

DEAR AUTHOR:

This file contains the following:

- 1. Author letter
- 2. Reprint order form
- 3. Customer survey form
- 4. Page proofs of your article and list of author queries

After printing the PDF file, please read the page proofs carefully and email a summary of the requested changes to me at **cathy.mahon@wolterskluwer.com**.

OR

FAX any pages with corrections to me at 443-451-8157; attention: Cathy Mahon

1. Clearly indicate changes or corrections in pen in the margins of the page proofs. Please note: Only changes that are essential to the accuracy of the article will be allowed. Excessive or unreasonable changes may be rejected or may result in alteration fees. Additional charges may be assessed for changes to color figures. If there is a correction to be made to a figure, please submit the corrected version electronically to avoid delay.

2. Answer all author queries (indicated as AQ:1, AQ:2, AQ:3, etc, in the margins of the proofs and listed on the last page of the PDF proof).

3. Complete a reprint order form. Please follow the instructions on the enclosed form; email **reprints@lww.com** or call 1-800-341-2258 with any questions.

4. You must return your proofs within 48 hours. If you are not making any changes, please email a message stating that there are no corrections or write "no changes" on the first page of your proof, sign and date it, and fax the page to me at the number given below. Failure to respond implies your approval to publish the proofs without additional changes.

PROOFS MUST BE RETURNED WITHIN 48 HOURS TO AVOID ANY DELAYS IN THE PUBLICATION OF YOUR ARTICLE.

Please feel free to contact me if I can be of assistance.

Thank you,

Cathy Mahon Senior Journal Production Editor Lippincott Williams & Wilkins 351 West Camden St. Baltimore, MD 21201 410-528-8564 FAX: 443-451-8157 Email: cathy.mahon@wolterskluwer.com

Author Reprints

For Rapid Ordering go to: www.lww.com/periodicals/author-reprints

Critical Care Medicine

Order

Author(s) Name

Title of Article

*Article # 184739

*Publication Mo/Yr

*Fields may be left blank if order is placed before article number and publication month are assigned

month are assigned.				1	order reprints.
Quantity of Reprints	\$	Reprint Prici	-	Shipping	Publication fees, including color
		100 copies = \$3 200 copies = \$4		\$5.00 per 100 for orders shipping	separation charges
Covers (Optional)	\$	300 copies = \$5		within the U.S.	and page charges will be billed separately,
Shipping Cost	\$	400 copies = \$5		\$20.00 per 100 for orders shipping	if applicable.
		500 copies = \$6 Covers	54.00	outside the U.S.	Payment must be received before
Reprint Color Cost	\$	\$108.00 for fir	st 100	Tax	reprints can be
Тах	ć	copies		U.S. and Canadian residents add the	shipped. Payment is accepted in the form
104	Ÿ	\$18.00 each add copies	l'l 100	appropriate tax or submit a tax exempt	of a check or credit card; purchase orders
Total	\$	Reprint Color		form.	are accepted for orders billed to a
		(\$70.00/100 rep	prints)		U.S. address.
You may have included color figures in your article. The costs to publish those will be invoiced separately. If your article contains color figures, use Rapid Ordering www.lww.com/periodicals/author-reprints.					Prices are subject to change without notice.
Dournout					Quantities over 500
Payment					copies: contact our Pharma Solutions
MC VISA		Discover	Am Am	erican Express	Department at 410.528.4077
Account # /		/			Outside the U.S. call
ACCOUNT # /		/	Exp. D	Jale	4420.7981.0700
Name					MAIL your order to:
Address			Dept/R	Rm	Lippincott Williams & Wilkins
City	State	Zip	Countr	Y	Author Reprints Dept. 351 W. Camden St.
Telephone					Baltimore, MD 21201
<u> </u>					FAX:
Signature					410.528.4434
					For questions
Ship to					regarding reprints or publication fees, E-MAIL:
Name					reprints@lww.com
Address			Dept/R	tm	OR PHONE:

Telephone

City

For Rapid Ordering go to: www.lww.com/periodicals/author-reprints

Zip

Country

State

Lippincott Williams & Wilkins a Wolters Kluwer business

Use this form to

1.800.341.2258

Levosimendan: Right for the right ventricle?*

n this issue of Critical Care medicine, Dr. Kerbaul and colleagues (1) report the hemodynamic effects of levosimendan in a model of pulmonary embolism with right ventricular failure and systemic hypotension. To provide further insight into the pathophysiologic knowledge of obstructive shock generated by severe pulmonary embolism, these authors have elegantly assessed the role of the mechanical coupling between the right ventricular chamber and the downstream pulmonary circulation. Under normal conditions, the coupling value is approximately 2, meaning that the right ventricle-pulmonary circulation couple favors optimal mechanical efficiency. Any decrease depicts a state in which ventricular contractile resources necessary for ejection are overwhelmed by the level of vascular afterload. In such a hostile downstream environment, the right ventricle turns into a pump that generates pressure at the expense of volume. One may reasonably assume that mechanical uncoupling of the right-sided circulation may represent the initial steps of its progressive failure. The experimental model used by Dr. Kerbaul and colleagues (1) provides a relevant surrogate for the natural history of pulmonary thromboembolic disease as encountered in the clinical setting. In addition to the expected mechanical pulmonary arterial obstruction, this model generated the release of vasoactive mediators in a more realistic way than models based on injection of small glass beads (2). In addition, iterative clot injections are likely to closely resemble the clinical situation, where pulmonary embolism usually results from either progressive thrombus embolization or local extension of clot size due to the lack of appropriate anticoagulant therapy. Dr. Kerbaul and colleagues demonstrate that when clots are either small or limited in number, right

*See also p. xx.

Key Words: pulmonary embolism; right ventricular function; inotrope; shock

The authors have not disclosed any potential conflicts of interest.

Copyright © 2007 by the Society of Critical Care Medicine and Lippincott Williams & Wilkins

DOI: 10.1097/01.CCM.0000277251.70227.9D

ventricular performance is maintained at a normal level and therefore systemic arterial pressure remains unchanged. At this stage, right ventricular contractility is increased in response to the pulmonary outflow obstruction in such a way that optimal right ventricular-vascular coupling is maintained within a normal range. It is this circulatory configuration that is expressed by the great majority of patients suffering from pulmonary embolism. Such a hemodynamic profile does not necessarily require use of supportive circulatory therapy. However, Dr. Kerbaul and colleagues show that when the clot burden is further increased, right ventricular contractility decreases while afterload increases progressively. As a result, the ventricular-arterial coupling value decreases, reaching a minimal value that is associated with the appearance of obstructive shock.

These authors showed that afterload increases result not only from rising ohmic resistance but also from a simultaneous decrease in the vascular compliance of the pulmonary circuit. Despite the quality of their methodology, there are some limitations to the study by Dr. Kerbaul and colleagues (1), including the absence of cardiac volume measurements. By using various hemodynamic approaches, other authors (2-4)have suggested that right ventricularvascular uncoupling due to massive pulmonary embolism is accompanied, in either experimental or clinical settings, by progressive volume dilation of the corresponding cardiac chamber. Taken together with the results reported by Dr. Kerbaul and colleagues, these observations might support the hypothesis that minimal pulmonary embolism leads to an afterload increase, which in turn promotes positive right ventricular inotropism owing to an intrinsic adaptive mechanism. Uncoupling occurs when homeometric cardiac regulation becomes overwhelmed by worsening pulmonary outflow obstruction. At this stage of the clinical course, the right ventricle dilates. This feature indicates a mismatch between pulmonary vascular load and right ventricular contractile reserve. On the systemic side of circulation, the mean pressure may be adjusted within physiologic range by intervention of the baroreflex loop. However, progressive reduction in stroke volume ultimately leads to the appearance of life-threatening circulatory shock. On the basis of this pathophysiologic schema, one may advocate a symptomatic strategy based on actions simultaneously directed toward pulmonary vascular afterload reduction and increasing right ventricular contractility.

