University of Liège Department of Aerospace and Mechanical Engineering

A one-field discontinuous Galerkin formulation of non-linear Kirchhoff-Love shells

Ludovic Noels

Computational & Multiscale Mechanics of Materials, ULg Chemin des Chevreuils 1, B4000 Liège, Belgium L.Noels@ulg.ac.be





Discontinuous Galerkin Methods

• Main idea

- Finite-element discretization
- Same discontinuous polynomial approximations for the



- Definition of operators on the interface trace:
 - Jump operator: $\llbracket \bullet \rrbracket = \bullet^+ \bullet^-$
 - Mean operator: $\langle \bullet \rangle = \frac{\bullet^+ + \bullet^-}{2}$
- Continuity is weakly enforced, such that the method
 - Is consistent
 - Is stable
 - Has the optimal convergence rate





Discontinuous Galerkin Methods

- Discontinuous Galerkin methods vs Continuous
 - More expensive (more degrees of freedom)
 - More difficult to implement
 - ...
- So why discontinuous Galerkin methods?
 - Weak enforcement of C¹ continuity for high-order equations
 - Strain-gradient effect
 - Shells with complex material behaviors
 - Toward computational homogenization of thin structures?
 - Exploitation of the discontinuous mesh to simulate dynamic fracture [Seagraves, Jérusalem, Noels, Radovitzky, col. ULg-MIT]:
 - Correct wave propagation before fracture
 - Easy to parallelize & scalable





Discontinuous Galerkin Methods

- Continuous field / discontinuous derivative
 - No new nodes
 - Weak enforcement of
 C¹ continuity
 - Displacement formulations of high-order differential equations



- Usual shape functions in 3D (no new requirement)
- Applications to
 - Beams, plates [Engel et al., CMAME 2002; Hansbo & Larson, CALCOLO 2002; Wells & Dung, CMAME 2007]
 - Linear & non-linear shells [Noels & Radovitzky, CMAME 2008; Noels IJNME 2009]
 - Damage & Strain Gradient [Wells et al., CMAME 2004; Molari, CMAME 2006; Bala-Chandran et al. 2008]





Topics

- Key principles of DG methods
 Illustration on volume FE
- Kirchhoff-Love Shell Kinematics
- Non-Linear Shells
- Numerical examples
- Conclusions & Perspectives





Key principles of DG methods

• Application to non-linear mechanics

- Formulation in terms of the first Piola stress tensor P

 $\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{0} \cdot \mathbf{P}^{T} = 0 \text{ in } \Omega \quad \boldsymbol{\&} \quad \begin{cases} \mathbf{P} \cdot \boldsymbol{N} = \bar{\boldsymbol{T}} \text{ on } \partial_{N} \Omega \\ \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{h} = \bar{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}_{h} \text{ on } \partial_{D} B \end{cases}$

– New weak formulation obtained by integration by parts on each element Ω^e



Key principles of DG methods

- Interface term rewritten as the sum of 3 terms
 - Introduction of the numerical flux *h*

$$\int_{\partial_I B_0} \left[\!\left[\delta \boldsymbol{\varphi} \cdot \mathbf{P}\left(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_h\right)\right]\!\right] \cdot \mathbf{N}^- \, d\partial B \to \int_{\partial_I B_0} \left[\!\left[\delta \boldsymbol{\varphi}\right]\!\right] \cdot h\left(\mathbf{P}^+, \mathbf{P}^-, \mathbf{N}^-\right) \, d\partial B$$

• Has to be consistent: -

$$egin{aligned} &h\left(\mathbf{P}^{+},\,\mathbf{P}^{-},\,oldsymbol{N}^{-}
ight)=-h\left(\mathbf{P}^{-},\,\mathbf{P}^{+},\,oldsymbol{N}^{+}
ight)\ &h\left(\mathbf{P}_{ ext{exact}},\,oldsymbol{P}_{ ext{exact}},\,oldsymbol{N}^{-}
ight)=\mathbf{P}_{ ext{exact}}\cdotoldsymbol{N}^{-} \end{aligned}$$

