Computational & Multiscale Mechanics of Materials



# Modeling of Damage to Crack Transition using a Coupled Discontinuous Galerkin / Cohesive Extrinsic Law Framework

L. Wu, G. Becker and L. Noels

**CFRAC 2013** 



#### • Non-local damage model to cohesive zone model

- Implicit gradient enhanced damage model
- Energy equivalence of damage model and cohesive zone model
- 1D bar case

#### • Damage to crack

- Discontinuous Galerkin / Cohesive Extrinsic Law Framework
- Damage to crack transition

### Application

Compact tension specimen

#### Conclusions



- Material fracture process
  - Damage accumulation
  - crack





- Material fracture process
  - Damage accumulation
  - crack



- Finite element solutions for strain softening problems suffer from:
  - The loss the uniqueness and strain localization
  - Mesh dependence



Homogenous unique solution

The numerical results change with the size and the direction of the mesh



- Material fracture process
  - Damage accumulation
  - crack



- Finite element solutions for strain softening problems suffer from:
  - The loss the uniqueness and strain localization

Homogenous unique solution

– Mesh dependence



The numerical results change with the size and the direction of the mesh

• Discontinuous Galerkin / Cohesive Extrinsic Law Framework



- Implicit gradient enhanced damage model [Peerlings et al. 96, Geers et al. 97, ...]
  - A state variable is replaced by a non-local value reflecting the interaction between neighboring material points

$$\widetilde{a}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{V_{\rm C}} \int_{V_{\rm C}} a(\mathbf{y}) w(\mathbf{y}; \mathbf{x}) \mathrm{d}V$$

- Use Green functions as weight w(y; x)  $\longrightarrow$  Implicit gradient enhanced model

$$\widetilde{a} - c \nabla^2 \widetilde{a} = a$$
 with

$$\frac{\partial \widetilde{a}}{\partial n} = n_i \frac{\partial \widetilde{a}}{\partial x_i} = 0$$

CM3

6

- Implicit gradient enhanced damage model [Peerlings et al. 96, Geers et al. 97, ...]
  - A state variable is replaced by a non-local value reflecting the interaction between neighboring material points

$$\widetilde{a}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{V_{\rm C}} \int_{V_{\rm C}} a(\mathbf{y}) w(\mathbf{y}; \mathbf{x}) \mathrm{d}V$$

- Use Green functions as weight w(y; x)  $\longrightarrow$  Implicit gradient enhanced model

$$\widetilde{a} - c \nabla^2 \widetilde{a} = a$$
 with

$$\frac{\partial \widetilde{a}}{\partial n} = n_i \frac{\partial \widetilde{a}}{\partial x_i} = 0$$

- General form for anisotropic cases

$$\widetilde{a} - \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{c}_{g} \cdot \nabla \widetilde{a}) = a \qquad \mathbf{n} \cdot (\mathbf{c}_{g} \cdot \nabla \widetilde{a}) = 0$$

- Damage evolution  $D(\tilde{e}; t)$ 

$$\widetilde{e} - \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{c}_{g} \cdot \nabla \widetilde{e}) = e \qquad e = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1,2,3} (\varepsilon_{i}^{+})^{2}}$$

**CFRAC 2013** 



- Energy equivalence of damage model and cohesive zone model
  - Cohesive law can be constructed from damage model [Planas et al. 1993, Cazes et al. 2009...]



Cohesive zone



$$\sigma = (1 - D)\mathbf{C}^{\mathbf{e}} : \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$$
$$Y = -\frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} : \mathbf{C}^{\mathbf{e}} : \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$$

Free energy

Before damage localization D = D' (diffuse damage)  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon'$ 



- Energy equivalence of damage model and cohesive zone model
  - Cohesive law can be constructed from damage model [Planas et al. 1993, Cazes et al. 2009...]



- Energy equivalence of damage model and cohesive zone model
  - Cohesive law can be constructed from damage model [Planas et al. 1993, Cazes et al. 2009...]





- 1D case analysis •
  - A cross section of the bar
  - $\sigma$  tensile stress
  - $u_L$  the displacement at right end of the bar





Transition at D' ۰Ī

$$\llbracket u \rrbracket = \int_0^L (\mathcal{E} - \mathcal{E}_{\text{hom}}) dL$$



![](_page_11_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Figure_1.jpeg)

# Key issue: $\phi_S$ !!!

![](_page_12_Picture_7.jpeg)

- 1D case analysis
  - Numerical solution

![](_page_13_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Problems with cohesive elements
  - Intrinsic Cohesive Law (ICL)
    - Cohesive elements inserted from the beginning
    - Drawbacks:
      - Efficient if a priori knowledge of the crack path
      - Mesh dependency [Xu & Needelman, 1994]
      - Initial slope modifies the effective elastic modulus
      - This slope should tend to infinity [Klein et al. 2001]:
        - » Alteration of a wave propagation
        - » Critical time step is reduced

