Arnott, M. A. & Hay, J. (1989b). The effect of pentamidine salts on the respiratory burst of human neutrophilic granulocytes. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy* 24, 339-45.

Arnott, M. A. & Hay, J. (1990). The effect of pentamidine salts on the NADPH-dependent oxidase of stimulated neutrophilic granulocytes. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy* 25, 247-53.

Babior, B. M. & Cohen, H. J. (1981). Measurement of neutrophil function: phagocytosis, degranulation, the respiratory burst and bacterial killing. In Leukocyte Function (Cline, M. J., Ed.), pp. 1-38. Churchill Livingstone, New York.

Berger, B. J., Hall, J. E. & Tidwell, R. R. (1989). High-performance liquid chromatographic method for the quantification of several diamidine compounds with potential chemotherapeutic value. *Journal of Chromatography* 494, 191–200.

Berger, B. J., Hall, J. E. & Tidwell, R. R. (1990).
The distribution of multiple doses of pentamidine in rats. *Pharmacology and Toxicology* 66, 234-6.

De, N. C., Alam, A. S. & Kapoor, J. N. (1986). Stability of pentamidine isethionate in 5% dextrose and 0.9% sodium chloride injections. American Journal of Hospital Pharmacy 43, 1486-8.

Gazzard, B. G. (1989). Pneumocystis pneumonia and its treatment in patients with AIDS. Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy 23, 67-75.

Goa, K. L. & Campoli-Richards, D. M. (1987). Pentamidine isethionate. A review of its antiprotozoal activity, pharmacokinetic properties and therapeutic use in *Pneumocystis carinii* pneumonia. *Drugs* 33, 242-58.

Hohn, D. C. & Lehrer, R. I. (1975). NADPH oxidase deficiency in X-linked chronic granulomatous disease. *Journal of Clinical Investigation* 55, 707-13.

Lin, J. M.-H., Shi, R. J. & Lin, E. T. (1986). High performance liquid chromatographic determination of pentamidine in plasma. *Journal of Liquid Chromatography* 9, 2035-46.

Makulu, D. R. & Waalkes, T. P. (1975). Interaction between aromatic diamidines and nucleic acids: possible implications for chemotherapy. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute* 54, 305-9.

Moffat, A. C. (1986). Clarke's Isolation and Identification of Drugs, 2nd edn. Pharmaceutical Press, London.

Mount, D. L., Miles, J. W. & Churchill, F. C. (1986). Liquid chromatographic and spectroscopic characterization of pentamidine isethionate and impurities in bulk drug and injectables. *Journal of the Association of Official Analytical Chemists* 69, 624-9.

Sands, M., Kron, M. A. & Brown, R. B. (1985). Pentamidine: a review. Reviews of Infectious Diseases 7, 625-34.

Waalkes, T. P. & DeVita, V. T. (1970). The determination of pentamidine (4',4-diamidino-phenoxypentane) in plasma, urine and tissues. Journal of Laboratory and Clinical Medicine 75, 271. 2

# Allergic cross-reaction of teicoplanin and vancomycin

Sir,

Teicoplanin is a glycopeptide antibiotic similar to vancomycin, but differs in its possibly lower toxicity and its availability by intramuscular administration. The use of teicoplanin as an alternative therapy for patients allergic to vancomycin is not yet clearly defined. Thirteen cases in which teicoplanin was safely given to patients who were allergic to vancomycin (Van Laethem et al., 1984; Schlemmer et al., 1988; Smith et al., 1989; Wood & Whitby, 1989) and one case of allergic cross-reactivity of teicoplanin and vancomycin (McEralth, Goldberg & Neu, 1986) have been previously reported.

