Case management projects



enabling frail older people to stay in their own home a nested analysis within the framework of the evaluation of Protocol 3 projects



Van Durme Thérèse¹, Macq Jean¹, Lopez Hartmann Maja², Remmen Roy², Maggi Patrick³, Delye Sam³, Gosset Christiane³, Schmitz Olivier¹

¹ University of Louvain (Brussels), Institute of Health and Society(IRSS)

² University of Antwerp, Vakgroep Eerstelijns- en Interdisciplinaire Zorg Antwerpen (ELIZA)

³ University of Liège, Département des sciences de la santé publique









Background

- (1) Aggregated results of systematic reviews show that case management interventions have little or no impact on frail older persons outcomes, excepted in terms of beneficiaries' satisfaction of overall care and relieving caregivers (AHRQ, 2013). informal burden of Heterogeneity of case management interventions seems to be responsible for these inconclusive results.
- (2) 63 innovative projects are currently financed under « Protocol3 », from which 21 are case management (CM) projects. Their aim is to provide interventions or a combination of interventions. Primary outcome is the risk of frail older person's institutionnalization; secundary outcomes include functional status, perceived health, quality of life and burden of the informal caregiver.

Purpose

To investigate whether and under which conditions the 21 case management projects – provided alone or in combination with other intervention(s) of Protocol 3 - were effective to decrease the risk of institutionalization of frail elderly living at home, and why. Other outcomes (i.e. quality of life, functional status and perceived burden of their main informal caregiver) are described elsewhere.

Methods

Following a mixed methods design, the impact of the projects was investigated through an implementation and effectiveness analysis. To guide data collection and analyses, projects were viewed as complex adaptative systems (Plsek & Greenhalgh, 2001).

A primary in-depth analysis of five projects, using a case study methodology, allowed a precise description of the components, interaction patterns and level of implementation of these projects.

Secondly, based on Wagner's Chronic Care Model (1996) and subsequent ACIC quality indicators (Bonomi & al., 2002) and first results of the implementation and effectiveness analysis, quality indicators were constructed, to further identify the determinants and mechanisms of the projects' impact on frail older persons' outcomes, as viewed by the professionals of the projects (Greenhalgh et al., 2004).

Future steps

Project components will be tested by the means of regression analyses with the primary outcome (risk of institutionalization) and secundary outcomes.

Further case study will allow to fine-tune our understanding of this correlation and suggest causal links.

Results

Case study methodology allowed the identification of project components (structural or processual) who could have an impact on frail older persons delay of instutionnalization. 25 relevance criteria derived from these empirical results, along with elements from Wagner's Chronic Care model (1996) were grouped into eight domains.

- a) Appropriateness of the workforce
- Tailored service design and organisation
- Self-management and support
- Community linkages
- Appropriateness of financial incentives
- Processes in support of quality of care
- Knowledge management and decision support
- Clinical information tools

20 CM projects were assessed following these quality indicators;

results are provided per subgroup of CM projects. CM delivered alone (4) e. Finances a. Workforce h. Information, g. Knowledge Community CM + occupational therapy e. Finances and physiotherapy (4) a. Workforce h. Information g. Knowledge CM + psychotherapy or psychological support (6)

h. Information

g. Knowledge

a. Workforce