materials Engineering, University of Trento, via Mesiano, 77 - 38050 Trento, Italy
⁴Materials Science and Engineering, Carnegie Mellon University, 149 Roberts Eng Hall, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15213, USA

(Received 5 March 2013; accepted 2 April 2013; published online 18 April 2013)

Textured alumina ceramics were obtained by Spark Plasma Sintering of undoped commercial α -Al₂O₃ powders. Various parameters (density, grain growth, grain size distribution) of the alumina ceramics, sintered at two typical temperatures 1400 °C and 1700 °C, are investigated. Quantitative textural and structural analysis, carried out using a combination of Electron Back Scattering Diffraction and X-ray diffraction, are represented in the form of mapping and pole figures. The mechanical properties of these textured alumina ceramics include high elastic modulus and hardness values with high anisotropic nature, opening the door for a large range of applications. © 2013 AIP Publishing LLC [http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4802439]

INTRODUCTION

Alumina (Al_2O_3) ceramic has been widely studied for its multifunctional applications in electronics, mechanical, biomedical, chemical, optical, refractory military uses, and enameling properties.¹⁻⁴ In particular, many efforts are focused on the control of the grain size, shape, porosity, texture, and density in order to understand and precisely tune their mechanical properties. Despite the numerous reported works on preparing ceramic alumina, very few of them are, however, addressing the correlation between processing, microstructure, and properties.

There are various synthesis techniques available to synthesize ceramic materials and obtain the required microstructure for various applications.⁵ Recently, Spark Plasma Sintering (SPS) is an emerging technique that has been utilized to densify different kinds of materials. The SPS process is a pressure-assisted pulsed current sintering process in which densification is highly promoted at lower temperatures compared to conventional processes. This process usually leads to highly dense ceramics with fine control of grain structures.^{6,7} SPS is indeed useful because of its versatile nature in densification in a short amount of time, avoiding the coarsening process and leading to texture.⁸ It has been shown for Ca₃Co₄O₉ and Bi₂Te₃ that the spark plasma process could significantly induce texture along the direction of applied pressure leading to changes in the thermoelectric performance.^{9–11} Thus, the texturing of alumina ceramics by controlling the SPS parameters (in terms of temperature and uniaxial pressure) is necessary to design advanced ceramics with tunable mechanical properties. The preferred crystallographic orientation (texture) of polycrystalline materials influences many properties of the bulk material at the macroscopic scale via the intrinsically anisotropic character of most of the physical properties observed at the level of a

single crystal. This is particularly true for elastic properties. Hence, a careful examination of the texture's effect at the polycrystalline sample scale is necessary to understand its physical impact on the orientation and the elaboration technique. Therefore, the determination of the crystallographic preferred orientation of alumina generated through SPS is required.

Several methods have been developed to quantitatively determine texture in materials, those based on diffraction techniques (such as x-ray, electron, neutron diffraction) being the most efficient. Using X-rays, intensities diffracted from a set of diffracting planes are measured while the sample is stepped through a series of orientations to complete the pole figures. Electron Backscatter Diffraction (EBSD) technique based on electron diffraction has also proven its efficiency and is widely used for texture analysis in materials science.¹²

The aim of the present work is to precisely determine the texture of spark plasma sintered Al_2O_3 ceramics measured as a function of sintering temperature. Also key parameters like grain size, misorientation angle, and orientation distributions are investigated using combined analysis of x-ray and electron backscattering diffraction. This latter parameter is used to simulate macroscopic elastic stiffness tensors that might impede the mechanical response of the material and to compare to experimental mechanical properties. Finally, we expect such a study to be useful for a better understanding of the growth mechanisms of corundum ceramic products which are widely used in a range of applications.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Commercially available α -Al₂O₃ (corundum) powder was purchased (Chempur GmbH, Germany, purity of

99.97%). The Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) analysis confirms the average particle size of about $8 \,\mu m$ diameter. The purchased powder was sintered using a spark plasma sintering apparatus (Struers Tegra Force-5). For SPS, nearly 5 g were loaded into a graphite die with a 20 mm diameter with graphite paper on both sides of the graphite punch and were sintered at different temperatures between 1400 to 1700 °C. The heating rate was kept at 90 °C/min until reaching 450 °C under a uniaxial load of 16 MPa and then was increased to 100 °C/min with a simultaneous increase of the uniaxial load to 100 MPa to reach the final sintering temperature of 1400 °C, and 1700 °C for the two samples, with dwell times of 20 and 10 min, respectively. Finally, the samples were cooled to room temperature at 90 °C/min. To remove the carbon from the surface, the samples were kept at 1200 °C for 12 h.