![](_page_17_Figure_11.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_12.jpeg)

- Problems with cohesive elements
  - Intrinsic Cohesive Law (ICL)
    - Cohesive elements inserted from the beginning
    - Drawbacks:
      - Efficient if a priori knowledge of the crack path
      - Mesh dependency [Xu & Needelman, 1994]
      - Initial slope modifies the effective elastic modulus
      - This slope should tend to infinity [Klein et al. 2001]:
        - » Alteration of a wave propagation
        - » Critical time step is reduced
  - Extrinsic Cohesive Law (ECL)
    - Cohesive elements inserted on the fly when failure criterion is verified [Ortiz & Pandolfi 1999]
    - Drawback
      - Complex implementation in 3D (parallelization)
- Solution
  - Use discontinuous Galerkin methods embedding interface elements

![](_page_18_Figure_17.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_22.jpeg)

- Discontinuous Galerkin (DG) methods
  - Finite-element discretization
  - Same discontinuous polynomial approximations for the
    - **Test** functions  $u_h$  and
    - Trial functions  $\delta u$

![](_page_19_Figure_6.jpeg)

- Definition of operators on the interface trace:
  - Jump operator:  $\llbracket \bullet \rrbracket = \bullet^+ \bullet^-$
  - Mean operator:  $\langle \bullet \rangle = \frac{\bullet^+ + \bullet^-}{2}$

![](_page_19_Picture_14.jpeg)

- Discontinuous Galerkin (DG) methods
  - Finite-element discretization
  - Same discontinuous polynomial approximations for the
    - **Test** functions  $u_h$  and
    - Trial functions  $\delta u$

![](_page_20_Figure_6.jpeg)

- Definition of operators on the interface trace:
  - Jump operator:  $\llbracket \bullet \rrbracket = \bullet^+ \bullet^-$
  - Mean operator:  $\langle \bullet \rangle = \frac{\bullet^+ + \bullet^-}{2}$
- Continuity is weakly enforced, such that the method
  - Is consistent
  - Is stable
  - Has the optimal convergence rate

![](_page_20_Picture_18.jpeg)

• Governing equations

$$\begin{cases} \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{T} = \boldsymbol{0} \\ \tilde{\boldsymbol{e}} - \nabla \cdot \left( \mathbf{c}_{g} \cdot \nabla \tilde{\boldsymbol{e}} \right) = \boldsymbol{e} & \text{Boundary conditions} \end{cases} \begin{cases} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{n} = \bar{\boldsymbol{t}} \\ \boldsymbol{n} \cdot \left( \boldsymbol{c}_{g} \cdot \nabla \tilde{\boldsymbol{e}} \right) = \boldsymbol{0} \end{cases}$$

• Weak formulation obtained by integration by parts on each element  $\Omega^e$ 

![](_page_21_Figure_4.jpeg)

$$\sum_{e} \int_{\Omega^{e}} \nabla \cdot \sigma^{T}(\boldsymbol{u}_{h}) \cdot \delta \boldsymbol{u} \, d\Omega = 0$$
$$\sum_{e} \int_{\Omega^{e}} -\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\boldsymbol{u}_{h}) : \nabla \delta \boldsymbol{u} \, d\Omega + \sum_{e} \int_{\partial \Omega^{e}} \delta \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{n} d\partial \Omega = 0$$

Governing equations ۲

$$\begin{cases} \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{T} = \boldsymbol{0} \\ \tilde{\boldsymbol{e}} - \nabla \cdot \left( \mathbf{c}_{g} \cdot \nabla \tilde{\boldsymbol{e}} \right) = \boldsymbol{e} & \text{Boundary conditions} \end{cases} \begin{cases} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{n} = \bar{\boldsymbol{t}} \\ \boldsymbol{n} \cdot \left( \boldsymbol{c}_{g} \cdot \nabla \tilde{\boldsymbol{e}} \right) = \boldsymbol{0} \end{cases}$$

Weak formulation obtained by integration by parts on each element  $\Omega^e$ ٠

![](_page_22_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_9.jpeg)

• Governing equations

$$\begin{pmatrix} \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{T} = \boldsymbol{0} \\ \tilde{\boldsymbol{e}} - \nabla \cdot \left( \mathbf{c}_{g} \cdot \nabla \tilde{\boldsymbol{e}} \right) = \boldsymbol{e} \quad \text{Boundary conditions} \quad \begin{cases} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{n} = \bar{\boldsymbol{t}} \\ \boldsymbol{n} \cdot \left( \boldsymbol{c}_{g} \cdot \nabla \tilde{\boldsymbol{e}} \right) = \boldsymbol{0} \end{cases}$$