A 52-year-old man who had a refractory low grade non-Hodgkin's lymphoma with 85% bone marrow infiltration was treated with aggressive chemotherapy. He developed a pyrexia without evidence of infection and was empirically treated with piperacillin and vancomycin. After 48 hours, the piperacillin was changed to ceftazidime. 24 h later, he was apyrexial. Ten days later, his temperature rose again and diffuse erythematous cutaneous lesions appeared, increasing after each vancomycin perfusion. Vancomycin was discontinued and over the next 24 h, the fever and the rash resolved. Nine days later, the patient developed a Staphylococcus epidermidis septicaemia. He was initially treated empirically with amikacin and cefotetan, but after bacteriological documentation of his infection with imipenem, rifampicin and doxycycline. His fever persisted and teicoplanin was given by slow intravenous administration (400 mg in 2 h). The patient immediately had a rash followed by dyspnoea and severe bronchospasm, which resolved with the discontinuation of teicoplanin and the intravenous administration of methylprednisolone. He became apyrexial after granulocyte transfusions.

Our case confirms the existence of allergic cross-reactions between of teicoplanin and vancomycin. From the literature, the incidence of such cross-reactions seems to be low, which could be explained by the relatively low incidence of allergic reaction to vancomycin coupled with limited use of teicoplanin as an alternative to vancomycin. Our report also shows that reactions to teicoplanin can be severe. In conclusion, caution should be exercised before using teicoplanin in a patient allergic to vancomycin.

Depa C.H.U. Sart-Tilma

## Referenc

McEralth, M. J., Goldberg, I. Allergic cross-reactivity vancomycin. Lancet i, 47. Schlemmer, B., Falkman, H. L. & Le Gall, J. R. (1 patients allergic to vanc-Journal of Medicine 318, 1 Smith, S. R., Cheesbrough, Davies, J. M. (1989). Teic in patients experiencing re Journal of Antimicrobial C Van Laethem, Y., Goossens J. P. & Clumeck, N. (198severe methicillin resistant septicemia. In Program Interscie Twenty-Fourth Antimicrobial Agents Washington, DC, 1984. American Society for Mic DC. Wood, G. & Whitby, M.

patients who are allergic Journal of Australia 150,

# Cephalexin induced toxic

Sir. A previously healthy 61 prescribed cephalexin t tioner for an upper resp Two days later she dev thematous rash over he the cephalexin was sto three days she was confused, pyrexial (tem tensive and dehydrated. developed ulceration i skin surface area include and despite intravenous vasoactive drugs, devi renal failure, hepatic ( respiratory failure req disseminated intravascu biopsy confirmed the cl epidermal necrolysis.

Her overall condition intensive care (includi: parenteral nutrition) complicated by deter and the developmen on of teicoplanin and mycin

ptide antibiotic similar ers in its possibly lower oility by intramuscular e of teicoplanin as an r patients allergic to learly defined. Thirteen iin was safely given to ic to vancomycin (Van chlemmer et al., 1988; 1 & Whitby, 1989) and ss-reactivity of teico-(McEralth, Goldberg previously reported. o had a refractory low ymphoma with 85% on was treated with `` developed a of \_ ection and was th piperacillin and ours, the piperacillin me. 24 h later, he was , his temperature rose hematous cutaneous ing after each vancoomycin was discon-24 h, the fever and the ys later, the patient cus epidermidis septi-/ treated empirically efotetan, but after ation of his infection in and doxycycline. eicoplanin was given nistration (400 mg in diately had a rash nd severe bronchowith the disconand the intravenous ylprednisolone. He ifte: granulocyte

existence of allergic of teicoplanin and rature, the incidence ms to be low, which relatively low incion to vancomycin of teicoplanin as an 1. Our report also teicoplanin can be ion should be exertanin in a patient

V. GREK F. ANDRIEN J. COLLIGNON G. FILLET

Department of Hematology, C.H.U. Sart-Tilman, 4000 Liège, Belgium

#### References

McEralth, M. J., Goldberg, D. & Neu, H. C. (1986). Allergic cross-reactivity of teicoplanin and vancomycin. *Lancet i*, 47.

Schlemmer, B., Falkman, H., Boudjadja, A., Jacob, L. & Le Gall, J. R. (1988). Teicoplanin for patients allergic to vancomycin. New England Journal of Medicine 318, 1127-8.