The alumina pellet was then cut perpendicular to the direction of the uniaxial load. To ensure a high quality of the Kikuchi patterns in the Electron Backscatter Diffraction, a highly polished surface was obtained using mechanical polishing followed by thermal etching at 1200 °C for 10 min. The EBSD experiments were performed to determine texture on the polished surface obtained as described above with carbon tape along the edges to avoid charging. The samples were mounted in the EBSD sample holder with a 70°-tilt angle from horizontal in a SEM operated at 20kV. Automated EBSD scans were performed with a step size of 1/10th of grain size; the working distance was set to 10 mm and identified the sample as the α -Al₂O₃ phase (space group R-3c:H, Crystallography Open Database No. 1000032).¹³ The acquired Kikuchi patterns were indexed automatically by the EDAX's Orientation Imaging Microscopy (OIMTM) software (v. 6). From this dataset, an Orientation Distribution (OD) of crystallites was calculated using discrete 5° binning input parameters and 5° Gaussian smoothing, which allowed the re-calculation of both pole figures and inverse pole figures.

Texture and structural variations were also investigated at a larger macroscopic scale by X-ray diffraction, using a 4-circle diffractometer setup equipped with a Curved Position Sensitive detector (CPS120 from INEL SA) and a monochromatized Cu K α radiation. The overall texture strength is evaluated through the texture index which is expressed in m.r.d.² units and varies from 1 (random powder) to infinity (perfect texture or single crystal) and used to compare the texture strength of different samples exhibiting similar ODs.¹⁴ The sample reference frame is given by the SPS direction of pressure (P_{SPS}), which corresponds to the centers of the pole figures (Z).

The hardness and Young's modulus of elasticity were extracted from the nanoindentation experiments performed in the mirror-like polished sample. Superficial hardness profiles were obtained with a MTS XP^{TM} nanoindentation device using the continuous stiffness measurement mode. Nanohardness was measured using the Oliver and Pharr methodology.¹⁵ Poisson's ratio for the test material (0.21 value is generally used for alumina) and 1141 GPa and 0.07 are the elastic modulus and Poisson's ratio of the diamond indenter, respectively. On each sample, two matrices of indents were performed (25 indents – 5 × 5; X-Y-space:

90–160 μ m; indentation depth: 120 μ m). The loading path consisted of five force increments with holding time of 30 s and unloading to 90% of the latest force. The time of loading was 15 s and the maximal applied force was 5 mN. Young modulus values were measured during the 5 unloading with the slope at maximum loading and were then averaged. The extracted parameters are the mean values of 25 measurements. The density of the samples was finally measured from Micrometrics AccuPyc 1330 Gas Pycnometer.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Figure 1 displays the typical evolution of the relative density as a function of dwell time. A uniaxial load of 100 MPa was used to minimize coarsening and to accelerate the sintering process. From the curve, a dwell time of 20 and 10 min is obtained for $1400 \,^{\circ}$ C and $1700 \,^{\circ}$ C, respectively. Figure 1 further indicates that the densification increases linearly up to the end of dwell time much faster for the higher temperature, while at $1400 \,^{\circ}$ C a two-regime behavior appears before saturation. At saturation, within the spanned dwell times, the process leads to a relative density of 96% at $1400 \,^{\circ}$ C, whereas at $1700 \,^{\circ}$ C, the final relative density reached almost the full densification value of 99.99%. This is consistent with an increase of atomic diffusion at grain boundaries during sintering at larger temperatures.

The EBSD analysis, performed on the 1400 °C and 1700 °C samples, was obtained with overall good qualities (see the Image Quality (IQ) maps in Figures 2(a) and 3(a)). Such IQ images are formed by mapping the IQ index obtained for each point in an EBSD scan onto a gray scale in which the darker gray shades in the image denote lower IQ values. The average image quality for the scan shown is 961 and 914 for Figs. 2(a) and 3(a), respectively. Note that this is not an absolute value; it depends on the material, the technique, and the parameters used to index the pattern. The overall quality maps exhibit high amounts of gray over the grains confirming a good crystalline nature and homogeneity. However, readily apparent in the IQ maps, the presence of grain boundaries with some areas appearing dark over the whole grain, points probably to rough surfaces or residual porosities.¹⁶ There are, however, less dark areas in the IQ



FIG. 1. Relative density for a uniaxial pressure of 100 MPa as a function of the dwell time for samples sintered at 1700 °C and 1400 °C. The plot is derived from the SPS data of piston displacement versus time.^{8–10} Note that a bimodal distribution is observed for sample grown at 1700 °C as seen from grain size distribution from EBSD data.



