Stratification of Single-Vessel Coronary Stenosis by Ischemic Threshold at the Onset of Wall Motion Abnormality During Continuous Monitoring of Left Ventricular Function by Semisupine Exercise Echocardiography
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We studied the relation between the ischemic threshold at the onset of wall motion abnormality on exercise echocardiography (EE) and the severity of coronary stenosis in patients with 1-vessel coronary artery disease (CAD). We screened 216 consecutive patients who underwent coronary angiography and EE for suspected CAD. Ninety-five (74 men; age, 56 ± 12 years) satisfied the study criteria, that is, the presence of 1-vessel disease or no evidence of CAD on angiography and a normal baseline echocardiogram. Eighty-seven patients had 1-vessel CAD on angiography, and exercise-induced wall motion abnormality occurred in 73 (77%). Optimal cutoff values of percent diameter stenosis and minimal lumen diameter for predicting a positive EE were 61% (sensitivity and specificity of 76%) and 1.12 mm (sensitivity and specificity of 74%). Among patients with positive EE, heart rate-blood pressure product at ischemic threshold was correlated with quantitative coronary stenosis (r = −0.72, P < .001). The ischemic threshold from continuous monitoring of left ventricular function during semisupine EE is correlated with the severity of coronary stenosis among patients with 1-vessel disease and a normal resting echocardiogram. (J Am Soc Echocardiogr 2001;14:798-805.)

The assessment of the ischemic threshold during exercise stress testing has been limited mainly to the examination of electrocardiographic changes, which is a relatively insensitive marker of myocardial ischemia.1–3 Consequently, the relation between the ischemic threshold assessed by electrocardiographic changes during exercise and coronary arteriography has been poorer than expected.3 Exercise echocardiography (EE) is an accepted tool for the assessment of the functional significance of coronary obstructions among patients with coronary artery disease (CAD).4–8 In previous reports, the classification of CAD has been mostly semiquantitative, assessed by visual analysis, or based on predetermined cutoff values of angiographic parameters.4,5,7–10 A few studies that used quantitative analysis of coronary stenosis have demonstrated the diagnostic accuracy of EE for the detection of significant CAD in selected patients with single-vessel disease and normal echocardiograms at rest.1,2 They have established that the severity of a coronary stenosis assessed by quantitative coronary angiography can predict an ischemic response on EE and that the functional significance of a coronary narrowing is better correlated to exercise-induced wall motion abnormality (WMA) on echocardiography than other usual markers of exercise-induced myocardial ischemia. As opposed to stress scintigraphy, stress echocardiography allows for the assessment of the ischemic threshold by determining the level of myocardial oxygen demand at which ischemia develops. However, the analysis of the ischemic threshold on stress echocardiography has been performed only during pharmacologic stress.11,12 Continuous monitoring of left ventricular (LV) function by EE offers the unique possibility of assessing a more physiologic ischemic threshold by...
METHODS

Screened Population

We screened 216 consecutive patients who had no history of myocardial infarction and who underwent EE and coronary angiography in our institutions. Reasons to perform echocardiography were the evaluation of chest pain in 185 patients, whereas 17 were enrolled in follow-up studies after percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty; the other 14 had an equivocal treadmill exercise stress test. Inclusion criteria were (a) no history of previous infarction, (b) normal echocardiograms at rest, (c) withdrawal of all antianginal medications at least 48 hours before echocardiography, (d) coronary artery stenosis limited to 1 major vessel or no evidence of CAD at angiography performed within 1 week of echocardiography. Patients with unstable angina, left bundle branch block, LV hypertrophy, or heart transplantation were not included. Study protocol was approved by the local ethics committee, and informed consent was obtained from patients.

Exercise Echocardiography Protocol

Semisupine bicycle EE was performed with stepwise increments of work load (30 W every 3 minutes). A single-lead electrocardiogram was continuously monitored. Heart rate, blood pressure, and a 12-lead electrocardiogram were recorded at baseline, automatically every 3 minutes throughout the study, at peak stress, and during recovery. The decision to terminate the study was made by real-time assessment of regional wall thickening during exercise. An ischemic response on EE was called when exercise-induced WMA ≥1 grade occurred in at least 1 myocardial segment. Among patients with a positive echocardiogram, the ischemic threshold, as assessed by the heart rate–systolic blood pressure product, was determined on-line by consensus between 2 experts in the interpretation of stress echocardiography. Echocardiographers were blinded to patient status and angiographic data. The occurrence of stress-induced horizontal or downsloping ST-segment depression >1 mm 80 ms after the J point on electrocardiography indicated myocardial ischemia. Reasons for terminating the study were (a) heart rate equal to or greater than maximal age-predicted heart rate, (b) development of WMA, (c) exercise-induced chest pain with electrocardiographic evidence of myocardial ischemia, (d) decrease of systolic blood pressure >30 mm Hg, and (e) repetitive ventricular ectopic beats (≥5) and ventricular tachycardia.

