

# A Decision Problem for ultimately periodic Sets in non-standard Numeration Systems

Emilie Charlier   Michel Rigo

Department of Mathematics  
University of Liège

Journées de Numération  
Prague May 26-30 2008

# Outline of the talk

Preliminaries and Motivation

A Decision Problem

An upper Bound for the Period

An upper Bound for the Preperiod

A Decision Procedure

Abstract Numeration Systems

## Non standard Numeration Systems

### Definition

A *numeration system* is given by a (strictly) increasing sequence  $U = (U_i)_{i \geq 0}$  of integers such that  $U_0 = 1$  and  $C_U := \sup_{i \geq 0} \lceil U_{i+1}/U_i \rceil$  is finite.

The *greedy  $U$ -representation* of a positive integer  $n$  is the unique finite word  $\text{rep}_U(n) = w_\ell \cdots w_0$  over  $A_U := \{0, \dots, C_U - 1\}$  satisfying  $n = \sum_{i=0}^{\ell} w_i U_i$ ,  $w_\ell \neq 0$  and  $\sum_{i=0}^t w_i U_i < U_{t+1}$ ,  $\forall t = 0, \dots, \ell$ . We set  $\text{rep}_U(0) = \varepsilon$ .

If  $x = x_\ell \cdots x_0$  is a word over a finite alphabet of integers, then the  *$U$ -numerical value* of  $x$  is  $\text{val}_U(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\ell} x_i U_i$ .

## Non standard Numeration Systems

### Definition

A *numeration system* is given by a (strictly) increasing sequence  $U = (U_i)_{i \geq 0}$  of integers such that  $U_0 = 1$  and  $C_U := \sup_{i \geq 0} \lceil U_{i+1}/U_i \rceil$  is finite.

The *greedy  $U$ -representation* of a positive integer  $n$  is the unique finite word  $\text{rep}_U(n) = w_\ell \cdots w_0$  over  $A_U := \{0, \dots, C_U - 1\}$  satisfying  $n = \sum_{i=0}^{\ell} w_i U_i$ ,  $w_\ell \neq 0$  and  $\sum_{i=0}^t w_i U_i < U_{t+1}$ ,  $\forall t = 0, \dots, \ell$ . We set  $\text{rep}_U(0) = \varepsilon$ .

If  $x = x_\ell \cdots x_0$  is a word over a finite alphabet of integers, then the  *$U$ -numerical value* of  $x$  is  $\text{val}_U(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\ell} x_i U_i$ .

### Definition

A set  $X \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  of integers is  *$U$ -recognizable* if the language  $\text{rep}_U(X)$  over  $A_U$  is regular (i.e., accepted by a finite automaton).

### Definition

A numeration system  $U = (U_i)_{i \geq 0}$  is said to be *linear (of order  $k$ )*, if the sequence  $U$  satisfies a homogenous linear recurrence relation like

$$U_{i+k} = a_1 U_{i+k-1} + \cdots + a_k U_i, \quad i \geq 0,$$

for some  $k \geq 1$ ,  $a_1, \dots, a_k \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $a_k \neq 0$ .

### Definition

A numeration system  $U = (U_i)_{i \geq 0}$  is said to be *linear (of order  $k$ )*, if the sequence  $U$  satisfies a homogenous linear recurrence relation like

$$U_{i+k} = a_1 U_{i+k-1} + \dots + a_k U_i, \quad i \geq 0,$$

for some  $k \geq 1$ ,  $a_1, \dots, a_k \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $a_k \neq 0$ .

### Example (Fibonacci System)

Consider the sequence defined by  $F_0 = 1$ ,  $F_1 = 2$  and  $F_{i+2} = F_{i+1} + F_i$ ,  $i \geq 0$ . The *Fibonacci (linear numeration) system* is given by  $F = (F_i)_{i \geq 0} = (1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, \dots)$ . For instance,  $\text{rep}_F(15) = 100010$  and  $\text{val}_F(101001) = 13 + 5 + 1 = 19$ .

## Motivation

### Definition

Two integers  $p, q \geq 2$  are *multiplicatively independent* if  $p^k = p^\ell$  and  $k, \ell \in \mathbb{N} \Rightarrow k = \ell = 0$ .

### Notation

If  $p \geq 2$  and  $U = (p^i)_{i \geq 0}$ , a set  $X \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  of integers is said *p-recognizable* if the language  $\text{rep}_U(X)$  over  $A_U = \{0, \dots, p-1\}$  is regular.