FIG. 2. (a) Image Quality map (b): Color coded Inverse pole figure (IPF) map showing the grain size for the sample sintered at 1400 °C recorded along the surface perpendicular to uniaxial pressure. The color code corresponding to the crystallographic orientation is given in the stereographic triangle with a small region magnified with the lattice marked with their misorientation angle shown in the top. Wire frames visualize the orientation of the selected crystals. (c) Calculated texture index, (d) MDF, (e) Grain size, and (f) misorientation angle distribution.

image of the 1700 °C sample, and grain boundaries appear weaker, at the benefit of globally lighter grains, indicating a less perturbed crystal state for higher sintering temperatures (even if the overall Kikuchi patterns do not show a clear difference). EBSD orientation maps of the sample surface perpendicular to P_{SPS} illustrate the crystalline direction of each grain aligning with the pressing axis, using a color coded inverse pole figure definition (Figures 2(b) and 3(b)). A significantly larger fraction of red colored grains are observed, indicating that the $\langle 0001 \rangle$ crystal directions align with P_{SPS} . The {0001} and {1-210} pole figures computed from the OD (Figures 2(c) and 3(c)) exhibit similar orientations for both samples, i.e., of a prominent $\langle 0001 \rangle$ parallel to the P_{SPS} , with relatively low orientation densities at distribution maxima (around 3 m.r.d.) and a- and b-axes randomly oriented around c-axis indicating a cyclic-fiber texture.



FIG. 3. (a): Image Quality map (b): Color coded IPF map showing the grain for the sample sintered at 1700 °C recorded along the surface perpendicular to uniaxial pressure. The color code corresponding to the crystallographic orientation is given in the stereographic triangle with a small region magnified with the lattice marked with their misorientation angle shown in the top. Wire frames visualize the orientation of the selected crystals. (c) Calculated texture index (d): MDF, (e) Grain size, and (f) misorientation angle distribution. In order to obtain a larger macroscopic view, by analyzing a larger volume in comparison to EBSD, it was coupled with X-ray diffraction analysis for overall texture determination probing around 1×10^6 crystals. Strong variations of intensities in the x-ray diffraction diagrams measured for various sample orientations were observed, indicating the presence of a relatively pronounced texture. The combined analysis refinement (Figure 4) correctly reproduces the experimental diagrams, within reliability factors $R_w = 38.23\%$ and $R_{exp} = 25.89\%$. These apparently large values of reliability factors depend on the number of experimental points, which in the present case is very large (around 2.3×10^6). From these two factors, a χ^2 value was evaluated to be of 1.48 and corresponds to a good refinement value.

The pole figures of the main crystallographic directions of Al₂O₃ (Figure 5) show a relatively strong (001) cyclicfiber-texture for the 1400°C sample. Note that similar images were recorded (not shown) for the 1700 °C sample. A maximum of the OD of 33.7 m.r.d., corresponding to the nearly 4.5 m.r.d. maximum value of the {006} pole figure is also obtained. The (001) fiber character of the texture is confirmed by the axially symmetric distribution of the {300} pole figure (Figure 5) and is consistent with the EBSD analysis. The OD has also been refined with satisfactory reliability factors, $R_w = 18.11\%$ and $R_B = 18.30\%$. Only one component of texture exists, counting for the whole volume, which can be compared favorably with the strongest textures observed in corundum ceramics elaborated by other techniques.¹⁷ The refinement converges to unit-cell parameters and atomic positions close to the usual values, with no significant variation between the two samples (Table I). In addition, the overall texture strength, maxima of OD, pole figures, and inverse pole figures do not show significant variation with the sintering temperature from both EBSD and X-ray texture analysis. However, subtle differences are observed between the X-rays and EBSD pole figures in density levels. For example, the non-axial symmetry exhibited in EBSD pole figures (which is inconsistent with the axially symmetric applied load in the SPS) is due to an insufficient number of crystallites probed during EBSD measurements, although data binning and FWHM of the Gaussian component used



FIG. 4. Evolution of the 2θ diagrams with the orientation (χ, φ) for the 1400 °C sample (vertical scale). The bottom set is the 864 measured diagrams, while the top set are the fits. For clarity, experimental and fit are indicated.



