## Supplemental data

## Behavioral data

## Interaction item x context for reaction time

The interaction effect[ $\left[\mathrm{F}(4,168)=7.32 ; \mathrm{p}<.001 ; \eta_{p}^{2}=.15\right]$ was firstly characterized by larger interference effects (comparison of incongruent and neutral items) in the MN context by comparison to $\mathrm{MI}[F(1,42)=8.51 ; p<.01]$ and $\mathrm{MC}[F(1,42)=13.87 ; p=.001]$ contexts. Second, the comparison of incongruent and congruent items was characterized by significantly larger differences in MN than MI context $[F(1,42)=18.63 ; p<.0001]$ and in $M C$ than in $M N$ context $[F(1,42)=6.28 ; p=.02]$. Finally, the same pattern of results was observed for the comparison of congruent and neutral items [(MI$M N: F(1,42)=6.73 ; p<.05) ;(M C-M N: F(1,42)=5.37 ; p<.05)]$.

## Main effects and interaction context $x$ item for item accuracy

We observed a significant effect of item $\left[F(2,84)=69.09 ; \mathrm{p}<.0001 ; \eta_{p}^{2}=.62\right]$ and context $[F(2,84)=$ $\left.7.45 ; \mathrm{p}<.005 ; \eta_{p}^{2}=.15\right]$. Planned comparisons showed that the item effect was characterized by less accurate responses for incongruent than for congruent $[F(1,42)=65.80 ; p<.0001]$ or neutral $[F(1,42)=98.29 ; p<.0001]$ items. The context effect was characterized by a better accuracy in MI context by comparison to $\mathrm{MC}[\mathrm{F}(1,42)=8.46 ; \mathrm{p}<.01]$ and $\mathrm{MN}[\mathrm{F}(1,42)=24.07 ; p<.0001]$ contexts, but also by a better accuracy in MC than $M N$ context $[F(1,42)=6.21 ; p<.05]$. An interaction effect between context and item has been also observed $\left[F(4,168)=3.18 ; \mathrm{p}=.02 ; \eta_{p}^{2}=.07\right]$. This interaction was firstly characterized by a larger difference between congruent and neutral items in $M C$ context than in $\operatorname{MI}[F(1,42)=17.37 ; p<.001]$ or $\operatorname{MN}[F(1,42)=6.26 ; p=.02]$ contexts. $A$ larger difference was also observed between incongruent and congruent items in MN by comparison to MI context $[F(1,42)=4.26 ; p=.046]$.

Table S1: General interference effect in the whole sample of participants. Local maxima of brain area showing more activity in the incongruent than neutralitems in the MI, MC and MN contexts.

| Hemisphere | Anatomical region | MNI coordinates |  |  | Cluster size | Z score | $P$ value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | x | y | z |  |  |  |
| R | Anterior Cingulate | 8 | 20 | 36 | 1311 | 5.70 | < . 001 |
| R | Medial frontal | 2 | 12 | 50 | 1311 | 6.28 | < . 001 |
|  | Superior frontal | 18 | 4 | 64 | 1311 | 5.24 | <. 001 |
| L and R | Middle frontal | -44 | 24 | 24 | 4751 | 6.42 | < . 001 |
|  |  | -44 | 6 | 28 | 4751 | Inf | < . 001 |
|  |  | 40 | 36 | 26 | 76 | 4.80 | <. 01 |
| R | Insula | 32 | 22 | 6 | 938 | 6.73 | < . 001 |
| L and R | Inferiorparietal (BA 40) | -44 | -42 | 46 | 5404 | 7.46 | < . 001 |
|  |  | -38 | -46 | 50 | 5404 | 7.10 | < . 001 |
|  |  | 64 | -40 | 24 | 16 | 4.72 | <. 05 |
| L | Precuneus (BA 31) | -24 | -72 | 30 | 5404 | 6.94 | < . 001 |
| R | Cuneus | 10 | -70 | -30 | 1215 | 7.11 | < . 001 |
| L | Inferior occipital | -38 | -82 | -6 | 1718 | 6.66 | < . 001 |
|  |  | 46 | 10 | 12 | 938 | 6.00 | <. 005 |
|  |  | 48 | -42 | 16 | 21 | 4.67 | <. 05 |
| L and R | Thalamus | -14 | -24 | 10 | 298 | 5.59 | < . 001 |
|  |  | 12 | -6 | 4 | 341 | 4.63 | <. 05 |
|  |  | 20 | -26 | 10 | 341 | 5.55 | < . 001 |
|  |  | 6 | -30 | -4 | 18 | 4.55 | <. 05 |
| L and R | Lentiform nucleus | -18 | -2 | 12 | 22 | 4.69 | <. 05 |
|  |  | 20 | 4 | 12 | 341 | 4.96 | <005 |
|  |  | -40 | -56 | -24 | 1718 | 6.25 | < . 001 |
|  |  | -38 | -60 | -14 | 1718 | 6.20 | < . 001 |
|  |  | 30 | -60 | -30 | 1215 | 7.14 | < . 001 |
| L | Cerebellum (claustrum) | -32 | 20 | 4 | 4751 | Inf | < . 001 |

$\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{R}=$ left or right; $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$ : coordinates (mm) in the stereotactic space defined by the Montreal
Neurological Institute (MNI). The analysis was conducted with a $p$ value $<.05$ FWE corrected.

Table S2: Interference effect in proactive and reactive controlconditions in the whole sample of participants. (1) Local maxima of brain regions showing more transient brain activity for the interference effect (interferent vs. neutral items) during MI blocks and MC blocksin the whole sample at a p value < . 05 FWE corrected.(2) Local maxima of brain regions showing more activation for interferent, facilitator and neutral items in the mostly incongruent condition than in the mostly congruent condition at a voxel $p$ value $<.001$ uncorrected.

| Hemisphere | Anatomical region | MNI coordinates |  |  | Cluster size | Z score | $P$ value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | X | y | z |  |  |  |
| (1) Transient activity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MI (proactive control) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| R | Cerebellum (declive) | 10 | -70 | -30 | 23 | 4.85 | . 007 |
| MC (reactive control) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| L | Middle frontal | -32 | -2 | 56 | 17 | 4.56 | . 024 |
| L | Inferior frontal | -52 | 10 | 32 | 37 | 4.61 | . 020 |
| L | Precentral gyrus | -54 | 10 | 4 | 13 | 4.52 | . 029 |
| L | Inferior parietal | -48 | -38 | 48 | 317 | 5.29 | <. 001 |
| L and R | Insula | -34 | 18 | 4 | 450 | 5.57 | <. 001 |
|  |  | 42 | 20 | 2 | 61 | 4.47 | . 035 |
|  |  | 36 | 22 | 8 | 61 | 4.67 | . 015 |
|  |  | -36 | -46 | 52 | 317 | 4.85 | <. 001 |
| R | Cerebellum (declive) | 30 | -60 | -28 | 27 | 4.89 | . 005 |
| R | Cerebellum (claustrum) | 26 | 22 | 6 | 61 | 4.49 | . 032 |
| (2) Sustained activity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MI (proactive control) NOTHING |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{R}=$ left or right; $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$ : coordinates ( mm ) in the stereotactic space defined by the Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI).