Image Acquisition and Analysis

Two-dimensional echocardiograms were recorded with Acuson 128/XP or Sequoia (Mountain View, Calif) and Vingmed System Five (Trondheim, Norway), equipped with a 2.5- to 3.5-MHz phased-array transducer. Images of parasternal long-axis, short-axis, and apical 4- and 2-chamber views were continuously monitored throughout the study and digitized on-line at baseline, peak exercise, and during recovery, with the patient lying in the same left lateral semisupine position. When myocardial ischemia was detected, a whole set of images was immediately acquired and stored during exercise (4 views typically acquired in <1 minute), and the patient was allowed to recover. When Acuson 128/XP was used, digitization was performed with an automated acquisition system (Image Vue DCR 1.41, Nova Microsonics, Mahwah, NJ) with R-wave triggering and allowing storage of 10 frames during 560 ms after the R wave. Images were suitable for analysis when a good detection of endocardial boundary was achieved, requiring in some patients the use of second harmonic imaging. To evaluate the accuracy of the on-line assessment of regional WMA, images were continuously recorded on S-VHS videotape throughout the study and stored for off-line analysis of regional LV function with a 16-segment, 4-grade scale model. Images were analyzed off-line by an independent investigator unaware of the on-line assessment of LV function.

Quantitative Arteriographic Data

All patients underwent coronary angiography with a conventional Judkins technique performed within 1 week of EE. Percent diameter stenosis and minimal lumen diameter were measured by an independent observer blinded to echocardiographic data, through the use of an interactive validated software (CASS). Calibration of measurements to absolute values was achieved by using the catheter tip as a scaling device. All measurements were performed from end-diastolic frames with optimal vessel opacification.

Statistical Analysis

Data are expressed as mean ± SD. We used an unpaired Student t test to compare data among patients with and
without a positive response on EE. Receiver-operator characteristic curve analysis was used to assess the sensitivity and specificity of angiographic parameters for the prediction of an ischemic response on EE. The optimal cutoff was chosen as the point of interception between sensitivity and specificity of each parameter. Linear regression analysis was used to determine the correlation between the ischemic threshold and quantitative coronary stenosis. On the basis of the presence or the absence of an ischemic response on EE, a κ reliability test was used to assess the correlation between the on-line and off-line analyses of LV function at peak stress. All tests were 2-tailed, and a value of \( P < .05 \) was considered statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

**Study Population**

The study population consisted of 95 patients (74 men; mean age, 56 ± 12 years) with no history of myocardial infarction, normal echocardiograms at rest, and no antianginal medications at the time of echocardiography. Of the 216 screened patients, 121 could not be included in the study because of multi-vessel disease and/or abnormal echocardiogram at rest in 99, ongoing antianginal medications in 11, poor quality of echocardiographic images in 3, left bundle branch block in 5, and LV hypertrophy in 3.

Baseline characteristics of the study population are shown in Table 1. The mean percent diameter stenosis assessed by quantitative angiography performed 3 ± 2 days apart from echocardiography was 65.0% ± 26.4% (range, 0% to 100%) and the mean minimal lumen diameter was 0.99 ± 0.80 mm (range, 0.00 to 3.20 mm). There were no clinical events between stress echocardiography and catheterization. The distribution of percent diameter stenosis is shown in Figure 1. Eighty-seven patients had evidence of single-vessel coronary artery stenosis (percent diameter stenosis from 20% to 100%). The left anterior coronary artery was involved in 40 (46%), the right coronary artery in 32 (37%), the left circumflex artery in 13 (15%), and the left main in 2 (2%).

