# Light transmission imaging as a useful tool to decrypt soil-root interactions

#### Lobet, G.<sup>1</sup>, Javaux, M.<sup>2</sup>, Pagès, L.<sup>3</sup>, and Draye, X.<sup>1</sup>.

1. Crop Physiology and Plant Breeding, Universitté catholique de Louvain, Croix du Sud 2-11, 1348 Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium 2. Environmental Sciences and Land Use Planning, Universitté catholique de Louvain, Croix du Sud 2-2, 1348 Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium 3. Plantes et systèmes horticoles, INRA, Domaine Saint Paul - Site Agroparc - 84914 Avignon Cedex 9, France

# Introduction

Drought is becoming a major constraint on crop production worldwide. Water availability sets the limits for transpiration and is reflected in the evolution of stomatal conductance throughout plant life, which affects photosynthesis and yield. These effects are critical at given phenological stages, such as flowering and grain filling in maize, and may have irreversible effects on yield. It is therefore important to improve the ability of plants to manage water resources from their environment in optimal ways.

### Water uptake results



de Louvaii

We present here a phenotyping platform designed to analyse various aspects of root system architecture and dynamics and how they interfere with soil water uptake, in a quantitative framework.

# **Experimental platform**

Maize plants are grown in 2Drhizotrons designed to allow 2D monitoring of soil water content by light transmission imaging (Guarrigues *et al*, 2006) (fig. 1).

Hydraulic properties of the substrate are precisely characterized and quantitatively linked with light transmission.



fig 3: Evolution of soil water content during a 3-day long water deficit episode. The maize plant is 21 days old at the moment of the treatment. Time-step between images is 12 hours. Scale bar is 10 cm

#### Figure 3 shows:

- a downward progression of the uptake zone.
- a quick apparition of a **dry zone** near the plant collar.

The root system is divided in two parts, one in a dry zone, one in a wet zone. → this system is close to Partial Root Zone Drying (Dodd, 2005)

Figure 4 shows the evolution of the relative depth of the uptake zone. We can see that the downward progression is quickly decreasing.

#### Hypothesis:

This setup enables a daily monitoring of root growth and soil water content.

## **Root growth results**



- deep roots are less able to take up water
- fewer lateral roots in deep soil layer



fig 4: Relative depth of the water uptake front vs time. The maximal depth at which the uptake influences the water content of the soil is defined as the absolute depth. The relative depth is expressed in percentage of the root system maximal depth. This allows us to compare the uptake behavior of root system of different sizes.

fig 2: Root elongation vs local soil water content. Soil water content is calculated directly around the apex of the root (0.4 cm<sup>3</sup>)

A weak positive correlation is observed between **local soil water content** and daily root growth

#### Hypothesis:

- increased availability of water

- soil impedance decrease as soil water content increase with positive effect on growth (Clark *et al.*, 2003)

This observation is consistent with those of Kuchenbuch et al. (2006)

### Conclusions

This new experimental platform allows: -to record detailled dynamic root morphological information -to record the evolution of the 2D water content -to easily link those two kind of data

#### The first results show that:

- relative progression of uptake profile follows exponential relation
- local soil water uptake positively influences daily root growth only weakly

### **Reterences**

Clark et al. [2003], Plant and Soil, 255, 93-104 Dodd [2005], Plant and Soil, 274, 251-270 Garrigues et al [2006], Plant and Soil 283, 83-98 Kuchenbuch et al [2006], J. Pl. Nut. Sol Sc., 169, 841-848