Smith, S. R., Cheesbrough, J. S., Makris, M. & Davies, J. M. (1989). Teicoplanin administration in patients experiencing reactions to vancomycin. Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy 23, 810-2.
Van Laethem, Y., Goossens, H., Cran, S., Butzler, J. P. & Clumeck, N. (1984). Teichomycin (Te) in severe methicillin resistant (Me/Re) Gram positive septicemia. In Program and Abstracts of the Twenty-Fourth Interscience Conference on Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy, Washington, DC, 1984. Abstract 1219, p. 306.

American Society for Microbiology, Washington, DC.
Wood, G. & Whitby, M. (1989). Teicoplanin in patients who are allergic to vancomycin. Medical Journal of Australia 150, 668.

# Cephalexin induced toxic epidermal necrolysis

Sir.

A previously healthy 61-year-old woman was prescribed cephalexin by her general practitioner for an upper respiratory tract infection. Two days later she developed a pruritic, erythematous rash over her trunk and limbs and the cephalexin was stopped. After a further three days she was admitted to hospital confused, pyrexial (temperature 40°C), hypotensive and dehydrated. Over the next 72 h she developed ulceration involving 75% of her skin surface area including lips and genitalia, and despite intravenous fluid replacement and vasoactive drugs, developed polyuric acute renal failure, hepatic dysfunction, fits, acute respiratory failure requiring ventilation and disseminated intravascular coagulation. A skin biopsy confirmed the clinical diagnosis of toxic epidermal necrolysis.

Her overall condition improved with general intensive care (including haemofiltration and parenteral nutrition) but her course was complicated by deteriorating liver function and the development of an *Enterococcus* 

faecium septicaemia, treated with vancomycin and netilmicin. Her skin condition was managed conservatively with saline bathing, silver sulphadiazine (flamazine) and paraffin gauze (Jelonet) dressings. Four weeks after admission to the Intensive Care Unit the skin had almost recovered. Five weeks after admission she developed acute, irreversible bronchospasm of unknown aetiology, such that it was impossible to ventilate her. She had a cardiac arrest related to hypercapnoea (arterial carbon dioxide tension 30 kPa) and died. At autopsy she was found to have suppurative cholangitis and this was presumed to be the source of her septicaemia.

Toxic epidermal necrolysis (TEN) is characterized by epidermal necrosis and skin peeling due to sub-epidermal or intra-epidermal splitting (Swartz, 1990). It can masquerade as the staphylococcal scalded skin syndrome and has been associated with a reaction to a number of drugs, in particular sulphonamides and barbiturates (Swartz, 1990). The incidence of skin rash following cephalexin therapy is reported be from 0.6-1.1% (Ueda, Anonymous, 1970; Dash et al., 1972; Burt, 1983), but the exact type of these skin reactions is not clearly recorded. We know of only one other definite case of TEN associated with cephalexin (Hogan & Rooney, 1987) and one possible case in which TEN occurred after cephalexin and thioridazine therapy (Harnar, Dobke & Simoni, 1987). Both of these cases occurred in the United States. Our patient received only cephalexin before developing TEN and we believe it to be the first reported case of cephalexin-induced TEN in the United

Kingdom.

J. DAVE<sup>2</sup>
R. HEATHCOCK<sup>b</sup>
L. FENELON<sup>b</sup>
D. J. BIHARI<sup>c</sup>
N. A. SIMMONS<sup>b</sup>
Public Health Laboratory,
The William Harvey Hospital,
Kennington Road, Willesborough,
Ashford, Kent TN23 OLZ;
Departments of <sup>b</sup>Clinical Bacteriology
and Virology and <sup>c</sup>Intensive Care Unit,
Guy's Hospital, Guys Tower,
St Thomas' Street, London SEI 9RT, UK

### References

Anonymous (1970). Cephalexin. An Information Handbook. Medical Division, Glaxo International Limited, London.

Burt, R. A. P. (1983). A review of the drug events reported by 12,917 patients treated with cephalexin. *Postgraduate Medical Journal* 59, 47-50.