**Echocardiographic Data**

Seventy-seven (81%) patients had a positive response on EE. Of these, 73 had their test terminated because of the occurrence of WMA during continuous monitoring of LV function. In the 4 remaining patients, the procedure was stopped because of significant changes and/or chest pain. Among patients with a positive response on EE, significant ST-segment depression on electrocardiography occurred in 31 (40%) and chest pain in 20 (26%). The mean number of ischemic myocardial segments was 2.2 ± 1.2 (range, 1 to 5). A stress-induced drop of systolic blood pressure >30 mm Hg relative to baseline occurred in 5 patients. All had WMA on echocardiography involving at least 4 myocardial segments; 3 had a severe stenosis of the proximal left anterior descending artery and 2 had a significant stenosis of the left main coronary artery.

**Figure 1** Distribution of severity of coronary stenosis as assessed by percent diameter stenosis (%) in study population.

**Table 1** Baseline characteristics of the study population

| Patients (n) | 95 |
| Age (y) | 56 ± 12 |
| Sex [n (%)] | |
| Male | 74 (78) |
| Female | 21 (22) |
| Stenosis (%) | 65 ± 26 |
| MLD (mm) | 0.99 ± 0.80 |
| Baseline heart rate (beats/min) | 68 ± 10 |
| Baseline systolic blood pressure (mm Hg) | 130 ± 18 |
| Ischemic response on EE [n (%)] | 77 (81) |
| ST depression [n (%)] | 31 (33) |
| Angina [n (%)] | 20 (21) |
| Coronary artery [n (%)] | |
| LAD | 40 (46) |
| RCA | 32 (37) |
| LCX | 13 (15) |
| LMCA | 2 (2) |

**MLD,** Minimum lumen diameter; **EE,** exercise electrocardiography; **LAD,** left anterior descending; **RCA,** right coronary artery; **LCX,** left circumflex; **LMCA,** left main coronary artery.
coronary artery. In 3 patients, the study was stopped because of repetitive (≥3) ventricular ectopic beats; all had a negative response on EE. We did not observe sustained ventricular tachycardia in any of the study patients. Baseline characteristics and hemodynamics were similar among patients with and without ischemic response (Table 2). Percent diameter stenosis and minimal lumen diameter were significantly different in patients with positive versus negative EE (74% ± 17% versus 29% ± 30% and 0.74 ± 0.59 mm versus 2.06 ± 1.00 mm, respectively, \(P < .01\)). Among patients with a negative response, the mean work load and heart rate–systolic blood pressure product at peak stress were higher than in patients with positive EE (171 ± 35 W versus 124 ± 43 W and 27,005 ± 3689 vs 18,201 ± 4815, respectively, \(P < .0001\)). In these patients, the mean maximal heart rate reached during the study was 87% ± 7% (range, 75% to 100%) of the maximal age-predicted heart rate.

**On-line versus off-line assessment of regional LV function.** Of the 73 patients with on-line positivity on EE, 4 had a negative response when assessed off-line. Similarly, of the 22 patients with a negative test as assessed on-line, 3 had a positive response when reviewed off-line. Thus there was an agreement between the two observers in 93% of patients. For the overall population, agreement between on-line and off-line assessment of regional LV function was good between 2 independent observers, with a \(\kappa\) coefficient of 0.80. To evaluate the accuracy of the on-line assessment of the ischemic threshold, we measured the mean time to the occurrence of WMA during the on-line and off-line analyses in 20 randomly selected patients with a positive response. The mean difference between both series of measurements was small (597 ± 139 seconds on-line versus 583 ± 139 seconds off-line, not significant), and the two serial measurements were correlated (\(r = 0.95x + 23.2, r = 0.97, P < .001\)).

**Relation of Echocardiographic Data to Quantitative Coronary Stenosis**

The sensitivity and specificity of the individual values of percent diameter stenosis and minimal lumen diameter for the prediction of the occurrence of WMA on EE are shown in Figure 2. The optimal cutoff value of percent diameter stenosis for predicting a positive response was 61%, yielding a sensitivity and specificity of 76%. Similarly, the best cutoff value of the minimal lumen diameter was 1.12 mm (sensitivity and specificity of 74%). Figure 3 displays the receiver-operator characteristic curves comparing the diagnostic accuracy of percent diameter stenosis and minimal lumen diameter for the prediction of

---

**Table 2** Baseline characteristics and hemodynamics in patients with and without ischemic response on exercise echocardiography