- One possible choice: $h(\mathbf{P}^+, \mathbf{P}^-, \mathbf{N}^-) = \langle \mathbf{P} \rangle \cdot \mathbf{N}^-$
- Weak enforcement of the compatibility

$$\int_{\partial_I B_0} \left[\!\!\left[\boldsymbol{\varphi}_h\right]\!\!\right] \cdot \left\langle \frac{\partial \mathbf{P}}{\partial \mathbf{F}} : \boldsymbol{\nabla}_0 \delta \boldsymbol{\varphi} \right\rangle \cdot \boldsymbol{N}^- \ d\partial B$$

- Stabilization controlled by parameter β , for all mesh sizes h^{s} $\int_{\partial_{I}B_{0}} \llbracket \varphi_{h} \rrbracket \otimes \mathbf{N}^{-} : \left\langle \frac{\beta}{h^{s}} \frac{\partial \mathbf{P}}{\partial \mathbf{F}} \right\rangle : \llbracket \delta \varphi \rrbracket \otimes \mathbf{N}^{-} d\partial B :$ Noels & Radovitzky, IJNME 2006 & JAM 2006
- These terms can also be explicitly derived from a variational formulation (Hu-Washizu-de Veubeke functional)





Key principles of DG methods

- Numerical applications
 - Properties for a polynomial approximation of order k
 - Consistent, stable for $\beta > C^k$, convergence in the e-norm in k
 - Explicit time integration with conditional stability $\Delta t_{\rm crit} = \frac{h^s}{\sqrt{3}} \sqrt{\frac{\rho_0}{E}}$
 - High scalability
 - Examples



Kirchhoff-Love Shell Kinematics



 $\implies t = \frac{\varphi_{,1} \land \varphi_{,2}}{\|\varphi_{,1} \land \varphi_{,2}\|} \quad \text{\& the gradient of thickness stretch } \lambda_{h,\alpha} \text{ neglected}$



Kirchhoff-Love Shell Kinematics

- Resultant equilibrium equations:
 - Linear momentum

$$rac{1}{\overline{j}}\left(ar{j}oldsymbol{n}^lpha
ight)_{,lpha}+oldsymbol{n}^\mathcal{A}=0$$

Angular momentum

$$rac{1}{ar{j}}\left(ar{j} ilde{m{m}}^lpha
ight)_{,lpha}-m{l}+\lambdam{t}+ ilde{m{m}}^\mathcal{A}=0$$

In terms of resultant stresses:

$$\begin{cases} \boldsymbol{n}^{\alpha} = \frac{1}{\bar{j}} \int_{h_{\min 0}}^{h_{\max 0}} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{g}^{\alpha} \det \left(\boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{\Phi}\right) d\xi^{3} \\ \\ \tilde{\boldsymbol{m}}^{\alpha} = \frac{1}{\bar{j}} \int_{h_{\min 0}}^{h_{\max 0}} \xi^{3} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{g}^{\alpha} \det \left(\boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{\Phi}\right) d\xi^{3} \\ \\ \boldsymbol{l} = \frac{1}{\bar{j}} \int_{h_{\min 0}}^{h_{\max 0}} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{g}^{3} \det \left(\boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{\Phi}\right) d\xi^{3} \end{cases}$$

1 channe

of resultant applied tension n^A and torque \tilde{m}^A

and of the mid-surface Jacobian $\bar{j} = \|\varphi_{,1} \wedge \varphi_{,2}\|$



Material behavior

- Through the thickness integration by Simpson's rule
- At each Simpson point
 - Internal energy $W(C = F^T F)$ with \langle

