Current evidence and indications for prolotherapy with **PRP** in chronic musculoskeletal conditions

> Dr Jean-François KAUX University Hospital of Liège Belgium





Prolotherapy

Prolotherapy

- «Proliferation therapy»; «regenerative injection therapy»; «proliferative injection therapy»
- Injection of a non-phamacological and nonactive irritant solution
- **Re-initiate** the inflammatory process

Platelet-rich plasma



- = Platelet-Rich Plasma
- Centrifugation of *autologous* blood
- High concentration of platelets (3-10x)

- Platelets
 roles in coagulation, inflammation, immunity modulation, «restorative» properties
- Liberation of cytokines and growth factors (VEGF, PDGF, TGF-β, IGF-1, HGF)





- Different techniques → different PRP
 - variations of platelet concentration
 - presence or not of red and white cells





Kaux et al, Pathol Biol 2011

- Activation by thrombine, CaCl₂ or collagene in situ → degranulation → liberation of GF
- Not really prolotherapy !!
- No local anaesthetic
- Avoid NSAIDs
- US guided, Rx guided

- No general agreement
- **Controversed** in litterature
- Very popular in **sport**
- Removed form the doping list of the WADA









PRP & tendons

PRP & tendons

- Tendons = small metabolic index
- GF → tenocyte proliferation, collagen synthesis, stimulation of angiogenesis, analgesic properties (Anitua et al, Cell Prolif 2009; Bosch et al, Scand J Med Sci Sports 2011; Kaux et al, Wound Repair Regen 2012)
- Stimulation and acceleration of tissue regeneration

 The application of mechanical loads is required to obtain an optimal tissue quality (virchenko et al, Acta Orthop 2006; Kaux et al, J Orthop Res 2012)

- Tendinopathies chronic (more than 3 months)
- Initiate an acute inflammatory reaction that quickly move on to the proliferative phase
- NOT be used for acute tendinitis nor tenosynovitis
- No side effect described

Lateral epicondylitis

• Lateral epicondylitis

- PRP (15) vs local anesthetic infiltration (5) + eccentric program (8 weeks) → significant pain regression in the PRP group over a perdiod of 2 years (Mishra et al, Am J Sports Med 2006)
- RCT (51 PRP vs 49 corticosteroid infiltration) → significant decrease of pain and algofunctional score after 1 and 2 years for PRP
 group (Peerbooms et al, Am J Sports Med 2010; Gosens et al, Am J Sports Med 2011)

Lateral epicondylitis

- RCT (150 patients, blood vs PRP) → similar improvement of algo-functional scores after 6 months (creaney et al, Br J Sports Med 2011)
- RCT (28 patients, blood vs PRP, under US guidance) -> PRP better in the short term (6 weeks); no significant difference in the longer term (3 and 6 months), but symtom improvement PRP>blood (Thanassas et al, Am J Sports Med 2011)

Rotator cuff tendinopathies

• <u>Rotator cuff tendinopathies</u>

- Observational series (17) PRP infiltration under US guidance → favorable progression (Frey, J Traumato Sport 2009)
- Case report of favorable evolution of a 44-yo woman suffering from *calcific tendinopathy of shoulder* after 3 infiltrations of PRP and a protocol of rehabilitation (*seijas*, J Orthop Surg 2012)
 - after I year, she was still pain-free and regained full range of motion

• <u>Rotator cuff tendinopathies</u>

- Long term observations of arthroscopic rotator cuff suture with adjunction of PRP
 Iess pain in the first month but no MRI
 difference (Randelli et al, Disabil Rehabil 2008 & J Shoulder Elbow Surg 2011; Maniscalco et al, Acta Biomed 2008)
- No positive effect of applying PRP during cuff suturing compared to a control group (Castricini et al, Am J Sports Med 20/1; Rodeo et al, Am J Sports Med 20/2)

Patellar tendinopathies

• Patellar tendinopathies

- Prospective 4-month follow up 8 high-level athletes → PRP infiltration under US → significant improvements in algo-functional scores and MRI + return to sports after 12 weeks (volpi et al, Medicina Dello Sport 2007)
- 20 athletes → 3 injections of PRP → return to competition at their former level (Kon et al, Injury 2009)
 - Importance of complementing the PRP injection with a mechanical stimulus

• Patellar tendinopathies

I5 athletes (refractory for 2 years) vs "control" population (moderate tendinopathy for 6 months)
 3 injections of PRP → at 6 months no significant difference (Filardo et al, Int Orthop 2010)

 patients with refractory patellar tendinopathy evolve as favorably as those with less severe pathology

 Case report athlete (9 months) under US guidance (Brown et al, PM R 2010)

• Patellar tendinopathies

• A prospective study 14 patients (corticoids or ethoxysclerol and/or surgery) vs 22 patients -> eccentric **rehabilitation**, stretching, and eccentric work following PRP injection. After 4 weeks, sports or recreational activities \rightarrow improvements more pronounced in patients who did not receive treatment prior to PRP infiltration (Gosens et al. Int Orthop 2012)

