The Neglected Intrinsic Resistome of Bacterial Pathogens
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Abstract
Bacteria with intrinsic resistance to antibiotics are a worrisome health problem. It is widely believed that intrinsic antibiotic resistance of bacterial pathogens is mainly the consequence of cellular impermeability and activity of efflux pumps. However, the analysis of transposon-tagged Pseudomonas aeruginosa mutants presented in this article shows that this phenotype emerges from the action of numerous proteins from all functional categories. Mutations in some genes make P. aeruginosa more susceptible to antibiotics and thereby represent new targets. Mutations in other genes make P. aeruginosa more resistant and therefore define novel mechanisms for mutation-driven acquisition of antibiotic resistance, opening a new research field based in the prediction of resistance before it emerges in clinical environments. Antibiotics are not just weapons against bacterial competitors, but also natural signalling molecules. Our results demonstrate that antibiotic resistance genes are not merely protective shields and offer a more comprehensive view of the role of antibiotic resistance genes in the clinic and in nature.

Introduction
The large increase in human life expectancy during the last century is attributable, among other factors, to the effectiveness of antibiotics in curing formerly devastating infectious diseases and, particularly in enabling the application of effective but aggressive medical and surgical techniques to elderly, weak and immunodepressed patients. For this reason, the increase in antibiotic resistance of human pathogens [1,2] affects not only the treatment of infectious diseases, but also many other medical practices, such as immunosuppression in transplants, anticancer chemotherapy, and advanced surgery. As stated by the World Health Organization, the spread of antibiotic-resistant bacteria at hospitals “…means that commonplace medical procedures once previously taken for granted could be conceivably consigned to medical limbo. The repercussions are almost unimaginable” [3]. From this perspective, studies addressing possible means to minimize and eventually predict antibiotic resistance [4] must be considered a priority for the future health of humankind.

To understand and predict the future evolution of antibiotic resistance in bacterial pathogens, two different problems need to be addressed: acquisition [5] of resistance genes (or mutations [6]) by formerly susceptible bacteria, and intrinsic antibiotic resistance. The existence of previously unknown determinants of antibiotic resistance that could be transferred to human pathogens and might eventually constitute a health problem was addressed in a recent report [7] in which it was shown that microbial antibiotic producers in the environment have developed a large and versatile arsenal of antibiotic resistance genes (resistome [8]).

In the current study, we focused on the second problem, the existence of species-specific bacterial intrinsic resistome, defined as the ensemble of chromosomal genes that are involved in intrinsic resistance and whose presence in strains of a bacterial species is independent of previous antibiotic exposure and is not due to horizontal gene transfer (HGT). Noteworthy, the most prominent intrinsically-resistant bacteria have an environmental (non-clinical) origin, in habitats that are much less likely than clinical settings to present intense antibiotic selective pressure. This suggests [9] that the main physiological role of the elements involved in this phenotype in the natural habitats of these bacteria in not conferring resistance to antibiotics currently in use in clinical practice. The intrinsic resistome is thus an evolutionarily ancient phenotype common to all strains of a bacterial species that has not been acquired as a result of recent utilization of antibiotics for therapy.

To address the elements involved in the building-up of bacterial intrinsic resistance, we investigated the intrinsic resistome of the model organism Pseudomonas aeruginosa. [10,11,12,13]. This bacterial species is the most important gram-negative nosocomial pathogen with an environmental origin [13]. P. aeruginosa produces infections, not only at hospitals [14], but associated to other basal pathologies such as cystic fibrosis or HIV [10,15,16]. Besides its virulence, one important feature of P. aeruginosa consists on its...
characteristic low susceptibility to antibiotics [17,18,19]. It is worth to state that *P. aeruginosa* is a free-living organisms capable to thrive in different environments [20]. Furthermore, it has been shown that this bacterial species utilizes the same virulence determinants to infect different hosts from plants to humans [21,22,23,24,25], and that infective and environmental strains are equivalent at the genomic [26,27] and physiological levels [20]. All these indicate that several phenotypes relevant for *P. aeruginosa* infection, including its characteristic low susceptibility to antibiotics, have been selected in natural environments distant from human contact.

Intrinsic resistance to antibiotics is thought to result from the reduced permeability of the bacterial envelope and the activity of multidrug efflux pumps [29]. However, this lacks experimental proof because an exhaustive search of other types of genes involved in intrinsic resistance has not been performed. Establishment of the genetic networks involved in the antibiotic resistance of bacterial pathogens is thus of paramount relevance to minimizing and predicting resistance, a task that has been recently theoretically addressed [4]. In the present work, and using this theoretical framework, a high-throughput analysis of the elements involved in the intrinsic resistance of a bacterial pathogen is made. The obtained data are important for predicting and fighting resistance and for understanding the functional and ecological role of antibiotic resistance determinants in natural ecosystems.