FIG. 5. (a) and (b): $\{006\}$, $\{300\}$ normalized pole figures reconstructed from the ODF, and P_{SPS}-direction for the 1400 °C sample (top). (c): Corresponding inverse pole figure of corundum (bottom). The scale used is logarithmic density scale, equal-area density projections.

during the OD calculation were adapted to meet OD resolution. Consequently, these extra orientations introduced in EBSD data contribute to the decrease of the pole density levels of the {001} pole figure, compared to x-ray refinements.

The uniaxial pressure used during the SPS process results in deformation at high temperature leading to crystallographic slip of individual grains. Slip should occur on specific crystallographic planes along specific crystallographic directions, and the slip planes are generally planes having a high atomic packing density.¹⁸ There are independent slip systems in corundum structure at high temperatures, namely $\{0001\}\langle 11-20\rangle, \{1-210\}\langle 10-10\rangle, \{1-210\}\langle 10-11\rangle, \{1-102\}$ $\langle 01-11 \rangle$, and $\{10-11\} \langle 01-11 \rangle$.¹⁹ Here, we mainly observe the development of fiber texture in the {0001} direction resulting from basal slip independent of the SPS sintered temperature. At temperatures above 700 °C, the basal slip become predominant²⁰ with basal critical resolved shear stresses (τ_{CB}) typically lower than 75 MPa. Above 1400 °C, both values of basal slip (τ_{CB}) and prismatic slip (τ_{CP}) are much lower than 50 MPa, while τ_{CB} is larger. When the temperature is lower than 700 °C, τ_{CB} becomes larger than τ_{CP} and prismatic slip prevails. Thus, the plastic deformation occurring in the low temperature regime leads to the formation of at least one other orientation component with {1-210} and/or {1-102} or {10–11} planes perpendicular to P_{SPS} . Since only the {0001} fiber texture is observed, we conclude that under the present experimental SPS conditions $\{0001\}\langle 11-20\rangle$ is the major activated slip system. Consequently, to accommodate significant axial strain in the hot pressing conditions of SPS, a

TABLE I. Refined parameters for the two samples sintered at 1400 and 1700 °C. Parentheses indicate r.m.s. standard deviation on the last digit.

Sample	OD min (m.r.d.)	OD max (m.r.d.)	F^{2} (m.r.d. ²)	Cell parameters (Å)	Atomic positions
1400°C	0	33.6	2.62	a = 4.76168(1)	zAl=0.35213(1)
				c = 13.00019(4)	x0 = 0.69208(5)
1700°C	0	33.7	2.06	a = 4.76321(2)	zAl = 0.35207(1)
				c = 13.00365(6)	xO = 0.69420(6)

possible rotation of the grain having the {0001} planes oriented perpendicular to P_{SPS} may occur, and this indeed could be the possible mechanism for Al2O3 texture development after sliding. This interpretation is supported by the relatively large degree of grain orientation observed. For larger applied pressures, previous texture studies on alumina indicated recrystallization, dislocation slip, grain boundary sliding, and anisotropic grain growth effects.^{8,17} In these works, the authors successfully achieved {0001} fiber textures with maximum densities as large as 5 times more than the ones observed here, but by using high magnetic fields, higher pressures, and temperatures around 1600°C. Nevertheless, our quantitative texture analyses are clearly consistent with thermodynamically favored {0001} slips to withstand uniaxial pressure during the SPS process.²¹⁻²³ Misorientation Distribution Functions (MDF), calculated using EBSD data (see Figures 2(d) and 3(d)), reach a maximum for $\{0001\}$ along sample normal direction and increase with temperature probably due to the minimizing grain boundary energy under thermal stress during the SPS process.