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{C} &= \boldsymbol{g}_i \cdot \boldsymbol{g}_j \; \boldsymbol{g}_0^i \otimes \boldsymbol{g}_0^j = \mathrm{g}_{ij} \; \boldsymbol{g}_0^i \otimes \boldsymbol{g}_0^j \\ \boldsymbol{\sigma} &= \sigma^{ij} \; \boldsymbol{g}_i \otimes \boldsymbol{g}_j = 2 \frac{\det\left(\boldsymbol{\nabla}\boldsymbol{\Phi}_0\right)}{\det\left(\boldsymbol{\nabla}\boldsymbol{\Phi}\right)} \frac{\partial W}{\partial \mathrm{g}_{ij}} \; \boldsymbol{g}_i \otimes \boldsymbol{g}_j \end{aligned}$$

• Iteration on the thickness ratio $\lambda_h = \frac{h_{\max} - h_{\min}}{h_{\max} - h_{\min}}$ in order to reach the plane stress assumption $\sigma^{33}=0$

Simpson's rule leads to the resultant stresses:

$$\begin{cases} \boldsymbol{n}^{\alpha} = \frac{1}{\overline{j}} \int_{h_{\min 0}}^{h_{\max 0}} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{g}^{\alpha} \det \left(\boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{\Phi}\right) d\xi^{3} \\ \\ \tilde{\boldsymbol{m}}^{\alpha} = \frac{1}{\overline{j}} \int_{h_{\min 0}}^{h_{\max 0}} \xi^{3} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{g}^{\alpha} \det \left(\boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{\Phi}\right) d\xi^{3} \\ \\ \boldsymbol{l} = \frac{1}{\overline{j}} \int_{h_{\min 0}}^{h_{\max 0}} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{g}^{3} \det \left(\boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{\Phi}\right) d\xi^{3} \end{cases}$$





• Discontinuous Galerkin formulation

- New weak form obtained from the momentum equations
- Integration by parts on each element A^e
- Across 2 elements δt is discontinuous



Department of Aerospace and Mechanical Engineering

de Liège

- Interface terms rewritten as the sum of 3 terms
 - Introduction of the numerical flux h

$$\int_{\partial_{I}\mathcal{A}_{h}} \left[\!\left[\bar{j}\tilde{\boldsymbol{m}}^{\alpha}\left(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{h}\right)\cdot\delta\boldsymbol{t}\lambda_{h}\right]\!\right]\boldsymbol{\nu}_{\alpha}^{-}d\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \int_{\partial_{I}\mathcal{A}_{h}}\left[\!\left[\delta\boldsymbol{t}\right]\!\right]\cdot\boldsymbol{h}\left(\left(\bar{j}\lambda_{h}\tilde{\boldsymbol{m}}^{\alpha}\right)^{+},\left(\bar{j}\lambda_{h}\tilde{\boldsymbol{m}}^{\alpha}\right)^{-},\boldsymbol{\nu}_{\alpha}^{-}\right)d\mathcal{A}$$

- Has to be consistent: $h(\lambda_h \bar{j} \tilde{m}_{exact}^{\alpha}, \bar{j} \lambda_h \tilde{m}_{exact}^{\alpha}, \nu_{\alpha}) = \lambda_h \bar{j} \tilde{m}_{exact}^{\alpha} \nu_{\alpha}^{-1}$
- One possible choice: $h\left(\left(\bar{j}\lambda_{h}\tilde{\boldsymbol{m}}^{\alpha}\right)^{+},\left(\bar{j}\lambda_{h}\tilde{\boldsymbol{m}}^{\alpha}\right)^{-},\nu_{\alpha}^{-}\right)=\nu_{\alpha}^{-}\left\langle\bar{j}\lambda_{h}\tilde{\boldsymbol{m}}^{\alpha}\right\rangle$
- Weak enforcement of the compatibility

- Stabilization controlled by parameter β , for all mesh sizes h^s

$$\int_{\partial_{I}\mathcal{A}_{h}\cup\partial_{T}\mathcal{A}_{h}}\left[\!\left[t\left(\varphi_{h}\right)\right]\!\right]\cdot\varphi_{,\beta}\left\langle\frac{\beta\bar{j}_{0}\mathcal{H}_{m}^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}}{h^{s}}\right\rangle\left[\!\left[\delta t\right]\!\right]\cdot\varphi_{,\gamma}\nu_{\alpha}^{-}\nu_{\delta}^{-}d\partial\mathcal{A}$$