Dr. Kerbaul and colleagues (1) studied the effects of levosimendan in their model of progressive pulmonary embolism. This drug is a positive inotropic agent with direct vasodilating actions and is therefore called an inodilator (5). It belongs to a class of drugs known as calcium sensitizers; these have positive effects on adenosine triphosphate K+ channels that are expressed in the sarcolemma and in the inner mitochondrial membrane (5). Levosimendan has already been tested in several conditions, including septic shock, heart failure, and pulmonary hypertension. The drug can improve right and left ventricular inotropism and thereby cardiac output. Effects on vascular resistance have been the subject of some concern (6, 7). In fact, the vasodilating properties of the drug raise the risk of worsening systemic hypotension and creating hypoxemia as a result of blood shunting in the pulmonary circulatory bed. Indeed, there is a need for drugs that can support the failing right circulation over the time necessary to clear the pulmonary circuit of obstructing clots. The main results from Dr. Kerbaul and colleagues' study are consistent with the evidence that levosimendan is capable of restoring satisfactory right ventricular-vascular coupling in animals challenged with massive pulmonary embolism. This effect is obtained by increasing right ventricular contractility with simultaneous improvement in pulmonary vascular impedance. There were no adverse effects on either systemic pressure or pulmonary gas exchange noted. However, the next challenge is to develop noninvasive methods for characterizing accurately the hemodynamic status at the bedside in order to clearly understand the dominant underlying mechanisms involved in severely affected patients. The goal of this line of research would be to

balt4/zrz-ccm/zrz-ccm/zrz00807/zrz7811-07z xppws S=1 6/2/07 7:44 Art: 184739

define which patients would benefit from inodilator therapy in relation to causal treatment. Symptomatic cardiovascular support is required in patients with shock. In contrast, the strategy in those with submassive pulmonary embolism without circulatory failure remains controversial. The study by Dr. Kerbaul and colleagues may suggest that administration of inodilator support as soon as right ventricular enlargement is noted may be useful. However, in the absence of appropriate hemodynamic evaluations conducted in patients during episodes of severe pulmonary embolism, it is unlikely that results collected in animal laboratories could be sufficient to convince critical care providers of the superiority of inodilators over the well-known arsenal of catecholamines.

Bernard Lambermont, MD, PhD Hemodynamics Research Laboratory HemoLiege University of Liege

Belgium Medical Intensive Care Unit Department of Medicine University of Liege Belgium Alexandre Ghuysen, MD, PhD Vincent D'Orio, MD, PhD Hemodynamics Research Laboratory HemoLiege University of Liege Belgium **Emergency** Care Department of Medicine University of Liege Belgium Gary Hartstein, MD, PhD **Emergency** Care Department of Medicine University of Liege Belgium

REFERENCES

1. Kerbaul F, Gariboldi V, Giorgi R, et al: Effects of levosimendan on acute pulmonary embo-

lism-induced right ventricular failure. *Crit Care Med* 2007; 35:•••

AQ: 1

- Ghuysen A, Lambermont B, Dogne JM, et al: Effects of BM-573 [N-Terbutyl-N'-[2-(4'methylphenylamino)-5-nitro-benzenesulfonylurea], a dual thromboxane synthase inhibitor and thromboxane receptor antagonist, in a porcine model of acute pulmonary embolism. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 2004; 310:964–972
- 3. Ghuysen A, Ghaye B, Willems V, et al: Computed tomographic pulmonary angiography and prognostic significance in patients with acute pulmonary embolism. *Thorax* 2005; 60: 956–961
- 4. Steendijk P: Right ventricular function and failure: Methods, models, and mechanisms. *Crit Care Med* 2004; 32:1087–1089
- Figgit DP, Gillies PS, Goa KL: Levosimendan. Drugs 2001; 61:613–627
- De Witt BJ, Ibrahim IN, Bayer E, et al: An analysis of responses to levosimendan in the pulmonary vascular bed of the cat. *Anesth Analg* 2002; 94:1427–1433
- Leather HA, Ver Eycken K, Segers P, et al: Effects of levosimendan on right ventricular function and ventriculovascular coupling in open chest pigs. *Crit Care Med* 2003; 31: 2339–2343

AUTHOR QUERIES

AUTHOR PLEASE ANSWER ALL QUERIES

AQ1— "I will add page numbers later."