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Negative response (n = 18)</th>
<th>Ischemic response (n = 77)</th>
<th>(P) value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (y)</td>
<td>57 ± 10</td>
<td>56 ± 12</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men [n (%)]</td>
<td>13 (72)</td>
<td>61 (79)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes [n (%)]</td>
<td>3 (17)</td>
<td>11 (14)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension [n (%)]</td>
<td>6 (33)</td>
<td>22 (29)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypercholesterolemia [n (%)]</td>
<td>5 (28)</td>
<td>21 (27)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smokers [n (%)]</td>
<td>9 (50)</td>
<td>41 (53)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline heart rate (beats/min)</td>
<td>66 ± 9</td>
<td>68 ± 10</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline SBP (mm Hg)</td>
<td>130 ± 15</td>
<td>130 ± 19</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NS, Not significant; SBP, systolic blood pressure.
transient WMA on EE. Areas under the curves were not significantly different (8670 versus 8480, respectively). The heart rate–blood pressure product at the ischemic threshold in patients with a positive response was correlated with quantitative coronary stenosis expressed as percent diameter ($r = -0.72, P < .0001$) and minimal lumen diameter ($r = 0.65, P < .0001$) (Figure 4). In these patients, the relation between the heart rate at ischemic threshold and quantitative coronary stenosis was poorer ($r = -0.52, P < .01$, and $r = 0.46, P < .01$, respectively). However, in patients with a positive response, a heart rate at ischemic threshold ≤112 beats/min was able to predict the presence of a coronary stenosis ≥70% with a sensitivity and specificity of 81% (Figure 5).

Among patients with positivity on EE, the mean number of ischemic myocardial segments was 2.2 ± 1.2 (range, 1 to 5). There was no significant correlation between the number of segments developing WMA during exercise and percent diameter stenosis on angiogram ($r = 0.16$). Moreover, the duration of exercise in patients with positive EE (mean time, 611 ± 172 seconds) was poorly related to the severity of stenosis ($r = 0.34, P < .05$).

**DISCUSSION**

The main finding of this study is that the ischemic threshold, as assessed by the occurrence of transient WMA on continuous monitoring of LV function during semisupine EE, is closely related to the severity of quantitative coronary stenosis in patients with single-vessel CAD and a normal resting echocardiogram. The intensity of cardiac work load at which ischemia occurs is of critical importance for the interpretation of exercise stress testing. A high work threshold of ischemia-induced electrocardiographic changes usually reflects moderate coronary obstruction, whereas the development of ischemia at a low level of exertion reflects severe coronary obstructive disease and indicates a poor prognosis. Despite the good agreement between exercise tests and clinical follow-up, the correlation between exercise electrocardiography and coronary angiography has been poor. Indeed, ST-segment depression as assessed by electrocardiography represents a relatively insensitive marker of myocardial ischemia. Several studies have shown a poor relation between the heart rate at the point of induction of WMA and at the onset of significant ST-segment depression during stress.
Those findings are concordant with our study, suggesting that the ischemic threshold extracted from continuous monitoring of LV function during EE could represent a reliable tool for the evaluation of the severity of coronary stenosis. Our data also confirm the diagnostic accuracy of EE for the detection of patients with single-vessel CAD.

Relation Between Electrocardiographically Determined Ischemic Threshold and Severity of Coronary Stenosis

A few studies have suggested that there is a relation between the ischemic threshold assessed during pharmacologic stress echocardiography and the severity of coronary artery stenosis among patients with single-vessel disease. The ischemic threshold measured during dobutamine echocardiography correlates with the number of stenosed vessels and the LV ejection fraction response to exercise. The degree of dobutamine-induced asynergy on peak stress echocardiography has been shown to correlate significantly with the severity of coronary artery stenosis and slightly better with myocardial fractional flow reserve. In patients with single-vessel disease, normal echocardiograms at rest, and positive dipyridamole echocardiography, the regional coronary flow reserve assessed by positron emission tomography correlates well with dipyridamole time ($r = 0.87$). Our study is the first one that has specifically addressed the relation between the ischemic threshold determined from EE and the severity of coronary stenosis. Our data indicate that a stratification of the severity of coronary stenosis can be obtained among patients with single-vessel CAD, based on the assessment of the ischemic threshold during EE. These findings suggest that there might be a relation between the ischemic threshold assessed by stress echocardiography and the coronary flow reserve in these patients. In support of this, experimental studies have demonstrated that the degree of coronary obstruction represents one of the main factors that limit subendocardial perfusion during stress-induced myocardial ischemia and correlates to the degree of myocardial dysfunction.