### Theorem (Cobham, 1969)

Let  $X \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  be a set of integers. If  $p$  and  $q$  are two multiplicatively independent integers,  $X$  is *p-recognizable* and *q-recognizable* if and only if  $X$  is ultimately periodic.

### Theorem (J. Honkala, 1985)

Let  $p \geq 2$ . It is decidable whether or not a *p-recognizable* set is ultimately periodic.

## A Decision Problem

### Proposition

*Let  $U = (U_i)_{i \geq 0}$  be a (linear) numeration system such that  $\mathbb{N}$  is  $U$ -recognizable. If  $X \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  is ultimately periodic, then  $X$  is  $U$ -recognizable, and a DFA accepting  $\text{rep}_U(X)$  can be effectively obtained.*

### Problem

*Given a linear numeration system  $U$  and a  $U$ -recognizable set  $X \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ . Is it decidable whether or not  $X$  is ultimately periodic, i.e., whether or not  $X$  is a finite union of arithmetic progressions ?*



## Ultimately periodic Sets

### Definition

Let  $X \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  be a set of integers.

The *characteristic word of  $X$*  is an infinite word  $x_0x_1x_2 \cdots$  over  $\{0, 1\}$  defined by  $x_i = 1$  if and only if  $i \in X$ .

## Ultimately periodic Sets

### Definition

Let  $X \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  be a set of integers.

The *characteristic word* of  $X$  is an infinite word  $x_0x_1x_2 \cdots$  over  $\{0, 1\}$  defined by  $x_i = 1$  if and only if  $i \in X$ .

If now  $X \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  is ultimately periodic, its characteristic word is an infinite word over  $\{0, 1\}$  of the form

$$x_0x_1x_2 \cdots = uv^\omega$$

where  $u$  and  $v$  are chosen of minimal length. We say that  $|u|$  (resp.  $|v|$ ) is the *preperiod* (resp. *period*) of  $X$ .

### Idea of Honkala's Decision Procedure

The input is a finite automaton accepting  $\text{rep}_U(X)$ .

First, he gives an upper bound for the possible periods of  $X$ , by showing that, if  $Y$  is a ultimately periodic set of integers, then the number of states of any deterministic automaton accepting  $\text{rep}_U(Y)$  grows with the period of  $Y$ .

Then, once the period of  $X$  is bounded, he gives an upper bound for the possible preperiods of  $X$ , in a similar way.

## An upper Bound for the Period

### Notation

For a sequence  $U = (U_i)_{i \geq 0}$  of integers and an integer  $m \geq 2$ ,  $N_U(m) \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  denotes the number of values that are taken infinitely often by the sequence  $(U_i \bmod m)_{i \geq 0}$ .

## An upper Bound for the Period

### Notation

For a sequence  $U = (U_i)_{i \geq 0}$  of integers and an integer  $m \geq 2$ ,  $N_U(m) \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  denotes the number of values that are taken infinitely often by the sequence  $(U_i \bmod m)_{i \geq 0}$ .

### Example (Fibonacci System, continued)

$(F_i \bmod 4) = (1, 2, 3, 1, 0, 1, 1, 2, 3, \dots)$  and  $N_F(4) = 4$ .

$(F_i \bmod 11) = (1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 2, 10, 1, 0, 1, 1, 2, 3, \dots)$  and

$N_F(11) = 7$ .

## An upper Bound for the Period

### Notation

For a sequence  $U = (U_i)_{i \geq 0}$  of integers and an integer  $m \geq 2$ ,  $N_U(m) \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  denotes the number of values that are taken infinitely often by the sequence  $(U_i \bmod m)_{i \geq 0}$ .

### Example (Fibonacci System, continued)

$(F_i \bmod 4) = (1, 2, 3, 1, 0, 1, 1, 2, 3, \dots)$  and  $N_F(4) = 4$ .

$(F_i \bmod 11) = (1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 2, 10, 1, 0, 1, 1, 2, 3, \dots)$  and

$N_F(11) = 7$ .

### Proposition

Let  $U = (U_i)_{i \geq 0}$  be a numeration system satisfying  $\lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} U_{i+1} - U_i = +\infty$ . If  $X \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  is an ultimately periodic  $U$ -recognizable set of period  $|v|$ , then any deterministic finite automaton accepting  $\text{rep}_U(X)$  has at least  $N_U(|v|)$  states.

