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ABSTRACT

The incidence of primary malignant melanoma (MM) and skin carcinomas, including basal cell carcinoma (BCC) and squamous cell carcinoma (SCC), is progressively raising. As long as their diagnosis and therapeutic managements are initiated early, their prognosis remains favorable. This underlines the importance of early recognition of skin cancers. Furthermore, it has been demonstrated that skin cancer screening programs are efficacious in increasing the population awareness of the early signs of skin cancer and of the dangers of UV – exposure.

A skin cancer screening campaign was organised by dermatologists of the German-speaking Community of Belgium in cooperation with the department of Family, Health, and Social Affairs of the Regional Ministry of the German-speaking Community of Belgium. In order to increase the screening selectivity, two risk populations were targeted; patients presenting 30 or more moles, and patients over 50 years of age presenting recent skin changes of the head and neck area. A media campaign using radio, television and daily press was started to increase the population awareness of the dangers of UV exposure and of the early signs of skin cancer. During 2 screening days, three-hour sessions were organised in 2 health centers located in Eupen and St Vith. A total of 148 patients were examined.

A total of 124/148 patients met the selection criteria predefined during the media announcement. The simultaneous presence of 4 dermatologists during the screening sessions allowed a second opinion for warning lesions. Four BBCs as well as 23 patients presenting dysplastic nevi were clinically diagnosed. During the 2 months following the screening campaign 5 MMs were identified by the same dermatologists in their routine practice.

In conclusion, this skin cancer screening campaign led to the diagnosis of 4 carcinomas. The campaign furthermore increased the patient awareness, permitting the diagnosis of 5 MMs during the 2 following months. This figure represents about 30% of all MMs diagnosed yearly in this region of Belgium.
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INTRODUCTION

The prevalence of skin carcinomas, including basal cell carcinoma (BCC) and squamous cell carcinoma (SCC), and of malignant melanoma (MM) is on the rise over the past decades (1-11). Even if BCCs present almost no risk of dissemination, serious tumor- and treatment-related morbidity may be associated with large infiltrating lesions (12-14). Although the risk of metastasis is low for small size SCC, it may lead to serious morbidity (6,12,15-18). The danger of MM is linked to the development of metastases often associated with a very poor prognosis. The risk of metastasis and the prognosis of MM are strongly related to the primary tumor thickness and to the sentinel lymph node invasion (19,20).

Various types of skin cancer screening campaigns (1) have been organised at a large scale in the USA (21), Australia (22-24), and in Europe (25). These campaigns have proven to be useful by several aspects. They encouraged self-examination (4,26), provided educational messages about sun protection, and helped in early detection of MM and skin carcinomas during and after the campaigns (27-30). Patient education and self-examination increases the impact of face-based screening and mass screening programs (27,31,32). Even if the number of new cases of skin cancer disclosed during these campaigns may appear limited, a main benefit is the increase of new cases diagnosed in the months following the campaigns (25).

Visual examination by dermatologists has been proven effective for skin cancer screening purposes (1). Dermoscopy represents a valuable tool to complement visual examination (33,34). In the recent years, retrospective data show 10-15 new cases of MM in the about 70.000 inhabitants of the German-speaking Community of Belgium (data gathered over 8 years from the laboratory for pathology, Sankt Nikolaus Hospital Eupen, and the laboratory for dermatopathology of the Liège University).

Due to its particular linguistic status, the former Belgian Melanoma Monday and the current Euromelanoma campaigns were never successfully organised in the German-speaking Community of Belgium. The department of Family, Health, and Social Affairs of the Ministry of the German-speaking Community and the dermatologists practicing in this region organised a targeted skin cancer screening campaign in 2003. It was previously reported that screening focused on MM increases the detection of lesions suggestive of MM and dysplastic nevi, but decreased the proportions of disclosed BCC, SCC and actinic keratoses (35). As our goal was to screen all skin cancers, the media campaign provided information about each major type of skin cancer. Two weeks before the screening days, a media campaign involving the radio, television and daily press was launched. It dealt with skin cancers, sun protection, how to decrease skin cancer risk and how to recognize the early signs of skin cancers. It is acknowledged that screening never proved to help in reducing the MM mortality rates (28,30,36-39). Screening should rather target risk groups (40). Therefore, two risk populations were solicited, namely patients presenting 30 moles or more, and patients over the age of 50 years presenting recent skin changes in the head and neck area.. Skin changes were defined as skin lesions that had appeared recently, or lesions that had recently begun to scale, to bleed and/or to crust. Patients who met the selection criteria were invited to present themselves at the screening centers. A centralised appointment office was operative using a single phone call number where patients were orally screened and controlled on the selection criteria. The patients were then dispatched to one of the two screening centres located in Eupen and St Vith. During two screening days, the patients were examined randomly by one of the 4 dermatologists who were simultaneously devoted to skin cancer screening for 3 hours. Furthermore, patients were provided with an information sheet about skin cancers, sun avoidance, and dangers of UV-exposure.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The following data were recorded during the screening campaign: age, gender, occupation (indoor or outdoor), phototype (I to V), sunburns during infancy (<12 years) (frequent or rare), current and past use of tanning UV-delivering devices (1, 5, 10, or more than 10 sessions per year), patient meeting the selection criteria (yes or no), number of diagnostic opinions regarding the present skin tumors, and the clinical and dermoscopy diagnosis of the screened lesions. A total body skin examination was performed on every patient. Nevi exhibiting morphological changes such as asymmetry, irregular borders and color variation were given the clinical diagnosis of dysplastic or atypical nevus.
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RESULTS

A total number of 148 patients (M:62, F:86) was screened during the 2 days. The age distribution is shown in Table 1. The screening program appeared to be more attractive with increasing age.