Clinical Implications

The additional value of monitoring the ischemic threshold in the study population permits a stratification of the severity of coronary stenosis. This was not possible when assessing the ischemic threshold on treadmill stress tests because the onset of electrocardiographic abnormalities or chest pain is known to be unrelated to the ischemic threshold itself. The relation between the ischemic threshold on exercise echocardiography and angiographic severity of coronary stenosis, although highly significant, is somewhat scattered when considering the entire population of the study. However, our results might be helpful for noninvasively determining the physiologic severity of a coronary stenosis in the same patients followed over time, for example, for the detection of restenosis after a percutaneous revascularization procedure. Decision-making among patients with chest pain and intermediate coronary stenosis remains difficult. It has recently been reported that in patients referred for percutaneous angioplasty of an intermediate coronary stenosis, deferral of the intervention on the basis of a relatively preserved coronary flow reserve is safe and associated with a lower clinical event rate. Although more work is needed, EE appears to be a promising tool for noninvasive determination of the physiologic relevance of coronary lumen narrowing at the bedside and may have potential for subsequent stratification of the need of coronary revascularization among patients with 1-vessel CAD.

Advantages and Limitations

In this study, we chose to evaluate myocardial ischemia by the continuous recording method of semisupine 2-dimensional EE. Exercise myocardial scintigraphy plays an important role in defining the hemodynamic significance of coronary artery lesions. However, EE has been shown to have at least a similar diagnostic accuracy as perfusion scintigraphy among patients studied by the two methods.

Figure 5 Receiver-operator characteristic curves showing that maximal heart rate at ischemic threshold ≤112 beats/min in patients with positive response on echocardiography was able to detect coronary stenosis ≥70% with sensitivity and specificity of 81%.
evaluates myocardial perfusion heterogeneity at peak exercise and therefore does not offer the possibility to assess the ischemic threshold. Echocardiograms recorded immediately after treadmill exercise induces a greater ischemic burden than dobutamine-atropine echocardiography, suggesting that EE should be preferred in the clinical setting over dobutamine echocardiography among patients who are capable of exercising. Advantages of peak exercise imaging over postexercise echocardiography have been discussed elsewhere. A false-negative response on EE among patients with significant coronary artery stenosis correlates well with the performance of a submaximal exercise (<85% of the maximal age-predicted heart rate), single-vessel disease, and moderate stenoses from 50% to 70%. In the current report, the mean maximal heart rate achieved among patients with a negative response on EE was 87% of the maximal predicted heart rate.

Our data support the concept that exercise duration is poorly related to the severity of coronary obstruction. We chose to assess the heart rate–systolic blood pressure product at the ischemic threshold, which is an externally derived indicator of myocardial oxygen needs, because it has been shown to contribute to increased test accuracy.

The ischemic threshold was assessed on-line during the procedure, and a consensus was achieved by two experts in the analysis of regional LV function during stress echocardiography. Although this method is subjective, it is still the conventional method for making the decision to terminate the test during routine interpretation of diagnostic stress echocardiography. Moreover, we demonstrated the reliability and reproducibility of the on-line identification of the ischemic threshold during EE.

Although the current study shows a relation between the ischemic threshold assessed during EE and quantitative coronary stenosis, dispersion of the individual data was observed. It is well established that physiologic effects of the majority of coronary obstructions cannot be accurately determined by conventional angiographic approaches. The measurement of the percent diameter stenosis from coronary angiography is not closely related to the reactive hyperemic response. In this regard, the coronary blood flow reserve might have been better correlated to the ischemic threshold in our study population. However, the measurement of the coronary narrowing as percent diameter stenosis or minimal lumen diameter by a computerized method offers the advantage to be easily accessible and widely available.

Thus the ischemic threshold assessed by the occurrence of transient exercise-induced WMA during continuous monitoring of LV function on supine EE allows for stratification of the severity of coronary stenosis among patients with single-vessel disease and a normal echocardiogram at rest. EE may provide important information on the functional significance and severity of single-vessel coronary stenosis and might be a valuable tool for stratifying the need for coronary revascularization in these patients.
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