## An upper Bound for the Period

### Corollary

*Let  $U = (U_i)_{i \geq 0}$  be a numeration system satisfying  $\lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} U_{i+1} - U_i = +\infty$ . Assume that  $\lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} N_U(m) = +\infty$ . Then the period of an ultimately periodic set  $X \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\text{rep}_U(X)$  is accepted by a DFA with  $d$  states is bounded by the smallest integer  $s_0$  such that for all  $m \geq s_0$ ,  $N_U(m) > d$ , which is effectively computable.*

## An upper Bound for the Period

### Corollary

Let  $U = (U_i)_{i \geq 0}$  be a numeration system satisfying  $\lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} U_{i+1} - U_i = +\infty$ . Assume that  $\lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} N_U(m) = +\infty$ . Then the period of an ultimately periodic set  $X \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\text{rep}_U(X)$  is accepted by a DFA with  $d$  states is bounded by the smallest integer  $s_0$  such that for all  $m \geq s_0$ ,  $N_U(m) > d$ , which is effectively computable.

### Lemma

If  $U = (U_i)_{i \geq 0}$  is a linear numeration system satisfying a recurrence relation of order  $k \geq 1$  of the kind

$$U_{i+k} = a_1 U_{i+k-1} + \cdots + a_k U_i, \quad i \geq 0,$$

with  $a_k = \pm 1$ , then  $\lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} N_U(m) = +\infty$ .



## An upper Bound for the Period

### Proposition

*Let  $U = (U_i)_{i \geq 0}$  be a numeration system satisfying condition  $\lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} U_{i+1} - U_i = +\infty$  and  $X \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  be an ultimately periodic  $U$ -recognizable set of period  $|v|$ . If 1 occurs infinitely many times in  $(U_i \bmod |v|)_{i \geq 0}$  then any deterministic finite automaton accepting  $\text{rep}_U(X)$  has at least  $|v|$  states.*

## Idea of the Proof with the Fibonacci System

### Definition

Let  $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$  be a language over a finite alphabet  $\Sigma$  and  $x$  be a finite word over  $\Sigma$ . We set  $x^{-1}.L = \{z \in \Sigma^* \mid xz \in L\}$ .

The Myhill-Nerode congruence  $\sim_L$  is defined as follows. Let  $x, y \in \Sigma^*$ . We write  $x \sim_L y$  if  $x^{-1}.L = y^{-1}.L$ .

### Proposition

*A language  $L$  over a finite alphabet  $\Sigma$  is regular if and only if  $\sim_L$  has a finite index, being the number of states of the minimal automaton of  $L$ .*

## Idea of the Proof with the Fibonacci System

### Definition

Let  $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$  be a language over a finite alphabet  $\Sigma$  and  $x$  be a finite word over  $\Sigma$ . We set  $x^{-1}.L = \{z \in \Sigma^* \mid xz \in L\}$ .

The Myhill-Nerode congruence  $\sim_L$  is defined as follows. Let  $x, y \in \Sigma^*$ . We write  $x \sim_L y$  if  $x^{-1}.L = y^{-1}.L$ .

### Proposition

*A language  $L$  over a finite alphabet  $\Sigma$  is regular if and only if  $\sim_L$  has a finite index, being the number of states of the minimal automaton of  $L$ .*

### Example (Fibonacci System, continued)

For all  $m \geq 2$ , the sequences  $(F_i \bmod m)_{i \geq 0}$  is purely periodic. So  $F_0 = 1$  appears infinitely often in  $(F_i \bmod m)_{i \geq 0}$ .

Let  $X \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  be an ultimately periodic  $F$ -recognizable set of period  $|v|$  and preperiod  $|u|$ .

## Idea of the Proof with the Fibonacci System

### Example (Fibonacci System, continued)

There exist  $n_1, \dots, n_{|v|}$  such that for all  $t = 0, \dots, |v| - 1$ ,

$$10^{n_{|v|}} 10^{n_{|v|-1}} \dots 10^{n_1} 0^{|\text{rep}_U(|v|-1)| - |\text{rep}_U(t)|} \text{rep}_U(t)$$

is a greedy  $F$ -representation.