• Patellar tendinopathies

- Prospective study 20 patients → PRP infiltration without local anesthesia → after 6 weeks *improvement algo-functional scores* and reduced pain during physical tests (without significant performance improvement) → continued for 3 months (Kaux, submitted)
- RCT → PRP improves wound healing at the donor site during surgery for the anterior cruciate ligament of the knee (de Almeida et al, Am J Sports Med 2012)

Achilles tendinopathies

Achilles tendinopathies

Series of 14 patients (without controls) →
 PRP infiltration and eccentric work →
 decrease pain and improvement
 algo-functional scores and echo Doppler images after 18 months (Gaweda et al, Int J Sports Med 2010)

Achilles tendinopathies

RCT PRP vs isotonic saline (n = 54) + eccentric activities for 3 months
 → after 24 weeks algo-functional scores, patient satisfaction, and return to
 sports activities significantly improved in both groups → idem after I
 year + no US differences (de vos et al, JAMA 2010 & Br J Sports Med 2011; de Jonge et al, Br J Sports Med 2010)

Critics:

no eccentric treatment before study

- injection could cause local bleeding
- change in pressure-volume related to the presence of saline solution
- relatively invasive for a control group,
- PRP quality may not have been optimal

(Creaney, JAMA 2010; McCormack, Clin ! Sport Med 2010; Rabago et al, JAMA 2010)

Achilles tendinopathies

2-year longitudinal follow-up of 10
 patients → PRP → modest
 improvement in function without any
 MRI changes (owens et al, Foot Ankle Int 2011)

PRP & plantar fasciitis

PRP & plantar fasciitis

- Irritation of the fascia sheathing the tendons responsible for maintaining the foot arch
- Not a real tendon structure
- Symptoms and treatment are relatively similar

PRP & plantar fasciitis

- Prospective study 25 patients followed for 10 months→ PRP → 88% improvement of pain and favorable functional progression (60%) + favorable US changes (Ragab et al, Arch Orthop Trauma Surg 2012)
- 60 patients (2 groups of 30 subjects) PRP vs corticosteroid infiltration → no difference at 3 weeks and 6 months (Aksahin et al, Arch Orthop Trauma Surg 2012)
- A multicenter RCT is in process (Peerbooms et al, BMC Musculoskelet Disord 2010)

- Degenerative phenomenon of the cartilage with complex, multifactorial pathophysiology
- Potential healing very poor
- Multitude of conservative pharmacological treatments (palliative rather than curative)

- 3 PRP injections vs hyaluronic acid (30 gonarthrosis)
 → at 5 weeks significant improvement in pain and algo-functional questionnaires with PRP (sanchez et al, Clin Exp Rheumatol 2008)
- Different prospective studies 3 PRP injections vs hyaluronic acid in moderate cases of gonarthrosis
 > significant improvements in pain and algofunctional scores after a follow-up period of up to 1

Year (Sampson et al, Am J Phys Med Rehabil 2010; Spakova et al, Am J Phys Med Rehabil 2012; Wang-Saegusa et al, Acta Orthop Trauma Surg 2011; Kon et al, Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthsosc 2010; Filardo et al, Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthsosc 2011; Kon et al; Arthroscopy 2011)

- Cohort study (144 patients) 2 different PRP preparations for gonarthrosis
 significant clinical improvement compared to baseline in both groups (Filardo et al, Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthsosc 2011)
 - I technique initially produced more pain and swelling
 - best results were observed in younger patients with a low degree of cartilage damage

- Written debate knee arthroplasty vs PRP injection (gonarthrosis) → 2 options seem reasonable in a 60-year-old patient with moderate symptoms who wishes to continue skiing (Klatt et al, PM R 2011)
 - because PRP infiltration therapy is less costly, less invasive, and less risky than knee arthroplasty → first line treatment
 - dissatisfaction regarding pain control and improved knee function → arthroplasty

- Cohort study (6-month follow up) of 40 patients with severe hip osteoarthritis -> significant improvements in pain and algofunctional scores after three injections of PRP under US guidance (sanchez et al, Rheumatology 2012)
- Absence of side effects associated with this treatment

PRP & nonunion

PRP & nonunion

- A fracture will normally fuse
- nonunion = the absence of fusion between bone fragments.
- This produces *pain* and *abnormal movements* of varying degrees.

PRP & nonunion

 Percutaneous PRP injection under fluoroscopic guidance → unclear and controversial (seijas et al, Acta Orthop Belg 2010; Mariconda et al, J Orthop Trauma 2008)

 This technique could produce encouraging results and provide a less invasive alternative to open bone-grafting techniques (Bielecki et al, Eur Surg Res 2008)

Side effects of PRP

Side effects

- No side effect reported
- Exuberant local inflammation in an insulin dependant diabetes patient (NAD ?) (Kaux, submitted)

Conclusion

Conclusion

- PRP \rightarrow growth factors
- Easy to prepare, relatively low cost and minimal invasiveness
- New therapeutic option → chronic tendinopathies, plantar fasciitis, osteoarthritis, nonunion
- Currently little tangible clinical evidences
- RCT with appropriate placebo group are needed

Thank you for your attention !





http://hdl.handle.net/2268/129520

jfkaux@chu.ulg.ac.be