• Weak formulation obtained by integration by parts on each element  $\Omega^e$ 

![](_page_23_Figure_4.jpeg)

Université U Ø

• Combining with cohesive law

$$\int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{\sigma}(\boldsymbol{u}_{h}) : \boldsymbol{\nabla} \delta \boldsymbol{u} \, \mathrm{d}\Omega + \int_{\partial_{I}\Omega} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \, \bar{\boldsymbol{t}}^{-}(\llbracket \boldsymbol{u} \rrbracket) \cdot \llbracket \delta \boldsymbol{u} \rrbracket \mathrm{d}\partial\Omega$$
$$+ \int_{\partial_{I}\Omega} (1 - \boldsymbol{\alpha}) \llbracket \delta \boldsymbol{u} \rrbracket \cdot \langle \boldsymbol{\sigma} \rangle \cdot \boldsymbol{n}^{-} \mathrm{d}\partial\Omega + \int_{\partial_{I}\Omega} (1 - \boldsymbol{\alpha}) \llbracket \delta \boldsymbol{u} \rrbracket \otimes \boldsymbol{n}^{-} : \langle \frac{\beta_{s}}{h_{s}} \mathrm{C}^{\mathbf{e}} \rangle : \llbracket \boldsymbol{u} \rrbracket \otimes \boldsymbol{n}^{-} \mathrm{d}\partial\Omega$$
$$+ \int_{\partial_{I}\Omega} (1 - \boldsymbol{\alpha}) \llbracket \boldsymbol{u} \rrbracket \cdot \langle \mathrm{C}^{\mathbf{e}} : \boldsymbol{\nabla} \delta \boldsymbol{u} \rangle \cdot \boldsymbol{n}^{-} \mathrm{d}\partial\Omega = \int_{\partial_{N}\Omega} \bar{\boldsymbol{t}} \cdot \boldsymbol{n} \mathrm{d}\partial\Omega$$

- Transition from damage to crack
  - Critical damage DT

![](_page_24_Picture_5.jpeg)

• Combining with cohesive law

$$\int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{\sigma}(\boldsymbol{u}_{h}) : \boldsymbol{\nabla} \delta \boldsymbol{u} \, \mathrm{d}\Omega + \int_{\partial_{I}\Omega} \alpha \bar{\boldsymbol{t}}^{-}(\llbracket \boldsymbol{u} \rrbracket) \cdot \llbracket \delta \boldsymbol{u} \rrbracket \mathrm{d}\partial\Omega$$
$$+ \int_{\partial_{I}\Omega} (1 - \alpha) \llbracket \delta \boldsymbol{u} \rrbracket \cdot \langle \boldsymbol{\sigma} \rangle \cdot \boldsymbol{n}^{-} \mathrm{d}\partial\Omega + \int_{\partial_{I}\Omega} (1 - \alpha) \llbracket \delta \boldsymbol{u} \rrbracket \otimes \boldsymbol{n}^{-} : \langle \frac{\beta_{s}}{h_{s}} \mathrm{C}^{\mathbf{e}} \rangle : \llbracket \boldsymbol{u} \rrbracket \otimes \boldsymbol{n}^{-} \mathrm{d}\partial\Omega$$
$$+ \int_{\partial_{I}\Omega} (1 - \alpha) \llbracket \boldsymbol{u} \rrbracket \cdot \langle \mathrm{C}^{\mathbf{e}} : \boldsymbol{\nabla} \delta \boldsymbol{u} \rangle \cdot \boldsymbol{n}^{-} \mathrm{d}\partial\Omega = \int_{\partial_{N}\Omega} \bar{\boldsymbol{t}} \cdot \boldsymbol{n} \mathrm{d}\partial\Omega$$

- Transition from damage to crack
  - Critical damage DT
  - Effective stress

$$\sigma_c = \frac{\sigma}{(1 - D_T)}$$

- TSL Characterized by
  - Strength  $\sigma_c$  &
  - Critical energy release rate  $\phi_S$

![](_page_25_Figure_10.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_11.jpeg)

CM<sub>3</sub>

• Compact tension specimen [Geers et al. 1999, ...]

W=50 mm an=10 mm Thickness: 3.8 mm

- 3D model
- Results

![](_page_26_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_6.jpeg)

• Compact tension specimen [Geers et al. 1999, ...]

![](_page_27_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_7.jpeg)

- Compact tension specimen
  - Results

![](_page_28_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Figure_7.jpeg)

### Implicit gradient enhanced damage

- Easy implementation
- Extra degree of freedom on nodes
- Damage to crack
  - Cohesive law needs to be constructed
    - High damage (approximation)
    - Low damage (numerical solution)
  - Transition criterion from the information of damage and stress

#### • DG method

- Computationally efficient // method
- Consistent
- Extrinsic cohesive law

![](_page_29_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_15.jpeg)