## Idea of the Proof with the Fibonacci System

### Example (Fibonacci System, continued)

There exist  $n_1, \dots, n_{|v|}$  such that for all  $t = 0, \dots, |v| - 1$ ,

$$10^{n_{|v|}} 10^{n_{|v|-1}} \dots 10^{n_1} 0^{|\text{rep}_U(|v|-1)| - |\text{rep}_U(t)|} \text{rep}_U(t)$$

is a greedy  $F$ -representation. Moreover  $n_1, \dots, n_{|v|}$  can be chosen such that, for all  $j = 1, \dots, |v|$ ,

$$\text{val}_U(10^{n_j} \dots 10^{n_1 + |\text{rep}_U(|v|-1)|}) \equiv j \pmod{|v|}$$

and  $\text{val}_U(10^{n_1 + |\text{rep}_U(|v|-1)|}) > |u|$ .

## Idea of the Proof with the Fibonacci System

### Example (Fibonacci System, continued)

There exist  $n_1, \dots, n_{|v|}$  such that for all  $t = 0, \dots, |v| - 1$ ,

$$10^{n_{|v|}} 10^{n_{|v|-1}} \dots 10^{n_1} 0^{|\text{rep}_U(|v|-1)| - |\text{rep}_U(t)|} \text{rep}_U(t)$$

is a greedy  $F$ -representation. Moreover  $n_1, \dots, n_{|v|}$  can be chosen such that, for all  $j = 1, \dots, |v|$ ,

$$\text{val}_U(10^{n_j} \dots 10^{n_1 + |\text{rep}_U(|v|-1)|}) \equiv j \pmod{|v|}$$

and  $\text{val}_U(10^{n_1 + |\text{rep}_U(|v|-1)|}) > |u|$ . For  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, |v|\}$ ,  $i \neq j$ , the words

$$10^{n_i} \dots 10^{n_1} \text{ and } 10^{n_j} \dots 10^{n_1}$$

are nonequivalent for  $\sim_{\text{rep}_U(X)}$ . This can be shown by concatenating some word of the kind  $0^{|\text{rep}_U(|v|-1)| - |\text{rep}_U(t)|} \text{rep}_U(t)$  with  $t < |v|$ .

## An upper Bound for the Preperiod

### Notation

*For a sequence  $U = (U_i)_{i \geq 0}$  of integers, if  $(U_i \bmod m)_{i \geq 0}$ ,  $m \geq 2$ , is ultimately periodic, we denote its (minimal) preperiod by  $\iota_U(m)$  and its (minimal) period by  $\pi_U(m)$ .*

## An upper Bound for the Preperiod

### Notation

For a sequence  $U = (U_i)_{i \geq 0}$  of integers, if  $(U_i \bmod m)_{i \geq 0}$ ,  $m \geq 2$ , is ultimately periodic, we denote its (minimal) preperiod by  $\iota_U(m)$  and its (minimal) period by  $\pi_U(m)$ .

### Example (Fibonacci System, continued)

$(F_i \bmod 4) = (1, 2, 3, 1, 0, 1, 1, 2, 3, \dots)$  and  $\pi_F(4) = 6$ .

$(F_i \bmod 11) = (1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 2, 10, 1, 0, 1, 1, 2, 3, \dots)$  and  $\pi_F(11) = 10$ .

We have  $\iota_F(m) = 0$ , for all  $m \geq 2$ .



## An upper Bound for the Preperiod

### Notation

For a sequence  $U = (U_i)_{i \geq 0}$  of integers, if  $(U_i \bmod m)_{i \geq 0}$ ,  $m \geq 2$ , is ultimately periodic, we denote its (minimal) preperiod by  $\iota_U(m)$  and its (minimal) period by  $\pi_U(m)$ .

### Example (Fibonacci System, continued)

$(F_i \bmod 4) = (1, 2, 3, 1, 0, 1, 1, 2, 3, \dots)$  and  $\pi_F(4) = 6$ .

$(F_i \bmod 11) = (1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 2, 10, 1, 0, 1, 1, 2, 3, \dots)$  and  $\pi_F(11) = 10$ .

We have  $\iota_F(m) = 0$ , for all  $m \geq 2$ .

### Remark

If  $U = (U_i)_{i \geq 0}$  is a linear numeration system of order  $k$ , then for all  $m \geq 2$ , we have  $N_U(m) \geq \sqrt[k]{\pi_U(m)}$ .