The inset of Figure 2(b) (top one) shows the arrangement of grains having a peculiar misorientation angle. The surrounding grains are probably formed due to the simultaneously uniaxial load and the electric field. The absence of orientation correlation at the grain boundaries with the crystal lattice can be understood by the point to point misorientation profile showing three peaks at grain boundaries (See Inset Fig. 2(b)). This particular value around 30° , 60° , and 90° high-angle grain boundaries of misorientation angle along the grain boundary can be qualitatively explained by mass transport between the planes of grain boundaries dictated by surface energy, boundary shape, and grain size during the SPS consolidation of the starting powders. This means that the value is linked to solid state diffusion, which in turn is related to the SPS process and the starting powder (in terms of size and shape). The atoms move more easily from the convex surface on one side of the grain boundary to the concave surface on the other side, rather than in the reverse direction because the chemical potential of the atoms under the convex surface is higher than that for the atoms under the concave surface (for a section across two grains).⁸ Also, the driving force for grain growth is the decrease in free energy that accompanies the reduction in the total grain boundary area. Thus, the bimodal grain size distribution (that increases the intensity of small grain size as seen from EBSD data) enhances the packing density leading to almost full density for the 1700 °C sample. On the contrary, the average grain size increases but the grain sizes and shapes remain within a fairly narrow range in normal grain growth. Thus, the grain size distribution at a later time is almost similar to the one at an early time. Other factors like the structure and misorientation of the grain boundary could be observed. There is normal unimodal grain size distribution for the 1400 °C indicating normal grain growth. Therefore, at this high sintering temperature (and under 100 MPa applied pressure), the grain size distribution is controlled. At the end, starting from $8 \,\mu m$ powder, the majority of grain size averages between 17 and 18 μ m (see Figure 2(e)), indicating a weak distribution. For 1700 °C sample, there is a bimodal grain size distribution indicating abnormal grain growth (see Figure 3(e)). Surprisingly, the increase in temperature (1700 °C vs. 1400 °C) does not enhance the grain growth. The bimodal grain size distribution could also explain the full densification which can result from an enhanced packing density.

The Young's modulus and hardness measured from nanoindentation experiments, parallel and perpendicular to the direction of uniaxial pressure, are presented in Figure 6. Marked evolution of both Young's modulus and hardness is noticed along the direction of uniaxial pressure. When the sintering temperature is increasing, Young's modulus and hardness increase from 318 to 556 GPa and 15 to 42 GPa, respectively, which is in fact mainly due to an increase in density and fine grained microstructure.^{18,24} The substantial increase in anisotropy of mechanical properties is a common consequence of preferred orientations in polycrystalline materials. Grain boundaries can indeed strongly affect nano-indentation measurements giving local values higher than



FIG. 6. Hardness and Young's modulus measurement for sample sintered at 1400 °C and 1700 °C MPa for indentation parallel and perpendicular to the direction of uniaxial pressure. (Note: per and para stand for indentation perpendicular and parallel to the direction of uniaxial pressure, respectively.)

those obtained in the middle of the grains. In consequence, a large spreading of the hardness and Young modulus values appears. Also, higher mean values are obtained on perpendicular samples, which could be related to a higher grain boundary density compared to the one observed for parallel samples. Furthermore, the disordered structure of the grain boundary prevents the dislocations from moving in a continuous slip plane, leading to the increase of the required stress depending on the diameter of the grains.²⁵