The vast majority of the screened individuals belonged to light skin and photosensitive groups (phototypes 1: 10,8%, 2: 53,4%, 3: 34,5%, 4: 1,4% respectively).

A total of 24/148 (17,6%) of the screened patients had used self-tanning UV-delivering devices either at home or in a sun parlour. Of these individuals 8,3% had 1 session per year, 33,3% had 5 sessions per year, 33,3% had 10 sessions per year, and 25% had more than 10 sessions per year.

The percentage of patients recalling sunburns during infancy reached 81,8 % (45,2% rarely, 36,5% frequently). Data were similar in the 2 screening centers.

The total number of patients meeting the predefined selection criteria was 124/148 (83,7%) (Table 1). The adherence to the selection criterion 1 (patients presenting over 30 nevi) was higher compared to criterion 2 (patients over the age of 50 presenting recent skin changes in the head and neck area) (56,7% versus 27%). No differences were yielded between the 2 screening centers. Of the 84 patients meeting criterion 1, the age distribution is presented in table 1. The phototype distribution was the following; type 1: 12%, 2: 67%, 3: 33%, 4: 0% respectively). Sunburn was recalled in 89,1% (75 patients, 60% (40 patients) frequently, 40% rarely (35 patients)). Sunbed use was noted in 15 patients (17,8%) (6,6% had 1 session per year, 20% had 5 sessions per year, 26,6% had 10 sessions per year, and 46,6% had more than 10 sessions per year).

Of the 40 patients responding to criterion 2, the age distribution is given in table 1. The phototype distribution was the following; type 1: 2,5%, 2: 30%, 3: 65%, 4: 2,5% respectively). Sunburn was recalled in 85% (34 patients, 58,8% (20 patients) frequently, 41,2% rarely (14 patients)). Sunbed use was noted in 9 patients (22,5%) (11,1% had 1 session per year, 11,1% had 5 sessions per year, 33,3% had 10 sessions per year, and 44,4% had more than 10 sessions per year).

The clinical and dermoscopic diagnoses (if applicable) are summarized in table 2 for the total cohort as well as the two predefined groups. Excision of the malignant lesions was advised. Strict surveillance of atypical nevi and actinic keratosis was also advised.

During the 2 months following the campaign, 5 histologically proven MM were detected by the participating dermatologists.

DISCUSSION

The global incidence of MM and skin carcinomas has steadily and rapidly increased over the past 2 decades (1-11). Skin carcinomas are seldom lethal, but, if advanced, can cause severe disfigurement and morbidity. Early detection and treatment of MM should reduce mortality rates, while early detection and treatment of skin carcinomas might prevent morbidity and to a lesser degree prevent mortality. These data underline the importance of early detection and therapy.

Skin cancer screening campaigns have proven to be successful in achieving public education on skin cancers and their risk factors (26-32). Indeed, increased public awareness regarding skin cancer probably represents the main impact of skin cancer screening programs (41).

The present targeted skin cancer screening campaign has achieved different goals. During the screening days, 4 cases of skin carcinomas were clinically diagnosed. In addition, during the following 2 months, 5 histologically proven cases of MM were detected by the participating dermatologists. This figure represents about 30% of the total number of MM detected yearly in this Belgian region. An increased number of consultations for pigmentary lesions was also experienced. Furthermore, the media campaign increased the population awareness of skin cancers, promoted effective sun protection and made known the dangers of excessive UV-exposures.

As preselection of at risk patients increases the efficacy of screening programs (27,31,32), two patient

Table 1: Age distribution in the total cohort and in the criterion 1 and criterion 2 groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>0-10</th>
<th>11-20</th>
<th>21-30</th>
<th>31-40</th>
<th>41-50</th>
<th>51-60</th>
<th>61-70</th>
<th>71-80</th>
<th>81-90</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crit 1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crit 2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In conclusion, the skin cancer screening campaign performed in the German-speaking Community of Belgium led to the diagnosis of 4 cases of skin carcinomas during the screening days. It probably invited the 5 patients with MM to consult during the 2 following months. In addition, patient awareness was increased on skin cancer issues, prevention methods as well as on the long-term dangers of UV-exposure. This probably represents the main positive impact of the campaign.

**Table 2: Clinical and dermoscopic diagnosis (if applicable) in the entire group as well as in the groups meeting criterion 1 and 2. As one patient may have several diagnosis, only the number of diagnoses is given.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Crit 1</th>
<th>Crit 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Melanocytic naevus (intradermal, compound, and junctional)</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congenital naevus</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Becker's naevus</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lentigines</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naevus spilus</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sutton (halo) naevus</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dysplastic naevus</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seborrhic keratosis (and pigmented seborrhic keratosis)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dermatofibroma</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibrous papule of the face</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actinic keratosis</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superficial BCC</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nodular BCC</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCC</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MM</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the German-speaking Community of Belgium, the long-term dangers of UV-exposure. This probably represents the main positive impact of the campaign.
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