## An upper Bound for the Preperiod

### Proposition

*Let  $U = (U_i)_{i \geq 0}$  be a linear numeration system. Let  $X \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  be an ultimately periodic  $U$ -recognizable set of period  $|v|$  and preperiod  $|u|$  such that  $|\text{rep}_U(|u| - 1)| - \iota_U(|v|) > 0$ .*

*Then any deterministic finite automaton accepting  $\text{rep}_U(X)$  has at least  $|\text{rep}_U(|u| - 1)| - \iota_U(|v|)$  states.*

## A Decision Procedure

### Theorem (E. C., M. Rigo)

Let  $U = (U_i)_{i \geq 0}$  be a linear numeration system such that  $\mathbb{N}$  is  $U$ -recognizable and satisfying a recurrence relation of order  $k$  of the kind

$$U_{i+k} = a_1 U_{i+k-1} + \cdots + a_k U_i, \quad i \geq 0,$$

with  $a_k = \pm 1$  and such that  $\lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} U_{i+1} - U_i = +\infty$ .

It is decidable whether or not a  $U$ -recognizable set is ultimately periodic.

## A Decision Procedure

### Theorem (E. C., M. Rigo)

Let  $U = (U_i)_{i \geq 0}$  be a linear numeration system such that  $\mathbb{N}$  is  $U$ -recognizable and satisfying a recurrence relation of order  $k$  of the kind

$$U_{i+k} = a_1 U_{i+k-1} + \cdots + a_k U_i, \quad i \geq 0,$$

with  $a_k = \pm 1$  and such that  $\lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} U_{i+1} - U_i = +\infty$ .

It is decidable whether or not a  $U$ -recognizable set is ultimately periodic.

### Remark

Whenever  $\gcd(a_1, \dots, a_k) = g \geq 2$ , for all  $n \geq 1$  and for all  $i$  large enough, we have  $U_i \equiv 0 \pmod{g^n}$  and  $N_U(m)$  does not tend to infinity.

## A Decision Procedure

### Theorem (E. C., M. Rigo)

Let  $U = (U_i)_{i \geq 0}$  be a linear numeration system such that  $\mathbb{N}$  is  $U$ -recognizable and satisfying a recurrence relation of order  $k$  of the kind

$$U_{i+k} = a_1 U_{i+k-1} + \cdots + a_k U_i, \quad i \geq 0,$$

with  $a_k = \pm 1$  and such that  $\lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} U_{i+1} - U_i = +\infty$ .

It is decidable whether or not a  $U$ -recognizable set is ultimately periodic.

### Remark

Whenever  $\gcd(a_1, \dots, a_k) = g \geq 2$ , for all  $n \geq 1$  and for all  $i$  large enough, we have  $U_i \equiv 0 \pmod{g^n}$  and  $N_U(m)$  does not tend to infinity.

### Question

What happens whenever  $\gcd(a_1, \dots, a_k) = 1$  and  $a_k \neq \pm 1$ ?

## Abstract Numeration Systems

### Definition

An *abstract numeration system* is a triple  $S = (L, \Sigma, <)$  where  $L$  is a regular language over a totally ordered alphabet  $(\Sigma, <)$ .

Enumerating the words of  $L$  with respect to the genealogical ordering induced by  $<$  gives a one-to-one correspondence

$$\text{rep}_S : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow L \quad \text{val}_S = \text{rep}_S^{-1} : L \rightarrow \mathbb{N}.$$

### Example

$$L = a^*, \Sigma = \{a\}$$

$n$	0	1	2	3	4	...
$\text{rep}(n)$	$\varepsilon$	$a$	$aa$	$aaa$	$aaaa$	...

## Abstract Numeration Systems

### Example

$$L = \{a, b\}^*, \Sigma = \{a, b\}, a < b$$

$n$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	...
$\text{rep}(n)$	$\varepsilon$	$a$	$b$	$aa$	$ab$	$ba$	$bb$	$aaa$	...

### Example

$$L = a^*b^*, \Sigma = \{a, b\}, a < b$$

$n$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	...
$\text{rep}(n)$	$\varepsilon$	$a$	$b$	$aa$	$ab$	$bb$	$aaa$	...

### Remark

This generalizes non-standard numeration systems  $U = (U_i)_{i \geq 0}$  for which  $\mathbb{N}$  is  $U$ -recognizable, like integer base  $p$  systems or Fibonacci system.

$$L = \{\varepsilon\} \cup \{1, \dots, p-1\}\{0, \dots, p-1\}^* \text{ or } L = \{\varepsilon\} \cup 1\{0, 01\}^*$$



## Abstract Numeration Systems

### Notation

*If  $S = (L, \Sigma, <)$  is an abstract numeration system and if  $\mathcal{M}_L = (Q_L, q_{0,L}, \Sigma, \delta_L, F_L)$  is the minimal automaton of  $L$ , we denote by  $\mathbf{u}_j(q)$  (resp.  $\mathbf{v}_j(q)$ ) the number of words of length  $j$  (resp.  $\leq j$ ) accepted from  $q \in Q_L$  in  $\mathcal{M}_L$ .*