In addition, the degree of elastic anisotropy in polycrystals is governed by two factors, namely the inherent single crystal anisotropy and the distribution of orientations of the constituent grains. For randomly oriented grains, the anisotropic nature of the constituent crystals is averaged out in the bulk making the macroscopic elastic properties isotropic. However, if the constituent crystals exhibit a preferred orientation, the sample will show a macroscopic elastic anisotropy behavior.²⁶ Therefore, the anisotropic behavior parallel and perpendicular to the uniaxial pressure direction could be associated with the observed texture. In order to estimate the orientation effect on the macroscopic elastic constants, we used the geometric mean approach of Matthies and Humbert,²⁷ taking the single crystal elastic stiffness tensors from the literature as disposed in the Material Property Open Database²⁸ and the x-ray refined OD. Using recent data from Ref. 29, we obtained the following elastic stiffness coefficients: c_{11} and $c_{33} = 494$ GPa, $C_{44} = 160$ GPa, and c_{12} , c_{13} , and $c_{14} = 170 \text{ GPa}$ for both samples, suggesting that the resulting macroscopic elastic tensors do not show significant difference between the two samples. Moreover, the texture leads to a nearly isotropic elastic behavior of the sample with $c_{44} = c_{66} = (c_{11}-c_{12})/2$ and $c_{14} = 0$. Such a value is consistent with the fiber character of the orientation and its relatively low texture strength. The literature controversy on the sign of the c₁₄ stiffness component, recently assigned as positive by Hovis et al.,³⁰ cannot be probed in our simulations. Indeed, all elastic data sets (with positive or negative c_{14}) measured up to now would provide similar results, due to the low magnitude of this component compared to the others. However, Bhimasenachar values³¹ could not give us a consistent set of elastic stiffnesses through geometric mean. In this case, some of the stiffness Eigenvalues turned out to be negative and without physical meaning, mainly because of an unreasonably large c_{14} component. Also, we could not find in the literature neither c_{11} - c_{33} values as large as the ones observed by nanoindentation (typical values are within 1%-2% of c₃₃) nor c₃₃ values larger than 506 GPa (where nanoindentation reaches a Young modulus of 550 GPa). Moreover, our hardness values are 30% larger than those of Krell and Blank.³² All these observations suggest that another cause for the increased elastic anisotropy, the large Young moduli, and the hardnesses must be proposed. Mao observed strain (Taylor-like) hardening in the Indentation Size Effect (ISE) region with hardness in the 40-47 GPa on (0001)-Al₂O₃ single crystals and polycrystals.³³ In the load independent region, the values are calculated as H = 27.5 \pm 2 GPa for (0001)-Al₂O₃ and 30 \pm 3 GPa for polycrystals, respectively. They observed that E (0001)-Al₂O₃ < polycrystals values for h < 100 nm and obtained an averaged E at 466 GPa and 421 GPa for polycrystal and (0001) crystals, respectively, over the first 100 nm. Such values are consistent with previously reported data (cited therein). We have produced 120 nm indenting depths, while Mao and Shen³⁴ do not observe ISE for depths larger than 60 nm and then we would not expect as large values as the ones we observe, neither for hardness nor Young moduli. On the other hand, these latter authors do not show evidence of the significant anisotropy of the hardness values between (0001) and (10-12) Al_2O_3 single crystal faces, ^{33,34} while we observe a relatively strong anisotropy for the values measured along and perpendicular to P_{SPS} . The anisotropic behavior in Young's modulus and hardness with high value can be attributed to distinct plastic deformation processes under the indenter due to the activation of different slip systems for different indentation surfaces.35-38

When the sintering temperature increases, the misorientation distribution function increases to a misorientation angle of 60° due to the possible ordering of neighbor grains, which could be also responsible for high hardness along the direction of uniaxial pressure (see Figs. 3(d) and 2(d)). The texture of the 0001 plane along the direction of the uniaxial load could also explain the high hardness and Young's modulus values for alumina sintered at 1700 °C.

CONCLUSION

Spark Plasma Sintering was successfully used to obtain highly textured alumina ceramics. The microstructure and the texture were investigated by using a combination of Electron BackScatter Diffraction and X-rays analysis as a function of the sintering temperature. This analysis reveals that the alumina sintered at 1400 °C is porous with an isotropic grain growth, whereas the 1700 °C sintered sample is less porous with fine grained microstructure but exhibits anisotropic grain growth. The texture computed by combined analysis of XRD and EBSD gives a more intuitive picture on global and local texture. The mechanical behavior of these textured alumina ceramics was found to be slightly superior to that found by previous studies on textured alumina. Thus, we believe that the present study will be important for optimizing the processing conditions in terms of microstructure and texture development and can be used for potential applications based on textured alumina, such as transparent ceramics.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank E. Guilmeau, J. Lecourt, and X. Larose for their technical help. D.P. and W.P. thank J. Cantwell, R. Klein, and S. Bhame for their fruitful discussions. D.P received an Erasmus Mundus PhD fellowship within the IDS FunMat program supported by the European Commission. L.L. thanks the Conseil Régional de Basse-Normadie for his Chair of Excellence.

¹J. Morikawa, A. Orie, T. Hashimoto, and S. Juodkazis, Opt. Express 18, 8300 (2010).

²T. Kudrius, G. Slekys, and S. Juodkazis, J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys. 43, 145501 (2010).