New weak formulation

 $\int_{\mathcal{A}_{h}} \bar{j} \boldsymbol{n}^{\alpha} \left(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{h}\right) \cdot \delta \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{,\alpha} d\mathcal{A} + \int_{\mathcal{A}_{h}} \bar{j} \tilde{\boldsymbol{m}}^{\alpha} \left(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{h}\right) \cdot \left(\delta \boldsymbol{t} \lambda_{h}\right)_{,\alpha} d\mathcal{A} + \int_{\mathcal{A}_{h}} \bar{j} \boldsymbol{l} \cdot \delta \boldsymbol{t} \lambda_{h} d\mathcal{A} + \int_{\mathcal{A}_{h}} \bar{j} \boldsymbol{l} \cdot \delta \boldsymbol{t} \lambda_{h} d\mathcal{A} + \int_{\mathcal{A}_{h}} \bar{j} \boldsymbol{l} \cdot \delta \boldsymbol{t} \lambda_{h} d\mathcal{A} + \int_{\mathcal{A}_{h}} \bar{j} \boldsymbol{l} \cdot \delta \boldsymbol{t} \lambda_{h} d\mathcal{A} + \int_{\mathcal{A}_{h}} \bar{j} \boldsymbol{l} \cdot \delta \boldsymbol{t} \lambda_{h} d\mathcal{A} + \int_{\mathcal{A}_{h}} \bar{j} \boldsymbol{l} \cdot \delta \boldsymbol{t} \lambda_{h} d\mathcal{A} + \int_{\mathcal{A}_{h}} \bar{j} \boldsymbol{l} \cdot \delta \boldsymbol{t} \lambda_{h} d\mathcal{A} + \int_{\mathcal{A}_{h}} \bar{j} \boldsymbol{l} \cdot \delta \boldsymbol{t} \lambda_{h} d\mathcal{A} + \int_{\mathcal{A}_{h}} \bar{j} \boldsymbol{l} \cdot \delta \boldsymbol{t} \lambda_{h} d\mathcal{A} + \int_{\mathcal{A}_{h}} \bar{j} \boldsymbol{l} \cdot \delta \boldsymbol{t} \lambda_{h} d\mathcal{A} + \int_{\mathcal{A}_{h}} \bar{j} \boldsymbol{l} \cdot \delta \boldsymbol{t} \lambda_{h} d\mathcal{A} + \int_{\mathcal{A}_{h}} \bar{j} \boldsymbol{l} \cdot \delta \boldsymbol{t} \lambda_{h} d\mathcal{A} + \int_{\mathcal{A}_{h}} \bar{j} \boldsymbol{l} \cdot \delta \boldsymbol{t} \lambda_{h} d\mathcal{A} + \int_{\mathcal{A}_{h}} \bar{j} \boldsymbol{l} \cdot \delta \boldsymbol{t} \lambda_{h} d\mathcal{A} + \int_{\mathcal{A}_{h}} \bar{j} \boldsymbol{l} \cdot \delta \boldsymbol{t} \lambda_{h} d\mathcal{A} + \int_{\mathcal{A}_{h}} \bar{j} \boldsymbol{l} \cdot \delta \boldsymbol{t} \lambda_{h} d\mathcal{A} + \int_{\mathcal{A}_{h}} \bar{j} \boldsymbol{l} \cdot \delta \boldsymbol{t} \lambda_{h} d\mathcal{A} + \int_{\mathcal{A}_{h}} \bar{j} \boldsymbol{l} \cdot \delta \boldsymbol{t} \lambda_{h} d\mathcal{A} + \int_{\mathcal{A}_{h}} \bar{j} \boldsymbol{l} \cdot \delta \boldsymbol{t} \lambda_{h} d\mathcal{A} + \int_{\mathcal{A}_{h}} \bar{j} \boldsymbol{l} \cdot \delta \boldsymbol{t} \lambda_{h} d\mathcal{A} + \int_{\mathcal{A}_{h}} \bar{j} \boldsymbol{l} \cdot \delta \boldsymbol{t} \lambda_{h} d\mathcal{A} + \int_{\mathcal{A}_{h}} \bar{j} \boldsymbol{l} \cdot \delta \boldsymbol{t} \lambda_{h} d\mathcal{A} + \int_{\mathcal{A}_{h}} \bar{j} \boldsymbol{l} \cdot \delta \boldsymbol{t} \lambda_{h} d\mathcal{A} + \int_{\mathcal{A}_{h}} \bar{j} \boldsymbol{l} \cdot \delta \boldsymbol{t} \lambda_{h} d\mathcal{A} + \int_{\mathcal{A}_{h}} \bar{j} \boldsymbol{l} \cdot \delta \boldsymbol{t} \lambda_{h} d\mathcal{A} + \int_{\mathcal{A}_{h}} \bar{j} \boldsymbol{l} \cdot \delta \boldsymbol{t} \lambda_{h} d\mathcal{A} + \int_{\mathcal{A}_{h}} \bar{j} \boldsymbol{l} \cdot \delta \boldsymbol{t} \lambda_{h} d\mathcal{A} + \int_{\mathcal{A}_{h}} \bar{j} \boldsymbol{l} \cdot \delta \boldsymbol{t} \lambda_{h} d\mathcal{A} + \int_{\mathcal{A}_{h}} \bar{j} \boldsymbol{l} \cdot \delta \boldsymbol{t} \lambda_{h} d\mathcal{A} + \int_{\mathcal{A}_{h}} \bar{j} \boldsymbol{l} \cdot \delta \boldsymbol{t} \lambda_{h} d\mathcal{A} + \int_{\mathcal{A}_{h}} \bar{j} \boldsymbol{l} \cdot \delta \boldsymbol{t} \lambda_{h} d\mathcal{A} + \int_{\mathcal{A}_{h}} \bar{j} \boldsymbol{l} \cdot \delta \boldsymbol{t} \lambda_{h} d\mathcal{A} + \int_{\mathcal{A}_{h}} \bar{j} \boldsymbol{l} \cdot \delta \boldsymbol{t} \lambda_{h} d\mathcal{A} + \int_{\mathcal{A}_{h}} \bar{j} \boldsymbol{l} \cdot \delta \boldsymbol{t} \lambda_{h} d\mathcal{A} + \int_{\mathcal{A}_{h}} \bar{j} \boldsymbol{l} \cdot \delta \boldsymbol{t} \lambda_{h} d\mathcal{A} + \int_{\mathcal{A}_{h}} \bar{j} \boldsymbol{l} \cdot \delta \boldsymbol{t} \lambda_{h} d\mathcal{A} + \int_{\mathcal{A}_{h}} \bar{j} \boldsymbol{l} \cdot \delta \boldsymbol{t} \lambda_{h} d\mathcal{A} + \int_{\mathcal{A}_{h}} \bar{j} \boldsymbol{l} \cdot \delta \boldsymbol{t} \lambda_{h} d\mathcal{A} + \int_{\mathcal{A}_{h}} \bar{j} \boldsymbol{l} \cdot \delta \boldsymbol{t} \lambda_{h} d\mathcal{A} + \int_{\mathcal{A}_{h}} \bar{j} \boldsymbol{l} \cdot \delta \boldsymbol{t} \lambda_{h} d\mathcal{A} + \int_{\mathcal{A}_{h}} \bar{j} \boldsymbol{l} \cdot \delta \boldsymbol{t} \lambda_{h} d\mathcal{A} + \int_{\mathcal{A}_{h}} \bar{j} \boldsymbol{l$

