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IS THE WOODMOUSE (*APODEMUS SYLVATICUS*) OF SICILY A DISTINCT SPECIES?
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The Sicilian population of Apodemus sylvaticus (L., 1758) was initially considered a separate subspecies *A. s. dichrurus* Rafinesque, 1814. Two « morphs », one occurring around Palermo and the other near the Etna (1) were subsequently designated as subspecies (2). The Sicilian woodmouse is now considered a distinct species, *A. dichrurus* Von Lehmann & Schaeffer, 1976, based on its higher alkaline phosphatase activity (3). To evaluate the specific status of *A. dichrurus*, we have now studied mtDNA variation amongst 85 individuals from various populations in the Western Mediterranean area (Fig. 1).

Fig. 1. – Geographic distribution of the sampling localities of Apodemus. F1: Cap Lardier*; F2: Banyuls/Mer*; F4: Estérel (Mt Vinaigre)*; I1: Tarquinia (Latium)*; I5: Gambarie (Calabria)*; C1: Fango*; C2: Fango (mouth)*; C3: Chiuni*; C4: Bonifacio; S1: Pietru*; S2: San Antonio*; S11: Ficuzza; S12: Grateri; S13: St Vito lo Capo; M11: Marettimo; *flavicollis*: Grosseto (Tuscania). The localities with an * are the same as in Michaux et al. (1996) and are numbered in accordance.
Mitochondrial DNA was isolated from fresh tissue and digested with restriction endonucleases *Hae* III and *Rsa* I. The resulting fragments were separated in 4% PAA gels and subsequently silver stained (for further details: 4). The restriction patterns obtained were compared using the Nei & Li index (5) and a neighbour-joining tree (TREECON 1.2; see 6), was built using one individual yellow-necked field mouse *A. flavicollis* (Melchior, 1834) from Grosseto (Italy) as an outgroup. A bootstrap analysis (1000 replicates) was also performed to check the robustness of the nodes.

From the 86 animals analysed, 45 different haplotypes were obtained. The neighbour-joining tree (Fig. 2) shows that the yellow-necked field mouse haplotype is well separated from all the woodmouse ones, the node separating them being very robust (BP of 100%). The mean level of the nucleotide sequence divergence between the two species is 5.9%.

The woodmice are divided into three distinct clusters: one cluster contains all the animals from peninsular Italy, Corsica and Sardinia, a second one contains those trapped in France, and the third one is formed by all the Sicilian samples. The mean level of genetic divergence between these three groups is quite high (between 2.6 and 3.8%), and this separation is very well supported with bootstrap values of 92 and 97%. We can therefore consider that the Sicilian woodmouse constitute a third mtDNA lineage. In contrast, the intra-group divergence is very low: 1.4, 0.9 and 1.2 respectively, and of the same order of magnitude as that observed using the same technique in woodmouse populations of Northern Europe (p ≈ 1%) (4, 7, 8) and in other rodent species (9, 10, 11). On the other hand, the differences in the values observed between the three woodmouse groups of haplotypes are similar to those differences observed between subspecies of *Mus domesticus* L. 1758 (≈ 4%) (10, 11). From a morphological point of view, the Sicilian mice differ only slightly from those of Sardinia or of Peninsular Italy; these differences being less than those found between the Italian and the French populations (12, 13) which are not separated at a specific level. Furthermore, fertile hybrids between animals from Sicily and either Italy or Germany have been obtained in captivity (3). For all these reasons we propose retention of the distinction between the Sicilian woodmouse and the other western European woodmouse populations only at the subspecific level (*A. sylvaticus dichrurus*).

Nevertheless, the mtDNA differences suggest that the Sicilian woodmouse became isolated from the other groups about 750 000 years ago (using the calibration of Wilson *et al.*: 14). It is now well established from archaeozoological data (15), that the presence of the woodmouse in Sicily is the consequence of a Holocene anthropogenic introduction. It is also well known that Sicily has been invaded during the Holocene by numerous human groups from different geographic areas, notably Asia Minor and Greece (16). Our data suggest that the origin of the Sicilian woodmouse is not Peninsular Italy or Western Europe. This seems rather surprising since the strait of Messinia is only 3 km wide. Notwithstanding, it should be interesting to compare mtDNA variation between the Sicilian populations and those living in North Africa and in the eastern part of the Mediterranean basin.
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Fig. 2. – Neighbour-joining tree illustrating the distribution of 45 mtDNA haplotypes. The nucleotide sequence divergence has been calculated according to Nei and Li (1979). The numbers near the main nodes are the bootstrap values obtained after 1000 repetitions. The restriction pattern code (in brackets) and the locality of origin define each branch.
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