**Results and Discussion**

Two different transposon-tagged insertion libraries of *P. aeruginosa* [30,31] were screened (Fig. 1) as described in Methods to identify mutants with altered susceptibility to the following 6 antibiotics, indicating that the development of resistance is not specific to a given antibiotic. The view that intrinsic resistance has a large degree of non-specificity is also supported by the fact that many of the products encoded by these genes are involved in basic functions of the physiology of *P. aeruginosa* (Table 1). This absence of specificity challenges the idea that each antibiotic resistance gene originates as a means to avoid the activity of a particular antibiotic [33]. Together with the notion that antibiotics do not only function as weapons against competitors in Nature, but also as signal molecules [34,35], our results demonstrate that antibiotic resistance genes are more than shields, offering a more comprehensive view of their physiological role in bacterial pathogens.

Most mutants exhibited enhanced resistance to antibiotics (Fig. 2B) and 18% of them were resistant to all tested antibiotics. The proteins involved include known and hypothetical outer membrane proteins and transporters such as OmpA, CzcC, PA0809, PA2760 and PA4691. These proteins likely serve to transport the antibiotics inside the cell and thus might represent mechanisms of resistance due to drug impermeability.

However, other mutations reducing susceptibility to all tested antibiotics targeted genes encoding proteins involved in basic cellular metabolism such as *rpoD*, which encodes for ADP-L-glycero-D-mannoheptose-6-epimerase, and *gapD*, which encodes glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase. Slow-growing bacteria are usually less susceptible to antibiotics [36]. Since strong impairment of growth in rich medium was not observed for any mutant, growth-independent features of the bacterial metabolome must be responsible for the observed changes in antimicrobial susceptibility to several antimicrobials. Those genes define novel targets for the development of anti-resistance drugs such those above described.

![Figure 1. Screening of *P. aeruginosa* mutants with altered antibiotic susceptibility. Two libraries of transposon-tagged *P. aeruginosa* mutants were screened to detect changes in their susceptibility to the antimicrobial agents polymyxin B, amikacin, ciprofloxacin, tetracycline, imipenem, and ceftazidime. A mutant was considered resistant (red square in A) if it was able to grow at antibiotic concentrations that inhibited the growth of the wild-type strain (black square in both panels). A mutant was considered hypersusceptible (green square in B) if it was not able to grow at antibiotic concentrations permissive for the wild-type strain. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0001619.g001](http://www.pseudomonas.com/)
susceptibility. This highlights the fact that antibiotic susceptibility is likely dependent on the metabolic state of bacteria [37,38]. That is, in addition to the well-known specific mechanisms of resistance, bacteria have a “physiology-dependent” resistome. During infection, bacteria sense their environment and alter their metabolism accordingly. Thus, knowledge on the changes that occur in bacterial metabolic networks during infection may help us to improve treatments, mainly at locations in the human-body where the amount of free antibiotic is not very high. The fact that mutations both in intergenic regions and mutations in regulatory proteins and sensor systems affected bacterial susceptibility to antibiotics (see Table 1) also supports the concept that in vivo susceptibility might be dependent on the tuning of physiological circuits in response to the inputs received during infection.

Antibiotics have been sought and customized in order to combat bacterial pathogens, and it was thought that their ecological function is to fight competitors in natural (non-clinical) environments. According to this warfare-based view, resistant organisms should develop specific shields (antibiotic resistance genes) to avoid the action of antibiotics. The acquisition of antibiotic resistance genes by HGT supports this view, since such genes use to be specific to a given structural family of antibiotics and, in a few cases, the same gene is present in the producer organism and in the bacterial pathogen [40]. Nevertheless, we must remember that after HGT, classical antibiotic resistance genes are displaced from the genetic background in which they evolved and their function in the original organism is not necessarily antibiotic resistance. Examples are P. aeruginosa strains that were isolated from non clinical environments before the discovery of quinolones but that have active mechanisms of resistance to these synthetic drugs [28], and some antibiotic-inactivating enzymes, prototypes of canonical antibiotic resistance genes, that exert functions unrelated to antibiotics and important to bacterial physiology [41,42]. These mechanisms of resistance were not selected in the original organism to avoid the action of an antibiotic, although they can provide this advantage upon transfer to a new host, in what has been named an exaptation evolutionary process [43]. As stated above, our results provide novel evidences supporting the notion that some determinants involved in basic bacterial physiology in natural habitats may have an important role in resistance to environments with a high load of antibiotics, such as hospital settings.