## Abstract Numeration Systems

### Notation

If  $S = (L, \Sigma, <)$  is an abstract numeration system and if  $\mathcal{M}_L = (Q_L, q_{0,L}, \Sigma, \delta_L, F_L)$  is the minimal automaton of  $L$ , we denote by  $\mathbf{u}_j(q)$  (resp.  $\mathbf{v}_j(q)$ ) the number of words of length  $j$  (resp.  $\leq j$ ) accepted from  $q \in Q_L$  in  $\mathcal{M}_L$ .

### Remark

The sequences  $(\mathbf{u}_j(q))_{j \geq 0}$  (resp.  $(\mathbf{v}_j(q))_{j \geq 0}$ ) satisfy the same homogenous linear recurrence relation for all  $q \in Q_L$ .

## Abstract Numeration Systems

### Notation

If  $S = (L, \Sigma, <)$  is an abstract numeration system and if  $\mathcal{M}_L = (Q_L, q_{0,L}, \Sigma, \delta_L, F_L)$  is the minimal automaton of  $L$ , we denote by  $\mathbf{u}_j(q)$  (resp.  $\mathbf{v}_j(q)$ ) the number of words of length  $j$  (resp.  $\leq j$ ) accepted from  $q \in Q_L$  in  $\mathcal{M}_L$ .

### Remark

The sequences  $(\mathbf{u}_j(q))_{j \geq 0}$  (resp.  $(\mathbf{v}_j(q))_{j \geq 0}$ ) satisfy the same homogenous linear recurrence relation for all  $q \in Q_L$ .

### Lemma

Let  $w = \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_n \in L$ . We have

$$\text{val}_S(w) = \sum_{q \in Q_L} \sum_{i=1}^{|w|} \beta_{q,i}(w) \mathbf{u}_{|w|-i}(q) \quad (1)$$

where  $\beta_{q,i}(w) := \#\{\sigma < \sigma_i \mid \delta_L(q_{0,L}, \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_{i-1}\sigma) = q\} + \mathbf{1}_{q, q_{0,L}}$ ,  
for  $i = 1, \dots, |w|$ .

### Definition

A set  $X \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  of integers is *S-recognizable* if the language  $\text{rep}_S(X)$  over  $\Sigma$  is regular (i.e., accepted by a finite automaton).

### Definition

A set  $X \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  of integers is *S-recognizable* if the language  $\text{rep}_S(X)$  over  $\Sigma$  is regular (i.e., accepted by a finite automaton).

### Proposition

Let  $S = (L, \Sigma, <)$  be an abstract numeration system built over an infinite regular language  $L$ . Any ultimately periodic set  $X$  is *S-recognizable* and a DFA accepting  $\text{rep}_S(X)$  can be effectively obtained.

### Definition

A set  $X \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  of integers is *S-recognizable* if the language  $\text{rep}_S(X)$  over  $\Sigma$  is regular (i.e., accepted by a finite automaton).

### Proposition

Let  $S = (L, \Sigma, <)$  be an abstract numeration system built over an infinite regular language  $L$ . Any ultimately periodic set  $X$  is *S-recognizable* and a DFA accepting  $\text{rep}_S(X)$  can be effectively obtained.

### Problem

Given an abstract numeration system  $S$  and a *S-recognizable* set  $X \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ . Is it decidable whether or not  $X$  is ultimately periodic ?

## A Decision Procedure

### Theorem

Let  $S = (L, \Sigma, <)$  be an abstract numeration system and let  $\mathcal{M}_L = (Q_L, q_{0,L}, \Sigma, \delta_L, F_L)$  the trim minimal automaton of  $L$ . Assume that

$$\begin{aligned}\forall q \in Q_L \quad \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} u_j(q) &= +\infty; \\ \forall j \geq 0 \quad u_j(q_{0,L}) &> 0.\end{aligned}$$

Assume moreover that  $\mathbf{v} = (v_i(q_{0,L}))_{i \geq 0}$  satisfies a linear recurrence relation of the form

$$U_{i+k} = a_1 U_{i+k-1} + \cdots + a_k U_i, \quad i \geq 0$$

with  $k \geq 1$ ,  $a_1, \dots, a_k \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $a_k = \pm 1$ .

It is decidable whether or not a  $S$ -recognizable set is ultimately periodic.