$$\int_{\partial_{I}\mathcal{A}_{h}\cup\partial_{T}\mathcal{A}_{h}}\left[\!\left[\boldsymbol{t}\left(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{h}\right)\right]\!\right]\cdot\left\langle\bar{j}_{0}\mathcal{H}_{m}^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}\left(\delta\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{,\gamma}\cdot\boldsymbol{t}_{,\delta}+\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{,\gamma}\cdot\delta\boldsymbol{t}_{,\delta}\right)\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{,\beta}+\bar{j}\lambda_{h}\tilde{\boldsymbol{m}}^{\alpha}\cdot\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{,\beta}\right.\left.\delta\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{,\beta}\right\rangle\nu_{\alpha}^{-}d\partial\mathcal{A}$$

$$\frac{\int_{\partial_{I}\mathcal{A}_{h}\cup\partial_{T}\mathcal{A}_{h}}\left[\!\left[\delta t\right]\!\right]\cdot\left\langle\bar{j}\lambda_{h}\tilde{m}^{\alpha}\right\rangle\nu_{\alpha}^{-}d\partial\mathcal{A}\right]}{\int_{\partial_{N}\mathcal{A}_{h}}\bar{j}\bar{n}\cdot\delta\varphi d\mathcal{A}+\int_{\partial_{M}\mathcal{A}_{h}}\bar{j}\bar{\tilde{m}}\cdot\delta t\lambda_{h}d\mathcal{A}+\int_{\mathcal{A}_{h}}n^{\mathcal{A}}\cdot\delta\varphi\bar{j}d\mathcal{A}+\int_{\mathcal{A}_{h}}\tilde{m}^{\mathcal{A}}\cdot\delta t\lambda_{h}\bar{j}d\mathcal{A}}=$$

- Implementation
 - Shell elements
 - Membrane and bending responses
 - 2x2 (4x4) Gauss points for bi-quadratic
 (bi-cubic) quadrangles
 - Interface elements
 - 3 contributions
 - 2 (4) Gauss points for quadratic (cubic) meshes
 - Contributions of neighboring shells evaluated at these points





- Pinched open hemisphere
 - Properties:
 - 18-degree hole
 - Thickness 0.04 m; Radius 10 m
 - Young 68.25 MPa; Poisson 0.3
 - Comparison of the DG methods
 - Quadratic, cubic & distorted el.

with literature







Université de Liège

- Pinched open hemisphere Influence of the stabilization Influence of the mesh size parameter 10^u 10 8 10 Error on δ 6 3 8 (m) 10^{-2} 4 $-\delta y_{B}$, 12 bi-quad. el. δx_{A} , 12 bi-quad. el. 2 $-\delta y_{B}^{A}$, 8 bi-cubic el. 10⁻³∟ 10⁻² δ x_{A}^{-}, 8 bi-cubic el. 0└ 10⁰ 10⁻¹ 10² 10^{3} 10^{1} 10^{4} h^s/ R β
 - Stability if $\beta > 10$
 - Order of convergence in the L^2 -norm in k+1



de Liège

- Plate ring
 - Properties:
 - Radii 6 -10 m
 - Thickness 0.03 m
 - Young 12 GPa; Poisson 0
 - Comparison of DG methods
 - Quadratic elements

with literature







Clamped cylinder

- Properties:
 - Radius 1.016 m; Length
 3.048 m; Thickness 0.03 m
 - Young 20.685 MPa; Poisson 0.3
- Comparison of DG methods
 - Quadratic & cubic elements with literature





Université de Liège

Conclusions & Perspectives

- Development of a discontinuous Galerkin framework for non-linear Kirchhoff-Love shells
 - Displacement formulation (no additional degree of freedom)
 - Strong enforcement of C⁰ continuity
 - Weak enforcement of C¹ continuity
 - Quadratic elements:
 - Method is stable if $\beta \ge 10$
 - Reduced integration (but hourglass-free)
 - Cubic elements:
 - Method is stable if $\beta \ge 10$
 - Full Gauss integration (but locking-free)
 - Convergence rate:
 - *k*-1 in the energy norm
 - *k*+1 in the L2-norm





Conclusions & Perspectives

Perspectives

- Next developments:
 - Plasticity
 - Dynamics ...
- Full DG formulation
 - Displacements and their derivatives discontinuous
 - Application to fracture
- Application of this displacement formulation to computational homogenization of thin structures