Figure 2. Antibiotic susceptibility of P. aeruginosa mutants. The susceptibility of the selected mutants was determined by comparison to the wild-type parental strains. (A) The antibiotic susceptibility ratios of each mutant and its isogenic wild-type strain are shown. The ratios of changes were hierarchically clustered [48] using freely available software (http://rana.lbl.gov/EisenSoftware.htm). Green, more susceptible; red, more resistant; Pol, polymixin B; Amk, amikacin; Cip, ciprofloxacin; Tet, tetracycline; Imi, imipenem; Cef, cefazidime. Note that in most cases, susceptibilities to several antibiotics changed simultaneously. (B) The number of mutants with higher resistance (resistant mutants) to a given number of tested antibiotics. Most of the mutants had increased resistance to several antibiotics belonging to different structural families. (C) The number of mutants with higher susceptibility (hypersusceptible mutants) to a given number of tested antibiotics. Note that a mutant can be more resistant to some antibiotics (see A) and more susceptible to others and therefore can be included in both (B) and (C).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0001619.g002
Along our work, we found that the development of an intrinsic resistance phenotype in *P. aeruginosa* requires the concerted action of several genes that encode basic functions of cell physiology. Our results support the notion that intrinsic resistance, and the capacity to acquire higher levels of resistance may be the consequence of complex networks of interactions among several bacterial proteins; this opens a new field of research with regard to predicting antibiotic resistance [4] and help to define new targets (hypersusceptible mutants) for identifying antimicrobials against intrinsically resistant bacterial pathogens.

Our work supports the notion that antibiotic resistance elements are not just shields that evolved as protection mechanisms against antibiotic weapons, but have several other functions relevant for bacterial physiology. This is a Copernican turning point in our view concerning antibiotic resistance and the functional and ecological role of these elements in hospitals and in non-clinical environments.

### Materials and Methods

#### Bacterial strains and growth conditions

Two libraries of transposon-tagged *P. aeruginosa* mutants were used. One of the libraries comprised 3936 mutants and has been obtained from the *P. aeruginosa* Tb isolate [30]. The other contained 2016 mutants and has been obtained from the *P. aeruginosa* 59.20 strain [31]. For all experiments concerning antibiotic susceptibility, bacteria were grown at 37°C in Mueller-Hinton medium [44]. For obtaining chromosomal DNA, bacteria were grown overnight at 37°C in LB broth without glucose [45] in a rotary shaker operated at 220 rpm.

#### Screening of the libraries and determination of Minimal Inhibitory Concentrations (MICs)

The screening was performed using Mueller-Hinton [44] agar plates containing increasing concentrations (2-fold each plate) of the following antimicrobial agents: polymyxin B, amikacin, ciprofloxacin, tetracycline, imipenem, cefazidime. In all cases, 5 µl drops, each one containing around 10⁷ colony-forming-units of each mutant, were poured onto the plates, and the growth of the spots was recorded after 24 h of growth. For comparison purposes, all plates contained a spot with the corresponding wild-type strain. In all cases, the tests were replicated at least three times. A mutant was considered resistant if it was capable to grow at antibiotic concentrations that inhibited the growth of its parental, wild-type strain. A mutant was classified as hypersusceptible to a given antibiotic if did not grow at concentrations in which its parental, wild-type strain was capable to grow.

For all selected mutants, MICs to the six antibiotics were determined in Mueller-Hinton [44] agar plates as described [46].

### Determination of the point of insertion of the transposon and identification of the mutated gene

Chromosomal DNA was obtained from the selected mutants using the GENOME DNA KIT (MP Biomedicals). For each mutant, 1 µg of genomic DNA was cut using 20 units of the restriction enzyme PstI (Biolabs Inc.). The reaction was performed at 37°C overnight. After inactivation of the restriction enzyme at 80°C for 20 minutes, 100 ng of the digested DNA was ligated with 200 units of T4 DNA ligase at 16°C overnight in 200 µl of ligation buffer.