- ⁴A. Krell and S. Schädlich, Mater. Sci. Eng. A **307**, 172 (2001).
- ⁵J. R. G. Evans, J. Eur. Ceram. Soc. 28, 1421 (2008).
- ⁶Z. A. Munir, U. Anselmi-Tamburini, and M. Ohyanagi, J. Mater. Sci. **41**, 763 (2006).
- ⁷S. W. Wang, L. D. Chen, and T. Hirai, J. Mater. Res. 15, 982 (2000).
- ⁸M. N. Rahaman, *Ceramic Processing and Sintering* (Taylor & Francis, 2003).
- ⁹J. G. Noudem, D. kenfaui, D. Chateigner, and M. Gomina, Scr. Mater. **66**, 258 (2012).
- ¹⁰C. Euvananont, N. Jantaping, and C. Thanachayanont, Curr. Appl. Phys. **11**, S246 (2011).
- ¹¹N. Bomshtein, G. Spiridonov, and Z. Dashevsky, J. Electron. Mater. 41, 1546 (2012).
- ¹²S. I. Wright, M. M. Nowell, and J. F. Bingert, Metall. Mater. Trans. A 38, 1845 (2007).
- ¹³S. Grazulis, D. Chateigner, R. T. Downs, A. F. T. Yokochi, M. Quiros, L. Lutterotti, E. Manakova, J. Butkus, P. Moeck, and A. Le Bail, J. Appl. Cryst. 42, 726 (2009).
- ¹⁴S. Matthies, G. Vinel, and K. Helming, *Standard Distributions in Texture Analysis* (Akademie-Verlag, 1987), Vol. 1, p. 449.
- ¹⁵W. C. Oliver and G. M. Pharr, J. Mater. Res. 7, 1564 (1992).
- ¹⁶S. T. Wardle, L. S. Lin, A. Cetel, and B. L. Adams, in *Proc. 52nd Annual Meeting of the Microscopy Society of America*, edited by G. W. Bailey and A. J. Garratt-Reed (San Francisco Press, San Francisco, 1994), p. 680.
- ¹⁷E. Guilmeau, C. Henrist, T. S. Suzuki, Y. Sakka, D. Chateigner, D. Grossin, and B. Ouladdiaf, Mater. Sci. Forum **495–497**, 1395 (2005).
- ¹⁸T. H. Courtney, *Mechanical Behaviour of Materials* (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1990).

- J. Appl. Phys. 113, 153510 (2013)
- ¹⁹C. Barry Carter and M. Grant Norton, *Ceramic Materials: Science and Engineering* (Springer Science, 2007).
- ²⁰S. M. Choi and H. Awaji, Sci. Technol. Adv. Mater. 6, 2 (2005).
- ²¹H. P. Pinto, R. M. Nieminen, and S. D. Elliott, Phys. Rev. B **70**, 125402 (2004).
- ²²A. Marmier, A. Lozovoi, and M. W. Finnis, J. Eur. Ceram. Soc. 23, 2729 (2003).
- ²³S. Blonski and S. H. Garofalini, Surf. Sci. 295, 263 (1993).
- ²⁴Y. Yoshizawa, K. Hirao, and S. Kanzaki, J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 87, 2147 (2004).
- ²⁵F. Gao, J. Appl. Phys. **112**, 023506 (2012).
- ²⁶U. Ramamurty, S. Jana, Y. Kawamura, and K. Chattopadhyay, Acta Mater. 53, 705 (2005).
- ²⁷S. Matthies and M. Humbert, J. Appl. Cryst. 28, 254 (1995).
- ²⁸G. Pepponi, S. Grazulis, and D. Chateigner, "MPOD: A material property open database linked to structural information," Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. B 284, 10 (2012).
- ²⁹E. H. Kisi, C. J. Howard, and J. Zhang, J. Appl. Cryst. 44, 216 (2011).
- ³⁰D. B. Hovis, A. Reddy, and A. H. Heuer, Appl. Phys. Lett. **88**, 131910 (2006).
- ³¹J. Bhimasenachar, Proc. Natl. Inst. Sci. India A 16, 241 (1950).
- ³²A. Krell and P. Blank, J. Am. Ceram. Soc. **78**, 1118 (1995).
- ³³W. G. Mao, Y. G. Shen, and C. Lu, Scr. Mater. 65, 127 (2011).
- ³⁴W. Mao and Y. Shen, J. Am. Ceram. Soc. **95**, 3605 (2012).
- ³⁵W. G. Mao, Y. G. Shen, and C. Lu, J. Eur. Ceram. Soc. **31**, 1865 (2011).
- ³⁶M. Kaji, M. E. Stevenson, and R. C. Bradt, J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 85, 415 (2002).
- ³⁷K. Nishimura, R. K. Kalia, A. Nakano, and P. Vashishta, Appl. Phys. Lett. 92, 161904 (2008).
- ³⁸A. H. Heuer, N. J. Tighe, and R. M. Cannon, J. Am. Ceram. Soc. **63**, 53 (1980).