### Table 1. Functional categories of genes involved in the antibiotic susceptibility of *P. aeruginosa*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category*</th>
<th>Number of genes in the genome of <em>P. aeruginosa</em> PAO1**</th>
<th>Mutants with changes in antibiotic susceptibility belonging to this functional category**</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adaptation, protection</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>2 (1.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amino acid biosynthesis and metabolism</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>4 (1.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antibiotic resistance and susceptibility</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1 (3.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motility and Attachment</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>4 (3.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biosynthesis of cofactors, prosthetic groups and carriers</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>2 (1.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon compound catabolism</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>7 (4.05%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell division</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>0 (-)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell wall/LPS/capsule</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>1 (0.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central intermediary metabolism</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>2 (2.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chaperons and heat shock proteins</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>0 (-)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemotaxis</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>1 (1.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNA replication, recombination, modification and repair</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>1 (1.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy metabolism</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>3 (1.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fatty acid and phospholipid metabolism</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>1 (1.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypothetical, unclassified, unknown</td>
<td>2364</td>
<td>31 (1.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membrane proteins</td>
<td>676</td>
<td>17 (2.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nucleotide biosynthesis and metabolism</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>1 (1.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein secretion/export apparatus</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>2 (2.02%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Putative enzymes</td>
<td>477</td>
<td>11 (2.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Related to phages, transposons, or plasmids</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>2 (3.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transcription, RNA processing and degradation: genes</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>0 (-)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transcriptional regulators</td>
<td>473</td>
<td>5 (0.08)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Translation, post-translational modification, degradation</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>1 (0.53%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport of small molecules</td>
<td>595</td>
<td>17 (2.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two-component regulatory systems</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>4 (3.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secreted Factors (toxins, enzymes, alginate): genes</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>1 (1.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-coding RNA gene</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>0 (-)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intergenic region</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8 (-)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genes from non-PAO1 strain</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5 (-)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Functional categories as defined in http://www.pseudomonas.com/

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0001619.t001

*Some genes belong to more than one category.*
buffer (50 mM Tris–HCl, 10 mM MgCl₂, 10 mM DTT, 1 mM ATP, 25 μg/ml BSA, pH 7.5). These conditions favor autoligation and circularization of the fragments. After ligation, DNA was precipitated by adding 20 μl of 3 M sodium acetate, pH 5.2 and 500 μl of ethanol 100%. The mixture was incubated one hour at –20°C, and the DNA was pelleted by centrifugation. Pelleted DNA was washed with ethanol 70% and, after further centrifugation, dried at 37°C. This dried DNA was used for inverse-PCR of the circularized fragments generated from the chromosomal DNA obtained from each mutant.

Inverse-PCR was made using the primers described in Table 2. Primers Gm1 and phoA5 were used to map the location of the transposon miniTnphoA3 in mutants belonging to the laboratory made in the strain 59.20 and primers Tn5c and Tn5d were used to map the location of the transposon miniTn5 in the mutants belonging to the laboratory made in the strain TB. In all cases, the PCR reaction was made in 50 μl reaction mixture containing 5 μl of PCR buffer 10X (27.5 mM MgCl₂, 20 mM Tris–HCl, 100 mM KCl, 1 mM DTT, 0.1 mM EDTA, 0.5% Nonidet P40 v/v, 0.5% Tween v/v, 50% glycerol v/v), each deoxynucleotide (dCTP, dGTP, dATP and dTTP) at a concentration of 350 μM each, 300 nM of each primer, 2.5 units of Expand Long Template Enzyme mix (Roche) and the template DNA obtained as described above. The mixture was heated at 94°C for 2 min, followed by 30 cycles of 10 s at 94°C, 30 s at 55°C and 6 min at 68°C, and finally 1 min extension step at 68°C before the end of the reaction. PCR products were run on 1% agarose gels and stained with ethidium bromide [47]. For some mutants an amplicon was not obtained using these experimental conditions. In these cases, the experiment was repeated using the exact one of the restriction enzymes BstEII, NarI, SfoI, BamHI instead of PstI for the first DNA digestion step. Before sequencing, the amplicons were purified using QIAquick PCR purification protocol (Qiagen).

After purification, the amplicons were sequenced by the dideoxy termination method with the same primers used for the PCR reaction. The obtained sequences were used to make a Blast search of the P. aeruginosa PAO1 genome (www.pseudomonas.com) in order to map the position of the inserted transposon. In some few mutants, the sequences were not present in the chromosome of P. aeruginosa PAO1, and a general Blast search was performed. For every mutant, the two sequences obtained with both primers matched in the same gene or intergenic region of the sequenced chromosome. This assures the reliability of the method used for mapping the insertions.
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**Table 2. Primers used for determining the location of the insertions**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer name</th>
<th>5’-3’ Sequence</th>
<th>Transposon</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gm1</td>
<td>TGGCCACGTTGCGTGGAGCCAT</td>
<td>mini TnphoA3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>phoA5</td>
<td>GCGGCAGCTGTACGCCCAGTTA</td>
<td>mini TnphoA3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tn5c</td>
<td>TGCTGGCCTTTTGCCTCACAT</td>
<td>mini Tn5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tn5d</td>
<td>CGAACGGCACGGCTTATGTC</td>
<td>mini Tn5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0001619.t002